

Fungal infections in humans: the silent crisis

Katharina Kainz¹, Maria A. Bauer¹, Frank Madeo^{1-3,*} and Didac Carmona-Gutierrez^{1,*}

¹ Institute for Molecular Biosciences, NAWI Graz, University of Graz, Graz, Austria.

² BioHealth Graz, Graz, Austria.

³ BioTechMed Graz, Graz, Austria.

* Corresponding Authors:

Didac Carmona-Gutierrez: Institute of Molecular Biosciences, University of Graz, Graz, Austria; E-mail: carmonag@uni-graz.at

Frank Madeo: Institute of Molecular Biosciences, University of Graz, Graz, Austria; E-mail: madeo@uni-graz.at

Annually, over 150 million severe cases of fungal infections occur worldwide, resulting in approximately 1.7 million deaths per year. Alarming, these numbers are continuously on the rise with a number of social and medical developments during the past decades that have abetted the spread of fungal infections. Additionally, the long-term therapeutic application and prophylactic use of antifungal drugs in high-risk patients have promoted the emergence of (multi)drug-resistant fungi, including the extremely virulent strain *Candida auris*. Hence, fungal infections are already a global threat that is becoming increasingly severe. In this article, we underline the importance of more and effective research to counteract fungal infections and their consequences.

Humankind has been plagued by infectious diseases throughout history, and the ongoing COVID-19 (Coronavirus disease 2019) pandemic is a daunting reminder that this susceptibility persists in our modern society. After all, communicable diseases remain one of the leading causes of death worldwide [1]. Unfortunately, some of these “microbial threats” have been underestimated and neglected by healthcare authorities, although they endanger millions of lives each year all over the world. Fungal infections (FIs) represent an example of such overlooked emerging diseases, accounting for approximately 1.7 million deaths annually [2]. To put these numbers in perspective, tuberculosis is reported to cause 1.5 million deaths/year [3] and malaria around 405,000 deaths/year [4]. The medical impact of FIs, however, goes far beyond these devastating death rates: FIs affect more than one billion people each year, of which more than 150 million cases account for severe and life-threatening FIs. Importantly, the number of cases continues to constantly rise [5]. Thus, FIs are increasingly becoming

a global health problem that is associated with high morbidity and mortality rates as well as with devastating socioeconomic consequences [6].

A crucial factor that contributes to the rising number of FIs is the drastic increase of the at-risk population that is specifically vulnerable to FIs, including elderly people, critically ill or immunocompromised patients. The overall lifespan increase due to the achievements of modern medicine and social advancements, the growing numbers of cancer, AIDS and transplantation patients with the concomitant subscription of immune-modulating drugs as well as the excessive antibiotic use compose risk factors and niches for the development of FIs [7-9]. Furthermore, the increasing usage of medical devices such as catheters or cardiac valves leads to a higher risk for the formation of biofilms. Biofilms represent an assembly of highly diverse, complex and eminently organized cells embedded in an extracellular matrix that conveys protection from physical and/or chemical insults. Thus, biofilms are often resistant to existing treatments and, in fact, are considered to essentially contribute to the high mortality rates associated with invasive FIs [10, 11].

The acquired resistance to currently available antifungal drugs in previously sensitive strains as well as the increasing incidence of less susceptible fungal strains jointly constitute another decisive factor that contributes to the emergence of FIs. Although a number of pharmacological options for antifungal treatment do exist, they are currently limited to three distinct chemical classes: azoles, echinocandins and polyenes [5, 12]. Thereby, azoles represent the clinically most relevant subgroup, since most azoles show comparably high effectiveness, low toxicity, immunomodulatory capacity and the possibility of oral application [12, 13]. These advantages have encouraged their long-term therapeutic application and prophylactic use in high-risk patients, which in turn has propelled the acquisi-

doi: 10.15698/mic2020.06.718

Received 20.05.2020, Accepted 25.05.2020, Published 01.06.2020.

Keywords: *Candida*, resistance, antifungals, yeast, antimycotics, drug, azoles.

tion of antifungal resistance against azoles and the rise of less susceptible strains. In addition, the usage of agricultural fungicides closely related to medically relevant antimicrobials has boosted the environmental reservoirs for drug-resistant pathogens [5, 14]. Notably, the emergence of antimycotic resistance urged the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to rank drug-resistant *Candida* yeasts as “serious threats”, which represents the same threat level assigned to, for example, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) or vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* (VRE) [15]. A menacing example for drug-resistant yeasts is *Candida auris*, ranked at an even higher threat level by the CDC (“urgent threat”) [15]. *C. auris* was first described in Japan in 2009 and has rapidly spread globally since then [8, 9]. *C. auris* seems to be significantly less susceptible to a number of standard healthcare disinfectants and can be easily transmitted from patient to patient, which is rather unusual for other fungal pathogens. Alarmingly, about 90% of all isolates are resistant to at least one class of antifungal drugs and about 30% to at least two classes. In 1% of the isolates, *C. auris* is resistant to all three classes of antimicrobials [9, 15]. Unfortunately, multidrug resistance (MDR) is also gaining ground in other fungi, mostly *Candida* species (the most prevalent fungal pathogens). For example, in *Candida glabrata*, cross-resistance between azoles and echinocandins is being increasingly recognized [16]. The problem of MDR further restrains the already limited repertoire of treatment options, leaving some FIs *de facto* untreatable.

The efficiency of yeasts to develop resistance against fungicidal agents has been shown both by *in vitro* microevolution experiments as well as *in vivo* [17], and highlights the urgent need for novel approaches to combat fungal infections. In order to acutely overcome resistance development, new putative antifungal agents should preferably inhibit mechanisms that are known to confer resistance and/or target pathways that differ from those that are already engaged by commercially available medications (mostly involving the cell wall or the plasma membrane). An example of such efforts can be found in the current issue of *Microbial Cell*, in which Edouarzin and colleagues identified a compound (drimenol, a sesquiterpenoid primary alcohol) that provides a promising starting point for the development of a novel antimycotic [18]. Among the tested drimane sesquiterpenoids, drimenol exhibited the most potent activity against different pathogenic fungi and was also active against fluconazole-resistant strains and *C. auris*. Moreover, the compound was also effective *in vivo*, as it conveyed protection against *C. albicans* in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* infection model. Intriguingly, drimenol might thereby act via a different mode of action than commonly used antifungals, as it seems to target protein trafficking, protein secretion and cell signaling [18]. However, further studies are needed to validate the feasibility of drimenol (and other drimane sesquiterpenoids) as putative therapeutic agents.

There is no doubt that the threat imposed by FIs will continue to increase worldwide with a number of obstacles (including resistance development) that need to be overcome. This demands rapid and innovative action at different levels. First, the search for therapeutic treatment options needs to be intensified: besides searching within the classical antifungal drug pipeline, novel therapeutic strategies might be found, for example, by modulating natural microbial competition within the microbiome or specific niches [19, 20]. Second, antifungal susceptibility testing needs to be further standardized, since the current lack of unified protocols causes discrepancies between laboratories and difficulties in the interpretation of obtained data [21]. Antifungal susceptibility testing is a crucial requirement to find the optimal treatment option for a patient as well as for the detection of antifungal resistance [5]. Third, the awareness for FIs at the social and governmental levels (and by extension healthcare authorities) should be raised. Even though FIs account for a tremendous number of (lethal) infections, their impact remains comparably underestimated. In sum, FIs are crucial contributors to the new old threat of infectious diseases, and upgrading our antifungal armamentarium by improving existing and/or devising novel antifungal strategies remains an urgent medical challenge.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Austrian Science Fund FWF (SFBLIPOTOX F3007&F3012, W1226, P29203, P29262, P27893) and the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research and the University of Graz for grants “Unkonventionelle Forschung” and “flysleep” (BMWFW-80.109/0001-WF/V/3b/2015). The authors also acknowledge the funding of DK Metabolic and Cardiovascular Disease (FWF) and the Doctoral College “Metabolic and Cardiovascular Disease” (FWFW1226) as well as support from NAWI Graz and the BioTechMed-Graz flagship project “EPIAge”.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

D.C.-G. and F.M. are the scientific co-founders of Samsara Therapeutics, a company that develops novel pharmacological autophagy inducers. F.M. and D.C.-G. have equity interests in TLL (The Longevity Labs), a company that develops natural food extracts.

COPYRIGHT

© 2020 Kainz et al. This is an open-access article released under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, which allows the unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are acknowledged.

Please cite this article as: Katharina Kainz, Maria A. Bauer, Didac Carmona-Gutierrez and Frank Madeo (2020). Fungal infections: the hidden crisis. *Microbial Cell* 7(6): 143-145. doi: 10.15698/mic2020.06.718

REFERENCES

- WHO (2018). The top 10 causes of death. Available at <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death>. [Accessed 15.05.2020]
- Bongomin F, Gago S, Oladele RO, Denning DW (2017). Global and Multi-National Prevalence of Fungal Diseases-Estimate Precision. *J Fungi* 3(4): E57. doi: 10.3390/jof3040057
- WHO (2019). Global Tuberculosis Report. Available at https://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/. [Accessed 15.05.2020]
- WHO (2019). World Malaria Report. Available at <https://www.who.int/publications-detail/world-malaria-report-2019>. [Accessed 15.05.2020]
- Houšť J, Spížek J, Havlíček V (2020). Antifungal drugs. *Metabolites* 10(3): E106. doi: 10.3390/metabo10030106
- Veríssimo C (2016). Chapter 3 - Fungal Infections. In: Viegas C, Pinheiro AC, Sabino R, Viegas S, Brandão J, Veríssimo C, editors Environmental Mycology in Public Health. **Academic Press, Amsterdam**; pp 27–34.
- Enoch DA, Yang H, Aliyu SH, Micallef C (2017). The Changing Epidemiology of Invasive Fungal Infections. *Methods Mol Biol* 1508:17-65. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-6515-1_2
- Friedman DZP, Schwartz IS (2019). Emerging Fungal Infections: New Patients, New Patterns, and New Pathogens. *J Fungi* 5(3): E67. doi: 10.3390/jof5030067
- Lockhart SR, Guarner J (2019). Emerging and reemerging fungal infections. *Semin Diagn Pathol* 36(3):177-181. doi: 10.1053/j.semmp.2019.04.010
- Uppuluri P, Pierce CG, López-Ribot JL (2009). *Candida albicans* biofilm formation and its clinical consequences. *Future Microbiol* 4(10):1235-7. doi: 10.2217/fmb.09.85
- Pierce CG, Srinivasan A, Ramasubramanian AK, López-Ribot JL (2015). From Biology to Drug Development: New Approaches to Combat the Threat of Fungal Biofilms. *Microbiol Spectr* 3(3). doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MB-0007-2014
- Campoy S, Adrio JL (2017). Antifungals. *Biochem Pharmacol* 133:86-96. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2016.11.019
- Khanna D, Bharti S (2014). Luliconazole for the treatment of fungal infections: an evidence-based review. *Core Evid* 9:113-24. doi: 10.2147/CE.S49629
- Perlin DS, Rautemaa-Richardson R, Alastruey-Izquierdo A (2017). The global problem of antifungal resistance: prevalence, mechanisms, and management. *Lancet Infect Dis* 17(12): e383-e392. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30316-X
- CDC (2019). Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States 2019. Available at <https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/2019-ar-threats-report-508.pdf>. [Accessed 15.05.2020]
- Arendrup MC, Patterson TF (2017). Multidrug-Resistant *Candida*: Epidemiology, Molecular Mechanisms, and Treatment. *J Infect Dis* 216(suppl_3): S445-S451. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jix131
- Pais P, Galocha M, Viana M, Cavalheiro M, Pereira D, Teixeira MC (2019). Microevolution of the pathogenic yeasts *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata* during antifungal therapy and host infection. *Microbial Cell* 6(3): 142-159. doi: 10.15698/mic2019.03.670
- Edouarzin E, Horn C, Paudyal A, Zhang C, Lu J, Tong Z, Giaeffer G, Nislow C, Veerapandian R, Hua DH and Vedyappan G (2020). Broad-spectrum antifungal activities and mechanism of drimane sesquiterpenoids. *Microbial Cell* 7(6): 146-159. doi: 10.15698/mic2020.06.719
- Cabral DJ, Penumutthu S, Norris C, Morones-Ramirez JR, Belenky P (2018). Microbial competition between *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans* reveals a soluble fungicidal factor. *Microbial Cell* 5(5): 249–255. doi: 10.15698/mic2018.05.631
- Zangl I, Pap IJ, Aspöck C, Schüller C (2020). The role of *Lactobacillus* Species in the control of *Candida* via biotrophic Interactions. *Microbial Cell* 7(1):1-14. doi: 10.15698/mic2020.01.702
- Van Dijck P, JSjollema J, Cammue BP, Lagrou K, Berman J, d'Enfert C, Andes DR, Arendrup MC, Brakhage AA, Calderone R, Cantón E, Coenye T, Cos P, Cowen LE, Edgerton M, Espinel-Ingroff A, Filler SG, Ghannoum M, Gow NAR, Haas H, Jabra-Rizk MA, Johnson EM, Lockhart SR, Lopez-Ribot JL, Maertens J, Munro CA, Nett JE, Nobile CJ, Pfaller MA, Ramage G, Sanglard D, Sanguinetti M, Spriet I, Verweij PE, Warris A, Wauters J, Yeaman MR, Zaai SAJ, Thevissen K (2018). Methodologies for *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of efficacy of antifungal and antibiofilm agents and surface coatings against fungal biofilms. *Microbial Cell* 5(7):300-326. doi: 10.15698/mic2018.07.638