

Mechanisms underlying lactic acid tolerance and its influence on lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Arne Peetermans^{1,2}, María R. Foulquié-Moreno^{1,2} and Johan M. Thevelein^{1,2,3,*}

¹ Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology, Institute of Botany and Microbiology, KU Leuven, Flanders, Belgium.

² Center for Microbiology, VIB, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, B-3001, Leuven-Heverlee, Flanders, Belgium.

³ NovelYeast bv, Open Bio-Incubator, Erasmus High School, Laarbeeklaan 121, 1090 Brussels (Jette), Belgium.

* Corresponding Author:

Johan M. Thevelein, Telephone: +32 - 468 21 00 12; E-mail: johan.thevelein@novelyeast.com

ABSTRACT One of the major bottlenecks in lactic acid production using microbial fermentation is the detrimental influence lactic acid accumulation poses on the lactic acid producing cells. The accumulation of lactic acid results in many negative effects on the cell such as intracellular acidification, anion accumulation, membrane perturbation, disturbed amino acid trafficking, increased turgor pressure, ATP depletion, ROS accumulation, metabolic dysregulation and metal chelation. In this review, the manner in which *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* deals with these issues will be discussed extensively not only for lactic acid as a singular stress factor but also in combination with other stresses. In addition, different methods to improve lactic acid tolerance in *S. cerevisiae* using targeted and non-targeted engineering methods will be discussed.

doi: xxx

Received originally: 28.01.2021;

in revised form: 29.03.2021,

Accepted 02.04.2021,

Published 14.04.2021.

Keywords: lactic acid tolerance, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, lactic acid production, yeast cell factory, lactic acid stress response.

Abbreviations:

GABA - γ -aminobutyric acid,

GSH - glutathione,

pHi - intracellular pH,

PLA - poly-lactic acid,

ROS - reactive oxygen species,

SNP - single nucleotide polymorphism.

BACKGROUND

The compound lactic acid is a molecule with a long history of use in the food industry as a preservative, pH buffering agent, flavoring agent and acidulant [1]. More recently it has also been used in other industries such as the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, textile and most importantly the chemical industry [2]. In the chemical industry, lactic acid is used as a platform molecule to produce a large variety of chemicals including acrylic acid, propylene glycol, acetaldehyde, 2,3-pentanedione, pyruvic acid, and the lactide molecule which is used to make the polymer poly-lactic acid (PLA) [3]. Currently, the rising demand for the bio-based, biodegradable plastic PLA is the main contributor to the rising demand for lactic acid [4, 5].

Presently, lactic acid is mainly produced with microbial fermentation, using lactic acid bacteria. However, because of their relatively high acid sensitivity, neutralizing agents such as CaCO_3 have to be added during fermentation for optimal production. Because of this, lactic acid is produced in its salt form (calcium lactate) due to the pH of the fer-

mentation broth being higher than the pKa of lactic acid. Lactic acid is freed from its salt by recovery with sulfuric acid, which causes the calcium ion from calcium lactate and the sulfate group from sulfuric acid to react leading to the formation of calcium sulfate or gypsum which is an undesirable by-product made in very large quantities [3].

With the aim of achieving the production of lactic acid in its undissociated acid form, researchers have been metabolically engineering lactic acid bacteria for increased tolerance to low pH, achieving some promising results, inherently, weak-acid tolerant yeast species have been metabolically engineered to produce lactic acid. Yeast species are not only good hosts for lactic acid production because of their higher tolerance to low pH, but also because of their simple nutrient requirements in contrast to lactic acid bacteria, which facilitates down-stream processing [7-9]. Yeast species that have already been engineered for lactic acid production include *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [10-14], *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [15], *Zygosaccharomyces bailli* [16], *Candida sonorensis* [17], *Candida krusei*

(also named *Candida glycerinogenes*, *Pichia kudriavzevii* and *Issatchenkia orientalis*) [18, 19], *Candida boidinii* [20], *Pichia stipitis* [21], *Pichia pastoris* [22], *Kluyveromyces marxianus* [23, 24] and *Kluyveromyces lactis* [24, 25]. Of all these species, *S. cerevisiae* has been most extensively studied as it is relatively easy to genetically engineer, because of the availability of the complete genome sequence of many strains and of a wealth of molecular information on gene products and metabolic pathways. Additionally, its fermentation and process technology for large-scale production is well established, making it attractive to use under industrial conditions.

However, despite the higher inherent tolerance of these yeast species, growth inhibition due to lactic acid accumulation is still the most limiting factor preventing high-titer production of lactic acid [8, 10, 26, 27]. To circumvent this, the yeast species should be made even more lactic acid tolerant by metabolic engineering strategies. Targeting of the mechanisms responsible for coping with lactic acid stress has shown to be effective in increasing the titers, productivity and yield of lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* strains. Genes involved in lactic acid tolerance that have been targeted to improve lactic acid titers in *S. cerevisiae* strains include *GSF2* [10], *HAA1* [28], *SSB1* [13], *SAM2* [26], *ESBP6* [29]. Moreover, Suzuki et al. showed with the quadruple deletion strain *dse2Δ scw11Δ eaf3Δ sed1Δ* that combinatorial disruption of multiple genes which individually improve lactic acid tolerance can result in a further improvement of performance [27]. Moreover, deletion of these four genes together increased lactic acid productivity by 27%, while individual mutations did not result in increased productivity [27].

We can conclude that lactic acid tolerance is a crucial factor, which must be considered when high titers of lactic acid are to be obtained. Unravelling the mechanisms underlying the lactic acid stress response can help us identify genetic targets that can be modified to improve the productivity of lactic acid producing yeast species. In this review the mechanisms underlying lactic acid tolerance in *S. cerevisiae* and the use of this knowledge to develop high-performance industrial lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* strains will be discussed.

THE DETRIMENTAL EFFECTS OF WEAK ORGANIC ACID STRESS

It is known that the presence of lactic acid or other weak organic acids causes severe growth defects in microorganisms. The degree of microbial inhibition by weak acids is largely determined by their chemical properties, in particular their pKa, their lipophilicity, and their volatility. Other factors besides the chemical properties of the weak acids playing a role are the pH of the medium and the concentration of the acid [30-33].

At external pH below the pKa of the weak acid, the acid will be predominantly in its undissociated, uncharged, and thus more lipophilic form. Because of its lipophilicity the undissociated acid can transverse the plasma membrane. Once inside the cell, the weak acid dissociates because of

the neutral cytosolic pH. This dissociation causes a cascade of reactions inside the cell [33-36]. Because of its charged nature the anion cannot diffuse back out of the cell resulting in accumulation of the anion in the cytosol. The accumulated anions can intercalate with cellular membranes causing their permeabilization while also perturbing the function of the membrane imbedded proteins. Permeabilization of the plasma membrane causes the influx of ions and other small metabolites which leads to the stimulation of proton import, causing a dissipation of the electrochemical potential which is one of the driving forces of secondary transport. This results in the generation of an abnormally large turgor pressure and also inhibits normal membrane trafficking, which affects the uptake of many essential components including amino acids [31, 34, 37]. Another effect caused by the accumulation of weak acid anions in the cytosol, is the disruption of the electron transport chain on the mitochondrial inner membrane causing ATP depletion and the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [37, 38]. Additionally, the increasing number of protons entering the cell due to plasma membrane perturbation together with the protons originating from the dissociated acid cause intracellular acidification. Intracellular acidification has an impact on a lot of cell functions including DNA and RNA synthesis and many metabolic processes. In *S. cerevisiae*, one of the metabolic processes that is disrupted by intracellular acidification is glycolysis [39-41]. Lower ATP generation due to disruption of glycolysis in addition with disruption of the energy-transport chain can partly explain the energy depletion in cells in response to weak acid addition [42]. Other possible causes include ATP-dependent export of protons and anions through the H⁺-ATPase and ABC pumps, respectively. Another effect associated with weak acid stress includes endoplasmic reticulum stress and the ensuing induction of the unfolded protein response [43]. Although the unfolded protein response is activated upon stress derived from most weak acids such as sorbic acid, propionic acid and acetic acid, it is not triggered by lactic acid stress [43]. Additionally, the chelation of metal ions by the negatively charged anions has the potential to limit metal availability in the growth medium [31].

It can be concluded that weak acid stress has a negative influence on many cellular functions. Because of the huge burden that weak acids exert on the cells, cells have developed diverse mechanisms to cope with this stress.

THE TRANSCRIPTOMIC RESPONSE FOLLOWING LACTIC ACID STRESS IN *S. CEREVISIAE*

As discussed above, the effects exerted by weak organic acid stress on the cells is not only dependent on the type of microorganism but also depends on the chemical properties of the weak acid. Therefore, it is only logical that the coping mechanisms induced by the cell upon weak acid stress vary depending on the type of weak acid. In this chapter the transcriptomic response upon lactic acid stress will be discussed in detail.

Although the genes induced in response to weak acid stress do not coincide much for different types of acids, the same biological functions are affected: protein folding, lipid metabolism, cell-wall function and multidrug resistance [31]. The transcriptional response upon weak acid stress largely depends on the lipophilicity of the weak acid. Only one gene has been shown to be induced in response to all weak acids, which is the gene *TPO3* encoding for a H⁺-antiporter involved in multidrug resistance. This indicates that the existence of a general weak-acid response is limited [31].

The stress response upon addition of hydrophilic weak acids such as acetic acid and lactic acid is largely regulated by the Haa1-regulon [31, 36, 44-46]. It has been shown that Haa1-regulation of lactic acid stress is mainly exerted at low pH, meaning that Haa1 is primarily involved in the response to the undissociated form [47]. Upon lactic acid stress at low pH, Haa1 becomes localized to the nucleus where it is presumably activated due to phosphorylation and subsequently induces the expression of its target genes [46]. Activation of Haa1 is mediated by direct binding with the weak acid anion [36]. The return of Haa1 back to the cytosol is most likely due to Msn5-mediated export [46]. It was shown that *HAA1* overexpression in a lactic acid producing cell results in increased lactic acid productivity [28]. However, disruption of some of the most prominent Haa1 targets such as *TPO2*, *TPO3*, *YGP3* and *YRO2* did not cause a growth defect in high lactic acid concentrations [47]. This suggests a complex regulation network in which the deletion of one gene is compensated by differential expression of other elements in this network that deals with lactic acid stress.

In contrast, at pH 5, where lactate is the predominant form, the main transcriptional response in *S. cerevisiae* is related to iron homeostasis. This is mainly regulated by the transcription factor Aft1. Upon lactate stress at pH=5, Aft1 is translocated to the nucleus where it induces expression of its target genes [47, 48].

ADAPTIVE RESPONSE TO INTRACELLULAR ACIDIFICATION DUE TO LACTIC ACID STRESS

Intracellular pH (pHi) homeostasis is a critical element in cell survivability. In *S. cerevisiae*, pHi homeostasis is tightly regulated by the proton translocating ATPase pump Pma1 present in the plasma membrane and vacuolar ATPase pumps (V-ATPases) in the vacuolar membrane [31, 49].

When intracellular acidification occurs due to weak acid stress, yeast cells rely primarily on stimulation of the H⁺-ATPase Pma1 to pump protons out of the cytosol. The up-regulation of Pma1 is not attributed to increased synthesis of the enzyme but rather to post-transcriptional modification [50-52]. It has been shown that phosphorylation of Pma1, causes an increased affinity for ATP and an increase in maximum initial velocity (V_{max}). Hence upon phosphorylation, more protons can be pumped out of the cell membrane, restoring pH homeostasis [53].

The role of the V-ATPase pumps in maintaining pHi homeostasis upon weak acid stress is the sequestering of

protons into the lumen of the vacuole. Additionally, it has also been shown that V-ATPase activity plays a role in the correct trafficking and sorting of Pma1 to the plasma membrane [49].

It has been shown that the degree of intracellular acidification is largely dependent on the nature of the weak acid. At growth inhibitory concentration, lipophilic acids such as sorbic and benzoic acid do not cause a severe reduction in pHi, indicating a minor role of intracellular acidification in growth inhibition by these acids. In contrast, the more hydrophilic acetic acid molecule is known to cause long-term intracellular acidification and thus strong activation of the Pma1 proton pump [54, 55]. In agreement with these findings, when *S. cerevisiae* cells were exposed to lactic acid stress during growth, pHi dropped considerably [56]. However, in contrast to the acetic acid response, a decrease instead of an increase was seen in Pma1 activity upon lactic acid stress. This decrease in activity was attributed to a reduction in unsaturated fatty acid levels due to lactate anion stress. A reduction in unsaturated fatty acids affects the membrane fluidity and thus also the positioning and stability of Pma1 [55, 57, 58].

EXPORT OF LACTATE AS A FACTOR IN LACTIC ACID STRESS

To counteract lactate-anion accumulation inside the cells, anions have to be exported out of the cells. Two lactate-anion transporters have been described in *S. cerevisiae*. The most prominent one is Jen1, a member of the major facilitator superfamily belonging to the sialate:proton symporter family [8, 57, 59, 60]. The other one is Ady2, a member of the acetate uptake family [59, 61-63].

The role of the anion-proton symporter Jen1 in lactate transport

The most prominent type of lactate transporters found in microorganisms are lactate-proton symporters in which one or more protons are transported per anion. In *S. cerevisiae*, the monocarboxylate transporter Jen1 mediates lactate-proton symport. The stoichiometry of Jen1 in *S. cerevisiae* is one proton per anion. This implies an electroneutral transport that does not affect pH homeostasis in lactic acid producing cells [57, 64]. Jen1 is a transporter involved not only in the transport of lactic acid but also in transport of other monocarboxylic acids such as pyruvate, acetate and propionate [57, 59, 65], the micronutrient selenite [66] and the anticancer drug 3-bromopyruvate [67].

The expression of *JEN1* depends largely on the growth medium. When cells are grown in glucose (and formic or propionic acid), the transcription of *JEN1* is repressed [65]. In contrast, when cells are grown in lactic acid (and pyruvic acid or acetic acid) without glucose supplemented in the medium, *JEN1* is highly expressed leading to the import and consumption of the acids [65]. In agreement with these findings, it has been shown that overexpression of *JEN1* results in increased (inward) transport of lactic acid, while the deletion of *JEN1* causes impaired growth on lac-

tic acid [68]. Interestingly, high expression of *JEN1* was also seen in cells grown in xylose and cellobiose media. In xylose-grown cells, *JEN1* was 55 times more expressed than in glucose-grown cells [62, 69]. Similarly, in cellobiose-grown cells, *JEN1* was five times more expressed [70].

The repression of *JEN1* when glucose is present is mainly mediated by transcription factors Mig1 and Mig2 [71]. In the cytosol, Mig1 and 2 are activated by dephosphorylation through the Reg1/Glc7 phosphatase and subsequently transported into the nucleus where they cause repression of *JEN1* [72]. In lactate medium, the kinase Snf1 phosphorylates Mig1 resulting in the translocation of the Mig1/2 complex from the nucleus to the cytosol, causing derepression of *JEN1* and activation of the Cat8 and Adr1 transcription factors. The Hap2/3/4/5 complex together with Cat8 and Adr1 act together to upregulate the *JEN1* gene among other genes that are involved in the utilization of non-fermentable sources [71, 73-75]. However, *JEN1* expression is not only mediated at the transcriptional level but also at the post-transcriptional level. Upon addition of glucose to lactic acid-grown cells, *JEN1* mRNA decay is triggered [76]. The underlying mechanism mediating this mRNA decay seems to be largely depending on the Dhh1 RNA helicase and the Pat1-Lsm decapping enhancers [75, 77]. Additional regulation of Jen1 is mediated at the protein level. Upon addition of glucose to lactic-acid grown cells, the loss of Jen1 is triggered via endocytosis and subsequent vacuolar degradation [78]. This is mediated by activation of Rod1 and the deactivation of Snf1 via the Glc7/Reg1 complex. The Glc7/Reg1 complex dephosphorylates Rod1, resulting in its release from the interaction with the 14-3-3 proteins and subsequent ubiquitination by Rsp5. The ubiquitinated Rod1/Rsp5 complex mediates ubiquitination of Jen1 resulting in its degradation [75, 79].

Initially, Jen1 was only described as an inward transporter of lactate. However, the finding that overexpression of *JEN1* increases lactic acid production and yield in a lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* strain, led to the suggestion that Jen1 also has an export function [59, 80, 81]. Because Ldh activity drops considerably upon lactate-anion accumulation in the cytosol, increased export due to overexpression of *JEN1* fits with the increased lactic acid yield and productivity. The accumulation of only 10 g/L in the cytosol reduces Ldh activity by about 40% [80]. However, one thing to note is that the overexpression of *JEN1* only helps to a certain extent. The effect of the overexpression becomes negligible in high concentrations of lactate, suggesting possible saturation of Jen1 [80]. Additionally, upon glucose depletion, the extracellular lactic acid levels drop considerably in the lactic acid producing *JEN1*-overexpression strain. This was not seen in the lactic acid producing *JEN1*-deletion strain [59]. Taking this together we can conclude that Jen1 exports lactate out of the cell upon accumulation of the anion in the cytosol when glucose is still present while it imports lactate upon depletion of glucose at the end of the lactic acid fermentation.

In contrast to lactic acid fermentation in glucose media, overexpression of *JEN1* in xylose media does not improve

lactic acid production. This is probably because *JEN1* is already highly expressed in xylose media [62].

The role of acetate permease Ady2 in lactate transport

Similar to Jen1, the acetate transporter Ady2 is a proton-anion symporter that is also involved in lactic acid transport [64, 65, 82, 83]. In addition to lactic acid, Ady2 also transports acetate, propionate, ammonia, formate and pyruvate [59, 61, 63, 84].

The *ADY2* gene is induced by non-fermentable carbon sources and xylose and repressed by glucose, similar to the *JEN1* gene [62]. In xylose and cellobiose media the expression of *ADY2* in *S. cerevisiae* cells was seven and two times higher, respectively, than in glucose-media [69].

In an *S. cerevisiae* strain producing lactic acid from glucose, deletion of *ADY2* resulted in lower extracellular lactic acid concentrations in comparison with the strain with constitutive expression of *ADY2*. However, upon glucose depletion more lactic acid was consumed in the strain expressing *ADY2* [59]. These results suggest that the transport of lactate by Ady2 is bidirectional and that *ADY2* can be modified to increase lactic acid production in *S. cerevisiae* in glucose medium. This possibility was further supported by de Kok *et al.* [63]. They performed adaptive evolution for growth in lactic acid medium in a *JEN1*-deletion strain to find alternative lactate transporters in *S. cerevisiae*. This adapted strain was whole-genome sequenced to find the causative elements for its improved growth in lactic acid media. The causative elements found were two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *ADY2*, and a chromosomal rearrangement resulting in two extra copies of *ADY2*. The SNPs presumably caused extra space in the translocation site [63]. Interestingly, when the mutated *ADY2*-alleles were transformed into a *JEN1/ADY2*-deletion strain, biomass formation was drastically improved (41%). The substantial increase in biomass formation in the strain in which transport is mediated by the Ady2 isoform in contrast to the reference strain in which lactic acid transport is mainly mediated by Jen1, suggests a different mode of energy coupling of lactate transport by these Ady2 isoforms [63].

Single deletion of *ADY2* (and *JEN1*) did not affect lactic acid production from xylose in a lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* strain. However, the deletion of both *ADY2* and *JEN1* decreased lactic acid yield by 36% [62]. This implies a compensatory transcriptional regulation effect of the lactic acid transporters. When *JEN1* is deleted, *ADY2* transcription is upregulated and vice versa. Additionally, de Kok *et al.* [63] found that in contrast to what was seen by Casal *et al.* [65], *ADY2* could rescue growth of *JEN1*-deletion mutants in lactic acid media when *ADY2* was highly expressed from a plasmid [63]. Taken together, this suggests that Ady2 can take over the function of Jen1 despite its lower affinity for lactate (7 times lower [59]) provided that the expression of *ADY2* is many times higher than the *JEN1* expression level.

Alternative export mechanisms of lactate in *S. cerevisiae* upon lactic acid stress

It has been shown that the deletion of both *ADY2* and *JEN1* decreases extracellular lactic acid production in a lactic acid producing heterolactic *S. cerevisiae* strain both in xylose and glucose media. However, lactate export is still operational in these deletion-strains, suggesting the presence of other lactate transporters in *S. cerevisiae* [59, 62, 82]. In addition, it has been suggested that under industrially relevant conditions (low extracellular pH, high extracellular lactic acid concentration), export of lactate with lactate-proton symport is no longer thermodynamically feasible [57]. Since lactate-proton symport is the mechanism by which both *Ady2* and *Jen1* work, other transport mechanisms should be present in *S. cerevisiae* that mediate export of lactic acid/lactate under these harsh conditions. The only mechanisms of export that are feasible under these conditions are all energy-requiring [57]. In line with this, it was found that export of lactic acid in *S. cerevisiae* strains producing lactic acid and no ethanol at all (homolactic) is energy-dependent [85]. Van Maris *et al.* showed that fermentation rate and growth of homolactic *S. cerevisiae* strains under anaerobic conditions was almost negligible. This was attributed to a zero net ATP yield, causing energy depletion by maintenance metabolism [85, 86]. Energy depletion abrogates protein synthesis, central carbon metabolism and any other energy consuming process [70, 86]. Van Maris *et al.* (2004) suggested that the net zero ATP yield was due to the need of one ATP for every molecule of lactate that is exported while the formation of one lactate molecule yields only one ATP molecule during glycolysis under anaerobic conditions [85].

Three different mechanisms can be the underlying cause for the energy-dependent lactate transport. The first one being energy-requiring primary transport of lactate out of the cell. Alternatively, facilitated diffusion of the lactate anion accompanied by export of the associated proton with a H^+ -ATPase pump could be the causative mechanism. Another possibility could be the antiport of lactate with a cation (Na^+ or H^+) followed by the subsequent cation extrusion with P-type ATPase pumps to maintain proton-motive force [82].

A primary (active) exporter of lactate has not been found yet in *S. cerevisiae*. In contrast, for other carboxylic acids such as sorbic, propionic, and benzoic acid, the ABC-transporter *Pdr12* has been shown to be responsible for transport. *Pdr12* is a substrate-specific transporter that can transport carboxylic acids with an aliphatic chain ranging from one to seven. However, highly hydrophobic (aliphatic chain length higher than seven) or more hydrophilic (lactic, acetic and formic) acids cannot be transported by *Pdr12* [34, 87-89]. Nevertheless, it has been shown that the overexpression of *PDR12* makes *S. cerevisiae* cells more sensitive to lactic acid stress showing that it does play some kind of role in coping with lactic acid stress [87]. Additionally, when Hirasawa *et al.* (2013) expressed an *LDH* gene in 4802 deletion strains, the *PDR12* deletion strain was the only deletion strain showing a relevant change in lactic acid titer (>90% reduction) [82, 90]. However, this change in

lactic acid titer was attributed to the auxotrophic strain background [34, 82].

An interesting concept proposed by Burgstaller *et al.* was that the low pH in the culture broth could be the driving force for weak-acid anion export. At low external pH, the concentration of dissociated acid is high inside the cell and low outside the cell. Hence the dissociated acid leaves the cell, following its concentration gradient by facilitated passive diffusion [91]. The ATP depletion in this type of transport is attributed to the use of the H^+ -ATPase pump (stoichiometry of 1 H^+ /ATP in *S. cerevisiae* [92, 93]) to export the remaining H^+ of the lactic acid and maintain the membrane potential [85, 86]. At the moment, export of lactate via facilitated diffusion has not been found yet in *S. cerevisiae*. In contrast, facilitated diffusion of acetate was shown to be mediated by the aquaglyceroporin *Fps1*. However, the uptake of acetate dropped drastically at high pH, suggesting that the substrate is the undissociated acetic acid rather than the anion [94].

As previously suggested, the energy-dependent lactate export mechanism responsible for ATP-depletion in homolactic *S. cerevisiae* cells could be lactate-cation antiport combined with proton expulsion. This could be mediated by the Haa1-regulated *Tpo2* and *Tpo3* antiporters. Upon lactic acid addition both *TPO2* and *TPO3* showed upregulation, suggesting a role in lactic acid tolerance [47]. However, double deletion did not lead to impaired growth in lactic acid media. This could imply that there is a redundancy of lactate exporters or that *Tpo1* and *Tpo2* are not involved in lactate transport. However, an interesting finding by Fernandes *et al.* was that upon deletion of *TPO2*, acetate accumulates in the cell, showing the importance of *Tpo2* in export of more hydrophilic organic acids [44].

With the aim of finding mechanisms involved in lactate export, Mans *et al.* performed a functional analysis study. Twenty-five putative lactate exporters including the genes encoding *Jen1*, *Ady2*, *Pdr12*, *Fps1*, *Tpo2/3* [82] were deleted. In this 25-deletion strain an *LDH* gene derived from *Lactobacillus casei* was expressed and the strain tested for anaerobic growth. They found that in anaerobic glucose-grown batch cultures the 25-deletion strain exhibited a lower specific growth rate and biomass-specific lactate production compared to the control. This finding was attributed to a lower glycolytic flux. In contrast, in anaerobic glucose-limited chemostat cultures the lactate production rate was the same for the 25-deletion and the control strain, suggesting that one or more alternative transporters must be able to sustain lactic acid export [82].

We can conclude that *Jen1* and *Ady2* are probably not the only mechanisms involved in transport of the lactate anion. Most likely one or more ATP-dependent mechanisms are involved in lactate transport. Possibly the mechanisms mediating lactate transport are not as straightforward as one might think. There is a possibility that the export is mediated differently for different concentrations of lactic acid stress. It could be that the export of lactate in a medium with low lactic acid concentration is mainly mediated by neutral transport by transporters such as *Jen1/Ady2*. On the other hand, upon high lactic acid stress,

other energy-requiring export mechanisms could be up-regulated because in contrast to these neutral transport mechanisms, they are not hindered by thermodynamic constraints under these harsh conditions [57]. Therefore, it might be worthwhile to characterize lactic acid stress responses upon addition of very high lactic acid concentrations (>50 g/L lactic acid). More specifically, the lactic acid response in homolactic *S. cerevisiae* strains producing very high lactic acid titers should be investigated. It has been shown that cells producing low levels of a weak acid have time to adapt to the weak-acid stress in contrast to cells which are exposed rapidly to high concentrations of weak acid resulting in a different type of stress response [30]. A similar situation might be applicable to yeast producing the very high lactic acid titers required in industrial practice.

AMINO ACID METABOLISM UPON LACTIC ACID STRESS IN *S. CEREVISIAE*

It is known that lactic acid stress results in a substantial decrease of intracellular amino acids [95]. An explanation could be the disruption of the proton gradient due to membrane perturbation, which is known to be the driving force for the amino acid transporters [96]. An alternative explanation could be the disturbance of vacuolar integrity. This could lead to incorrect localization of amino acid transporters and decreased recycling of membrane proteins [95, 97]. Moreover, vacuolar integrity disturbance could have an effect on amino acid storage in the vacuole [98-100]. Additionally, it also affects peptide transport and hydrolysis, which are used as a source for amino acids [95, 101, 102]. The starvation of amino acids causes a general reduction in protein synthesis thereby affecting many cellular processes including stress-response [95]. In addition, amino acid depletion is also known to disturb intracellular pH homeostasis due to a decrease in glutamate and arginine decarboxylation which is a proton consuming process. Furthermore, amino acid depletion is also responsible for increased accumulation of oxygen radicals, resulting in additional stress [103].

To counteract amino acid depletion upon lactic acid stress, the cell reacts with upregulation of genes responsible for amino acid homeostasis [95]. The upregulation of genes responsible for biosynthesis and uptake of amino acids upon stress triggered by acetic acid or 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid is mediated by the TOR-pathway, suggesting that for the lactic acid stress response the same mechanism might be involved [45, 104]. Other responses induced upon lactic acid stress include vacuolar acidification, which helps with the stable location of Pma1. But also vacuolar fragmentation, which is suggested to help increase the surface to volume area of the vacuole resulting in more space for H⁺-ATPases and anion pumps, results in more efficient efflux of protons and anions from the cytosol [95]. These adaptations help the cell to repair the proton gradient, resulting in more efficient amino acid uptake.

In contrast to what is seen for most amino acids, lactic acid stress causes an increase in some specific amino acids

such as proline, cysteine, asparagine and glutamine. The increase in cysteine and glutamine levels has been attributed to increased glutathione (GSH) synthesis under lactic acid stress. GSH is synthesized from glutamine, glycine and cysteine for the purpose of ROS scavenging to counteract oxidative stress [105]. The increase in proline levels upon lactic acid stress has also been shown to have a role in lactic acid tolerance [105]. Currently, it is not exactly known how proline helps in coping with lactic acid stress. However, it has been suggested that it could be due to protecting the cell from a pH drop [105]. Alternatively, it was suggested that it is due to oxidative stress protective properties of proline because increased proline levels trigger overexpression of *MPR1*, which is known to lead to decreased ROS levels and increased maintenance of cell viability [106, 107], or it might be due to ROS scavenging properties of proline itself [108].

IRON METABOLISM IS INVOLVED IN LACTIC ACID TOLERANCE IN *S. CEREVISIAE*

Not only amino acid homeostasis but also metal cation homeostasis is involved in lactic acid tolerance. The expression of genes involved in iron metabolism in particular is the most affected upon lactic acid stress. Iron metabolism is mainly regulated by the transcription factor Aft1. Induction of Aft1 upon lactic acid stress and subsequent alteration in the expression levels of iron permeases, iron reductases, siderophore transporters, ferroxidases and siderophore uptake-related proteins shows the crucial role iron metabolism plays in lactic acid tolerance [48]. A possible explanation for the alternative regulation of iron metabolism upon lactic acid stress could be that iron transport is inhibited or that more iron is needed in the cell [48]. The latter could be the result of possible chelating abilities of iron. The positively charged cations can chelate the negatively charged lactate anions, reducing anion accumulation [31]. A possible counterargument to this hypothesis is the fact that the iron-lactate complex is able to interact with H₂O₂ and thereby enhance hydroxyl-radical generation via the Fenton reaction, which generates oxidative stress [109, 110].

ENERGY METABOLISM IN *S. CEREVISIAE* UPON LACTIC ACID STRESS

It is known that weak acid stress has a negative influence on energy metabolism. It leads to disruption of the electron transport chain [37, 38], disruption of metabolic pathways responsible for ATP generation, such as glycolysis [39-41], and of the energy-requiring export of protons and anions [111].

Indeed, when cells are subjected to moderate weak acid stress, ATP levels decrease. However, upon high levels of weak acid stress, it was found that ATP levels increased [42]. The addition of 14 g/L of lactic acid (pH≈2.5) to the culture resulted in a 1.34 (±0.24) ATP/ADP ratio, which is a substantial increase in comparison with the control which was 0.23 (±0.05) [105]. The increase in ATP was mainly attributed to a decrease in H⁺-ATPase activity [105]. De-

creased H⁺-ATPase activity is known to occur upon octanoic acid stress [112] and thus could also occur upon lactic acid stress. The regulation of H⁺-ATPase pumps is supposed to be affected negatively by the Hsp30 chaperone which is induced upon weak-acid stress [113]. It can be concluded that yeast has developed a mechanism that counters energy depletion to prevent futile cell cycling and maintain energy reserves for growth resumption under more favorable conditions [42]. Taking all of this in consideration it is not surprising that upon lactic acid stress many genes involved in ATP-regulation are differently expressed [48].

Metabolic engineering with the aim of obtaining a strain with a larger ATP pool has already been shown to have a positive outcome on lactic acid production in *S. cerevisiae*. The deletion of the gene encoding Gsf2, which is involved in the sorting of glucose transporters to the plasma membrane, resulted in the derepression of glucose-repressed genes involved in the respiratory pathway. In this deletion strain, ATP and NAD⁺ could be generated more efficiently, rescuing the growth defects of the lactic acid producing strain and thereby increasing its productivity [10]. Another example showing the importance of energy-metabolism in lactic acid production with *S. cerevisiae* was provided by Ji-Yoon Song et al., (2016). They replaced the native acetyl-CoA pathway with an ATP-independent (heterologous) alternative. The strain with the introduced ATP-independent pathway showed a 20% increase in lactic acid production [114].

ROS ACCUMULATION UPON LACTIC ACID STRESS

Production of high titers of lactic acid under anaerobic conditions by metabolically engineered *S. cerevisiae* strains is cumbersome because of ATP depletion [85]. Therefore, production is preferably performed under aerobic conditions. However, there are some inherent disadvantages with aerobic fermentation including higher energy costs and a higher risk of contamination but also increased accumulation of ROS [58]. The formation of ROS is known to cause lipid, protein and nucleic acid oxidative damage. In addition, ROS species have been known to act as second messengers in the induction of a variety of cellular processes [30]. The increase in ROS upon lactic acid stress in aerobic cells is attributed to an increase in superoxide free radical production in combination with the disturbance of the mitochondrial membrane, which results in released iron ions forming lactate-iron complexes [38]. These iron-lactate complexes are known to increase hydroxyl-radical levels via the Fenton reaction [109, 110]. Another possible cause of the increased oxidative stress is the decreased pH upon lactic acid stress. A drop in pH favors protonation of superoxide radicals, generating uncharged radical species that are able to diffuse through the plasma membrane, making them much more detrimental [38].

As mentioned previously, the cell reacts to increased ROS formation with an increase GSH formation which is a ROS scavenger [105]. In addition, the levels of the metabolite γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) also increase drastically [105]. This has been attributed to the role of the GABA

shunt from glutamate to succinate, which is responsible for activation of a pathway involved in establishing oxidative stress tolerance [105, 115]. Moreover, activation of the GABA shunt results in increased NADPH levels which are required for GSH regeneration [105, 115].

To counter ROS formation in lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* cells under aerobic conditions, metabolic engineering for increased antioxidant levels could be a solution. This has been tried in the past with the development of a strain producing non-natural ascorbic acid [116]. In addition, overexpression of the gene *CTT1*, encoding for a cytosolic catalase has also been shown to increase lactic acid tolerance by alleviating ROS-induced stress [109].

CELL-ENVELOPE REARRANGEMENTS INDUCED BY LACTIC ACID STRESS

The active expulsion of lactic acid from the cell requires much energy and is also a futile effort if the undissociated acid re-enters at a similar rate at low external pH. Therefore, the cell has developed mechanisms to restrict the amount of passive diffusion and consequently the re-entrance of undissociated lactic acid [31]. One of the proposed mechanisms countering re-entrance of undissociated weak acids is the reinforcement of the cell wall structure to decrease porosity [31, 117]. The decrease of cell-wall porosity upon lipophilic weak acid-stress is a process that is dependent on the cell wall protein Spi1 [118]. However, remodeling of the cell wall by Spi1 does not seem to be equally effective in dealing with small hydrophilic weak acids such as acetic and lactic acid [118]. In the case of hydrophilic weak acid stress, a different response occurs although the desired result (decreased re-entering undissociated acid) appears to be the same. Genes involved in cell-wall regulation that play a role in lactic acid stress tolerance encompass *SED1*, *DSE2*, *CTS1*, *EGT2*, *SCW11*, *SUN4* and *YNL300W*. This was shown with functional analysis experiments [48]. Sed1 is a paralog of Spi1 and might play a similar role in the lactic acid stress response as Spi1 plays in the lipophilic weak-acid stress response.

In line with this, the lipid composition of the plasma membrane is also altered upon weak acid stress. The ratio of saturated over unsaturated fatty acids is increased. This happens in combination with a rearrangement of the lipid hydrocarbon tails, and an increase in ergosterol levels that together lead to increased membrane rigidity which results in a decreased amount of undissociated acid that is able to pass the membrane [35, 119, 120]. In addition, this re-organization seems to lead to decreased lipid peroxidation due to a reduction in oxygen-derived free radical attacks [35, 119]. Moreover, the reduced amount of glycans that are found in the cell wall upon lactic acid stress might be a result of altered glucan, mannan and chitin synthesis due to changes in the plasma membrane [35, 121]. Other functions of the plasma membrane that might be affected due to plasma membrane remodeling are stress sensing [122], lipid signaling [123] and protein aggregation [35]. Because the plasma membrane plays a major role in weak acid tolerance, it was not surprising that accumulation of treha-

lose, which is involved in the protection of proteins and lipids also in membrane structures, increases weak acid tolerance [124, 125].

The targeting of genes involved in cell-envelope rearrangements has also been shown to be relevant for increasing lactic acid titers in a lactic acid producing strain. The deletion of *SAM2*, a gene responsible for the synthesis of the cofactor S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) involved in phospholipid biosynthesis, resulted in higher lactic acid resistance and a higher production of lactic acid in a lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* strain.

LACTIC ACID STRESS IN THE PRESENCE OF OTHER STRESS FACTORS

Obviously, during lactic acid fermentation with *S. cerevisiae*, the negative effect that lactic acid exerts on the cell is not the only inhibiting stress factor in an industrial setting. The fermenter can be contaminated with microorganisms leading to various byproducts that also exert stress on the cells. A second source of stress factors is the composition of the substrate and the inhibitors generated in the pretreatment process. The utilization of pure sugar feedstock does not generate a large excess of inhibitor molecules as in the utilization of cellulosic biomass, but pure sugar streams are relatively expensive. Currently, there is a rising interest in the use of cheap renewable “second-generation” biomass resources as carbon source. These are usually very heterogeneous materials either containing a high level of inhibitors in the substrate itself or giving rise to high levels of inhibitors in the pretreatment process [126]. A third potential stress factor in industrial lactic acid fermentations is high temperature. In industrial fermentations, it is preferred to ferment at relatively high temperatures due to the increase in enzyme efficiency and the faster microbial fermentation [127]. However, such high temperatures result in negative effects on the cell [127]. Moreover, because high titers of end product are required in industrial fermentation, very high gravity fermentations are used, resulting in high osmotic pressure [127].

These different types of stress do not only pose individual problems for the cell to deal with. It has been shown that these stress factors often reinforce each other resulting in a very strong combined negative effect on the cell. A good example of this phenomenon is the synergistic effect of acetic acid and lactic acid. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of acetic acid is 0.6% w/v while that of lactic acid is 2.5% w/v, although there is quite some strain-dependent variation in this respect. However, when 0.05-0.1% acetic acid in combination with 0.2-0.8% w/v lactic acid was added to the medium, a much stronger growth inhibition and reduction in glucose consumption and ethanol production occurred [128]. Another interesting synergy was observed with the effect of ethanol and other alkanols on transport of weak acids. It was shown that when cells were grown in glucose media (i.e. with acetic acid transporters glucose-repressed), the passive influx of undissociated acetic acid increased exponentially upon exposure to ethanol and butanol. The degree of exponential enhance-

ment seemed to correlate with the lipid partition coefficient of the alkanols [129]. In contrast, in medium supplemented with acetic acid, lactic acid or ethanol instead of glucose (i.e. acetic acid transporters not glucose-repressed), acetic acid transport was inhibited in a non-competitive way upon addition of ethanol or other alkanols. The underlying cause was attributed to either conformational changes of the acetic acid transporters or changes in their lipid environment in the plasma membrane caused by the fluidizing activities of the alkanols [129].

Interestingly, the presence of different consecutive stresses on the cell can give rise to a phenomenon called cross-resistance. When the cells are exposed to a particular stress, an adaptive response is triggered resulting in a transient resistance to this specific stress. However, because the general response between different stresses largely coincides, the adapted cells generally are not only resistant to the specific stress applied, but also to other types of stress. For instance, it has been shown that pre-induction of cells in glucose media with mild acid stress using hydrochloric acid (pH=3.5) resulted in more thermotolerant cells [130]. However, when more lipophilic acid was added (50 mM acetic acid at pH=3.5 or excess hydrochloric acid at pH=2.5), an increase in thermotolerance was not observed [130]. Interestingly, it was shown that upon high acid stress, the H⁺-ATPase was more expressed, indicating that a resistance mechanism was indeed induced. However, the resistance mechanism seemed not to be sufficient to counter the negative effects exerted by high acid stress, preventing development of the thermotolerant phenotype [130]. The manner in which the resistance mechanism was activated was proposed to be dependent on a drop in pH [130]. Another interesting finding was that cells pre-incubated under glucose-starvation conditions were found to be more thermotolerant to high levels of heat stress. This indicates that glucose starvation by itself results in acquisition of thermotolerance [130]. One of the underlying causes might be the drastic decrease in the level of plasma H⁺-ATPase pumps in glucose-starvation media, resulting in a drop of the intracellular pH [50].

It can be concluded that in industrial lactic acid fermentations with *S. cerevisiae* many different individual stresses can have an influence on the fermentation performance. Moreover, these individual stresses can affect each other leading to synergistically detrimental effects. On the other hand, the presence of a particular stressful condition during pre-incubation can lead to resistance against other potential stresses in a later production phase.

THE DIFFERENCE IN THE STRATEGIES USED TO ACQUIRE LACTIC ACID TOLERANCE IN *ZYGOSACCHAROMYCES BAILII* AND *S. CEREVISIAE*

It is known that some fungal species such as *Z. bailii* [131], *C. krusei* (also named *C. glycerinogenes*, *P. kudriavzevii* and *I. orientalis*) [56, 132], *Monascus ruber* [132], *Candida albicans* [133], and *Candida glabrata* [133] are even more lactic acid tolerant than *S. cerevisiae*. The mechanisms underlying lactic acid tolerance vary to a certain extent in differ-

ent microorganisms. Knowledge about how lactic acid tolerance is established in species that are very lactic acid tolerant can help in finding ways to develop more lactic acid tolerant *S. cerevisiae* strains.

One of the species that has been described extensively for its high acid resistance is *Z. bailii*. One reason for its resistance can be attributed to its ability to use weak acids as carbon source even when glucose is present in the medium [134-136]. In the case of acetic acid tolerance this was attributed due to the presence of an acetate transporter and acetyl-CoA synthetase that are not glucose repressed or inhibited [135, 137, 138]. On the other hand, according to Stratford *et al.* degradation of the weak acid was unlikely to be the main cause for weak acid resistance in *Z. bailii* because of the high diversity in acid structures, the lack of growth restoration in sub-populations, and the cross-resistance between dissimilar acids [139]. Previous work came to the same conclusion [140].

Because weak acids can differ considerably in structure, the resistance mechanisms are likely also diverse. However, some responses to different weak acid stresses in *Z. bailii* appeared to be similar. Upon weak acid stress resistant sub-populations arose that showed cross-resistance. The pHi in these sub-populations was decreased compared to the control. Previously, it was proposed that a drop in the pHi could be the trigger for the induction of resistance mechanisms [130]. However, the drop in pHi was also suggested to be a resistance mechanism by itself. Lower pHi leads to a lower uptake of all weak acids due to an increase in the percentage of undissociated over dissociated acid in the cytosol. The increase in the percentage of undissociated acid in the cell decreases the rate of passive diffusion of the undissociated acid from the outside to the inside of the cell [139]. Since the acidification of the cytosol during weak acid stress did not always lead to more resistant cells, it was suggested that the pHi in these resistant cells was inherently low [139].

Not only a lower inherent pHi, but also drastic lipid rearrangements in the cell membrane and cell wall remodeling have been shown to be major contributors to weak acid tolerance in *Z. bailii* [131, 141]. As in *S. cerevisiae*, the rearrangements of plasma membrane and cell wall are aimed at decreasing the organic acid permeability. However, in *Z. bailii*, the rearrangements are much more extensive [141]. Upon lactic acid stress in *Z. bailii*, phosphocholine levels are reduced significantly in exponential and stationary cells and ergosterol levels are increased, which leads to a considerable increase in membrane rigidity [131]. Additionally, as in *S. cerevisiae*, a decrease in glucans and mannans was observed in the cell wall upon lactic acid stress. Again, this decrease was significantly stronger in *Z. bailii* in contrast to *S. cerevisiae*, suggesting more extensive cell wall rearrangements [131].

In line with this, it was found that *Z. bailii* is able to maintain a more stable pHi upon acetic acid stress than *S. cerevisiae*. The same was shown for *C. krusei* upon lactic acid stress [56]. The more stable pHi in the weak acid resistant yeasts could be explained by the fact that less acid

is able to enter the cell because of the inherently lower pHi and/or because of the more rigid cell membrane and cell wall (see above). Another contributing factor could be a higher expression of H⁺-ATPases. Because in *Z. bailii* weak acid tolerance is mainly attributed to its ability to decrease the amount of weak acid that can enter the cell, it may have no apparent need for a weak acid exporter such as Pdr12 [37]. By not utilizing active transport for anion extrusion, the cell can save energy for other metabolic processes. In conclusion, we can infer that *Z. bailii* has developed more efficient weak acid tolerance mechanisms than *S. cerevisiae* and that this is mainly based on keeping the acid better out of the cell. It could be useful to implement similar mechanisms in homolactic *S. cerevisiae* strains to solve the problems created by passive lactic acid uptake and ATP depletion to support its export back to the medium in anaerobic lactic acid fermentation.

IMPROVING LACTIC ACID TOLERANCE IN *S. CEREVISIAE*

As we have discussed in the previous paragraphs, lactic acid tolerance is a very complex trait, and many different targets therefore seem to be available for possible modification in order to improve this trait. To identify relevant or even additional targets, several approaches have been used, such as a RNAi-mediated genome-wide expression knockdown [13], the utilization of a multi-copy yeast genomic library [29], functional analysis using a deletion strain collection for all nonessential genes [48], monitoring expression changes upon lactic acid stress using DNA microarrays [48] and also adaptive evolution followed by whole-genome sequencing [10, 142]. Another interesting approach was UV-mutagenesis of a lactic acid producing strain followed by selection for maintenance of high pHi via cell sorting [7]. The selection for cells with a higher pHi resulted in identification of mutant strains better adapted to lactic acid stress, and therefore showing better performance in lactic acid production. However, in this case the underlying causative mutations were not identified because the strains were not genome sequenced. Alternative methods that have not been reported yet for analysis and improvement of lactic acid tolerance but could prove to be useful are QTL-mapping by pooled-segregant whole-genome sequence analysis [143, 144] and the utilization of genomic mutagenesis strategies such as EMS mutagenesis [145] or genome shuffling strategies such as whole genome transformation [127, 146], followed by whole-genome sequence analysis to identify the causative genetic modifications.

Improvement of lactic acid tolerance in lactic acid producing *S. cerevisiae* strains has already shown to result in better performance. This has been achieved by both targeted [13, 26, 29] and non-targeted [10, 28] metabolic engineering strategies. In **Table 1**, the reported lactic acid tolerance-related single genetic modifications that have been shown to increase lactic acid production in *S. cerevisiae* are summarized.

TABLE 1. List of genetic modifications linked to lactic acid tolerance that have been shown to increase lactic acid production in metabolically engineered *S. cerevisiae* strains.

| Genetic modification | Description (SGD) | Most likely effect of genetic modification on the cell upon lactic acid stress | Lactic acid | | | | Genetic modifications in parent strain | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---|-------|
| | | | Yield | | Titer | | | |
| | | | Parent strain | Modified strain | Parent strain | Modified strain | | |
| <i>GSF2</i> deletion | ER-located integral membrane protein that promotes secretion of hexose transporters | Increase in ATP and NAD ⁺ levels | Non-buffered media | | | | <i>dld1Δ jen1Δ adh1Δ gpd1Δ gpd2Δ pdc1Δ::ldh</i> | [10] |
| | | | 58% | 72% | 16.9g/L | 33.2g/L | | |
| <i>HAA1</i> overexpression | Transcriptional activator involved in adaptation to weak acid stress; activates transcription of TPO2, YRO2, and other genes encoding membrane stress proteins | Transcriptional activation of lactic acid tolerance related genes | Non-buffered media | | | | <i>dld1Δ jen1Δ adh1Δ gpd1Δ gpd2Δ pdc1Δ::ldh</i> | [28] |
| | | | 69% | 79% | | 49 g/L | | |
| | | | Buffered medium | | | | | |
| | | | 80% | | 112 g/L | | | |
| <i>SSB1</i> deletion | Ribosome-associated ATPase of the Hsp70 family that has a chaperone-like function | Reparation of DNA damage | Non-buffered medium | | | | <i>pdc1Δ::ldh cyb2Δ::ldh gpd1Δ::ldh</i> | [13] |
| | | | 76% | 77% | 20g/L | 26g/L | | |
| | | | Buffered medium | | | | | |
| | | | 72% | 73 % | 32 g/L | 51 g/L | | |
| <i>SAM2</i> deletion | SAM synthetase: SAM is a central coenzyme playing a role in many intracellular functions such as phospholipid biosynthesis and ROS scavenging | Alternate membrane remodeling and ROS scavenging among other things | Non-buffered medium | | | | <i>pdc1Δ pdc5Δ pdc6Δ</i> (LDH expression on multi-copy plasmid) | [26] |
| | | | 87% | 88% | 65.6 ± 0.9 g/L | 69.2 ± 0.6 g/L | | |
| <i>ESBP6</i> overexpression | Similar to monocarboxylate permeases. Interacts with a variety of chaperones including Hsp90 which is involved in cell wall porosity | Increase in intracellular pH which is probably due to increased cell wall rigidity | Non-buffered medium | | | | <i>pdc1Δ::ldh</i> | [29] |
| | | | | | 4.6 g/L | 5.5 g/L | | |
| <i>SUR1</i> overexpression | Mannosylinositol phosphorylceramide (MIPC) synthase catalytic subunit; forms a complex with regulatory subunit Csg2; function in sphingolipid biosynthesis is overlapping with that of Csh1 | Increase in cell membrane rigidity upon lactic acid stress | Non-buffered medium | | | | <i>adh1Δ adh2Δ adh3Δ adh4Δ adh5Δ gpd1Δ gpd2Δ dld1Δ::ldh</i> | [142] |
| | | | | | ± 25 g/L | ± 30 g/L | | |
| <i>ERF2</i> deletion | Subunit of palmitoyltransferase which is required for posttranslational modification of several proteins such as Ras1, 2, Rho2 and 3 and Gpa1 and 2 | Membrane localization of proteins involved in lactic acid tolerance is altered | Non-buffered medium | | | | <i>adh1Δ adh2Δ adh3Δ adh4Δ adh5Δ gpd1Δ gpd2Δ dld1Δ::ldh</i> | [142] |
| | | | | | ± 25 g/L | ± 30 g/L | | |

IN PRESS

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ON MICROBIAL LACTIC ACID FERMENTATION WITH YEAST

The importance of improving lactic acid tolerance for microbial lactic acid production has been recognized for many

years. Hence, multiple patent applications have been submitted on genetic modifications of genes affecting lactic acid tolerance and on the resulting lactic acid tolerant strains. In **Table 2**, an overview has been provided.

Not only genetic modifications conferring better lactic acid tolerance and covering lactic acid tolerant strains have been patented in regard to lactic acid fermentation with yeast. Other items that have been patented comprise process methodologies [157-162], second-generation lactic acid producing yeast strains [163], heterologous *LDH* sequences [164-167] and methods to improve the performance of a yeast population for lactic acid production [168]. In addition, other genetic modifications not related to enhancing lactic acid tolerance but rather to improving the performance of lactic acid producing strains in other ways have been patented. These modifications comprise genetic mutations that alter the glycolytic flux (due to decreased *Rgt1* activity [169], increased *Gcr1/2* activity [170], deletion/disruption of *STD1/ MTH1* [170], or overexpression of sugar transporters [171]) and alterations in NADH and NADPH metabolism (due to *NDE1/2* deletion [172] or enhancement of *Zwf1* activity [173]). Moreover, patent applications have been filed on items such as the introduction of a heterologous ATP-citrate lyase, genetic modifications enhancing the pyruvate biosynthetic pathway [174] and modifications improving pyruvate availability [175]. Other examples of genetic modifications that have been patented in lactic acid producing strains are the deletion of *CYB2* to decrease lactic acid utilization under aerobic conditions [176], constitutive expression of *HAP4* [177], a ge-

netic modification conferring enhanced *Edc1/2* activity [178] and a genetic modification reducing *Rim15* and *Igo2* activity [179].

CONCLUSIONS

We can conclude that lactic acid tolerance is an important trait to consider in developing a lactic acid producing microbial cell factory. Although lactic acid tolerance appears to be a very complex trait, there is already substantial knowledge on the underlying mechanisms and the responses of the cell to lactic acid stress, not only in *S. cerevisiae* but also in other microorganisms. In *S. cerevisiae*, genes involved in lactic acid tolerance have been linked to various processes such as transcriptomic regulation, pH homeostasis, anion transport, ROS scavenging, cell-envelope rearrangements and amino acid, iron and energy metabolism. However, just a handful of causative genes have been evaluated in lactic acid producing strains for improvement of performance. In the future, more causative genes should be tested, especially in industrial strains already producing a high lactic acid titer. Moreover, since it has been shown that a combination of multiple genetic modifications can be even more beneficial, this approach should be applied more systematically to develop strains with superior performance for lactic acid production [27].

TABLE 2. List of patent applications on genetic modifications affecting lactic acid tolerance and the resulting lactic acid tolerant strains.

| Patent summary | Description | Owners | Priority Date | Issued in | Ref. |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------|
| A method of producing lactic acid with <i>Pdc-</i> , <i>C₂-independent</i> acid tolerant strains expressing a heterologous <i>LDH</i> gene in aerobic conditions | Acid tolerant strain was generated using adaptive evolution with growth at low pH as a selection criterion. | Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC | November 20, 2003 | World (WO 2005/052174) USA (US 71799303) | [147] |
| A method for increasing tolerance to organic acids and low pH in yeast by overexpression of a <i>H⁺-ATPase (PMA1)</i> | <i>Pma1</i> (SGD): Plasma membrane P2-type <i>H⁺-ATPase</i> ; pumps protons out of cell; major regulator of cytoplasmic pH and plasma membrane potential | Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC | June 6, 2007 | World (WO 2008/153890) USA (US 93333807) | [58] |
| Yeast in which the <i>SED1</i> gene is exchanged with an <i>LDH</i> expression cassette | <i>Sed1</i> (SGD): Major stress-induced structural GPI-cell wall glycoprotein; associates with translating ribosomes, possible role in mitochondrial genome maintenance. <i>Sed1</i> has previously been associated with lactic acid tolerance [27]. | Toray Industries Inc. | December 7, 2007 | USA (US 8859260) Japan (JP 2008072129) | [148] |
| Lactic acid tolerant strains (NITE BP-1087 NITE BP-1088 NITE BP-1089 NITE BP-1189 NITE-BP 1190) | EMS mutagenized SU042 parent strain (<i>Limulus polyphemus D-LDH</i> introduced) and HI003 parent strain (<i>Xenopus laevis L-LDH</i> introduced) selected for lactic acid tolerant phenotype | Toray Industries Inc. | April 28, 2011 | World (WO 2012/147903) Japan (JP 2012016544) USA (US 9217165) | [149] |

TABLE 2 (continued). List of patent applications on genetic modifications affecting lactic acid tolerance and the resulting lactic acid tolerant strains.

| Patent summary | Description | Owners | Priority Date | Issued in | Ref. |
|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------|---|-------|
| Overexpression of the lactic acid transporter (Ady2) in a lactic acid producing strain with inhibition of Cyb2 and Pdc activity | See chapter "The role of acetate permease Ady2 in lactate transport" | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | Februari 5, 2013 | USA (US 9353388) South Korea (KR 20130012938) | [150] |
| Lactic acid tolerant strain (12532BP strain (KCTC)) | EMS mutagenized CEN.PK2-1D strain (<i>pdc1Δ::ldh cyb2Δ::ldh gpd1::ldh</i>) selected for a lactic acid tolerant phenotype | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | Februari 13, 2014 | South Korea (KR 20140016790) USA (US 9617570) | [151] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast comprising genetic mutation that reduces Fps1 activity | Fps1 (SGD): Aquaglyceroporin, plasma membrane channel; involved in efflux of glycerol and in transport of acetate; key factor in maintaining redox balance by mediating passive diffusion of glycerol; phosphorylated by Hog1 and Sit2 MAPK; regulated by Rgc1 and Ask10, which are regulated by Hog1 phosphorylation under osmotic stress; phosphorylation by Ypk1 required to maintain an open state. | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | June 23, 2014 | USA (US 2015/0368306) South Korea (KR 20140076636) | [152] |
| A recombinant acid-tolerant yeast strain comprising increased activity of the Rck1/2 enzyme | Rck1/2 (SGD): Protein kinase involved in oxidative stress response | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | July 24, 2014 | USA (US 2016/0024484) South Korea (KR 20140094159) | [153] |
| Lactic acid tolerant strain, wherein the yeast strain has enhanced Msn2 activity | Msn2 (SGD): Stress-responsive transcriptional activator; activated in stochastic pulses of nuclear localization in response to various stress conditions; binds DNA at stress response elements of responsive genes | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | July 28, 2014 | USA (US 2016/0024537) South Korea (KR 20140096013) | [154] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>SUL1</i> gene product | Sul1 (SGD): High affinity sulfate permease of the SulP anion transporter family; sulfate uptake is mediated by specific sulfate transporters Sul1 and Sul2, which control the concentration of endogenous activated sulfate intermediates | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>STR3</i> gene product | Str3 (SGD): Peroxisomal cystathionine beta-lyase; converts cystathionine into homocysteine; may be redox regulated by Gto1 | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>HXT7</i> gene product | Hxt7 (SGD): High-affinity glucose transporter; member of the major facilitator superfamily, nearly identical to Hxt6, expressed at high basal levels relative to other HXTs, expression repressed by high glucose levels; HXT7 has a paralog, HXT4, that arose from the whole genome duplication | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |

TABLE 2 (continued). List of patent applications on genetic modifications affecting lactic acid tolerance and the resulting lactic acid tolerant strains.

| Patent summary | Description | Owners | Priority Date | Issued in | Ref. |
|---|--|------------------------------|---------------|--|-------|
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>ERR1</i> gene product | Err1 (SGD): Putative phosphopyruvate hydratase | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>GRX8</i> gene product | Grx8 (SGD): Glutaredoxin that employs a dithiol mechanism of catalysis; monomeric; activity is low and null mutation does not affect sensitivity to oxidative stress; GFP-fusion protein localizes to the cytoplasm; expression strongly induced by arsenic | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>MXR1</i> gene product | Mxr1 (SGD): Methionine-S-sulfoxide reductase; involved in the response to oxidative stress; protects iron-sulfur clusters from oxidative inactivation along with MXR2; involved in the regulation of lifespan | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>GRE1</i> gene product | Gre1 (SGD): Hydrophilin essential in desiccation-rehydration process; stress induced (osmotic, ionic, oxidative, heat shock and heavy metals); regulated by the HOG pathway; GRE1 has a paralog, SIP18, that arose from the whole genome duplication | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>MRK1</i> gene product | Mrk1 (SGD): Glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK-3) homolog; one of four GSK-3 homologs in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> that function to activate Msn2-dependent transcription of stress responsive genes and in protein degradation; MRK1 has a paralog, RIM11, that arose from the whole genome duplication | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| Lactic acid resistant yeast showing improved activity of the <i>AAD10</i> gene product | Aad10 (SGD): Putative aryl-alcohol dehydrogenase | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | May 12, 2015 | South Korea (KR 20150066250) USA (US2016/0333380) | [155] |
| An acid-tolerant, lactic acid producing yeast strain that has a genetic modification increasing Aur1 activity | Aur1 (SGD): Phosphatidylinositol:ceramide phosphoinositol transferase; required for sphingolipid synthesis; can mutate to confer aureobasidin A resistance; also known as IPC synthase | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | July 28, 2015 | USA (US 10053714) South Korea (KR 20150106771) | [156] |
| An acid-tolerant, lactic acid producing yeast strain that has a genetic modification that increases activity of an enzyme that introduces a double bond to a fatty acyl site of a fatty acyl-CoA (Ole1) | Ole1 (SGD): Delta(9) fatty acid desaturase; required for mono-unsaturated fatty acid synthesis and for normal distribution of mitochondria | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | July 28, 2015 | USA (US 10053714) South Korea (KR 20150106771) | [156] |

TABLE 2 (continued). List of patent applications on genetic modifications affecting lactic acid tolerance and the resulting lactic acid tolerant strains.

| Patent summary | Description | Owners | Priority Date | Issued in | Ref. |
|---|---|------------------------------|---------------|---|-------|
| An acid-tolerant, lactic acid producing yeast strain that has a genetic modification that decreases activity of an enzyme that catalyzes formation of triacylglycerol from diacylglycerol (Dga1/Lro1) | Dga1/ Lro1 (SGD): Diacylglycerol acyltransferases; catalyzes the terminal step of triacylglycerol (TAG) formation, acylates diacylglycerol using acyl-CoA as an acyl donor; Lro1 and Dga1 can O-acylate ceramides; localized to lipid particles | Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. | July 28, 2015 | USA (US 10053714) South Korea (KR 20150106771) | [156] |

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AP: Formal analysis, writing - original draft; MRF and JMT: Formal analysis, writing - original draft.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Original work in our laboratory has been supported by Research Foundation Flanders (FWO), Belgium, with a FWO-SB PhD fellowship to Arne Peetermans, and by VLAIO, Belgium, with the SBO grant "SPICY" to Johan M. Thevelein.

JMT gratefully acknowledges the support by the Open Bio-Incubator in the Erasmus High School in Brussels (Jette).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors report no competing interests.

COPYRIGHT

© 2021 Peetermans *et al.* This is an open-access article released under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, which allows the unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are acknowledged.

Please cite this article as: Arne Peetermans, María R. Foulquié-Moreno and Johan M. Thevelein (2021). Mechanisms underlying lactic acid tolerance and its influence on lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Microbial Cell**: *in press*.

REFERENCES

- Sauer M, Porro D, Mattanovich D, Branduardi P (2008). Microbial production of organic acids: expanding the markets. **Trends Biotechnol** 26(2): 100-108. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.11.006
- Gao C, Ma C, Xu P (2011). Biotechnological routes based on lactic acid production from biomass. **Biotechnol Adv** 29(6): 930-939. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.07.022
- Dusselier M, Van Wouwe P, Dewaele A, Makshina E, Sels BF (2013). Lactic acid as a platform chemical in the biobased economy: the role of chemocatalysis. **Energy Environ Sci** 6(5): 1415-1442. doi: 10.1039/c3ee00069a
- Karamanlioglu M, Preziosi R, Robson GD (2017). Abiotic and biotic environmental degradation of the bioplastic polymer poly(lactic acid): A review. **Polym Degrad Stabil** 137: 122-130. doi: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2017.01.009
- Ghaffar T, Irshad M, Anwar Z, Aqil T, Zulifqar Z, Tariq A, Kamran M, Ehsan N, Mehmood S (2014). Recent trends in lactic acid biotechnology: A brief review on production to purification. **J Radiat Res Appl Sci** 7(2): 222-229. doi: 10.1016/j.jrras.2014.03.002
- Upadhyaya BP, Deveaux LC, Christopher LP (2014). Metabolic engineering as a tool for enhanced lactic acid production. **Trends Biotechnol** 32(12): 637-644. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.10.005
- Valli M, Sauer M, Branduardi P, Borth N, Porro D, Mattanovich D (2006). Improvement of lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by cell sorting for high intracellular pH. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 72(8): 5492-5499. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00683-06
- Sauer M, Porro D, Mattanovich D, Branduardi P (2010). 16 years research on lactic acid production with yeast - ready for the market? **Biotechnol Genet Eng Rev** 27: 229-256. doi: 10.1080/02648725.2010.10648152
- Hofvendahl K, Hahn-Hägerdal B (2000). Factors affecting the fermentative lactic acid production from renewable resources. **Enzyme Microb Technol** 26: 87-107. doi: 10.1016/S0141-0229(99)00155-6
- Baek SH, Kwon EY, Kim SY, Hahn JS (2016). *GSF2* deletion increases lactic acid production by alleviating glucose repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Sci Rep** 6: 34812. doi: 10.1038/srep34812
- Gao M-T, Shimamura T, Ishida N, Takahashi H (2009). Application of metabolically engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to extractive lactic acid fermentation. **Biochem Eng J** 44(2-3): 251-255. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2009.01.001
- Ishida N, Suzuki T, Tokuhiko K, Nagamori E, Onishi T, Saitoh S, Kitamoto K, Takahashi H (2006). D-lactic acid production by

- metabolically engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biosci Bioeng** 101(2): 172-177. doi: 10.1263/jbb.101.172
13. Lee JJ, Crook N, Sun J, Alper HS (2016). Improvement of lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by a deletion of *ssb1*. **J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol** 43(1): 87-96. doi: 10.1007/s10295-015-1713-7
14. Saitoh S, Ishida N, Onishi T, Tokuhiko K, Nagamori E, Kitamoto K, Takahashi H (2005). Genetically engineered wine yeast produces a high concentration of L-lactic acid of extremely high optical purity. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 71(5): 2789-2792. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.5.2789-2792.2005
15. Ozaki A, Konishi R, Otomo C, Kishida M, Takayama S, Matsumoto T, Tanaka T, Kondo A (2017). Metabolic engineering of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* via CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing for lactic acid production from glucose and cellobiose. **Metab Eng Commun** 5: 60-67. doi: 10.1016/j.meteno.2017.08.002
16. Branduardi P, Valli M, Brambilla L, Sauer M, Alberghina L, Porro D (2004). The yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*: a new host for heterologous protein production, secretion and for metabolic engineering applications. **FEMS Yeast Res** 4(4-5): 493-504. doi: 10.1016/s1567-1356(03)00200-9
17. Koivuranta KT, Ilmen M, Wiebe MG, Ruohonen L, Suominen P, Penttilä M (2014). L-lactic acid production from D-xylose with *Candida sonorensis* expressing a heterologous lactate dehydrogenase encoding gene. **Microb Cell Fact** 13: 107. doi: 10.1186/s12934-014-0107-2
18. Park HJ, Bae JH, Ko HJ, Lee SH, Sung BH, Han JI, Sohn JH (2018). Low-pH production of d-lactic acid using newly isolated acid tolerant yeast *Pichia kudriavzevii* NG7. **Biotechnol Bioeng** 115(9): 2232-2242. doi: 10.1002/bit.26745
19. Suominen P, Aristidou A, Penttilä M, Ilmen M, Ruohonen L, Koivuranta K, Roberg-Perez K (2006). Genetically modified yeast of the species *Issatchenkia orientalis* and closely related species, and fermentation processes using same. Cargill. WO2007032792A2
20. Osawa F, Fujii T, Nishida T, Tada N, Ohnishi T, Kobayashi O, Komeda T, Yoshida S (2009). Efficient production of L-lactic acid by Crabtree-negative yeast *Candida boidinii*. **Yeast** 26(9): 485-496. doi: 10.1002/yea.1702
21. Ilmén M, Koivuranta K, Ruohonen L, Suominen P, Penttilä M (2007). Efficient production of L-lactic acid from xylose by *Pichia stipitis*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 73(1): 117-123. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01311-06
22. Melo NTM, Mulder KCL, Nicola AM, Carvalho LS, Menino GS, Mulinari E, Parachin NS (2018). Effect of pyruvate decarboxylase knockout on product distribution using *Pichia pastoris* (*Komagataella phaffii*) engineered for lactic acid production. **Bioengineering** 5(1): 17. doi: 10.3390/bioengineering5010017
23. Kong X, Zhang B, Hua Y, Zhu Y, Li W, Wang D, Hong J (2019). Efficient L-lactic acid production from corncob residue using metabolically engineered thermo-tolerant yeast. **Bioresour Technol** 273: 220-230. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.11.018
24. Lee JW, In JH, Park J-B, Shin J, Park JH, Sung BH, Sohn J-H, Seo J-H, Park J-B, Kim SR, Kweon D-H (2017). Co-expression of two heterologous lactate dehydrogenases genes in *Kluyveromyces marxianus* for L-lactic acid production. **J Biotechnol** 241: 81-86. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.11.015
25. Bianchi MM, Brambilla L, Protani F, Liu CL, Lievens J, Porro D (2001). Efficient homolactic fermentation by *Kluyveromyces lactis* strains defective in pyruvate utilization and transformed with the heterologous *LDH* gene. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 67(12): 5621-5625. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.12.5621-5625.2001
26. Dato L, Berterame NM, Ricci MA, Paganoni P, Palmieri L, Porro D, Branduardi P (2014). Changes in *SAM2* expression affect lactic acid tolerance and lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Microb Cell Fact** 13: 147. doi: 10.1186/s12934-014-0147-7
27. Suzuki T, Sakamoto T, Sugiyama M, Ishida N, Kambe H, Obata S, Kaneko Y, Takahashi H, Harashima S (2013). Disruption of multiple genes whose deletion causes lactic-acid resistance improves lactic-acid resistance and productivity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biosci Bioeng** 115(5): 467-474. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2012.11.014
28. Baek SH, Kwon EY, Kim YH, Hahn JS (2016). Metabolic engineering and adaptive evolution for efficient production of D-lactic acid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Microbiol Biotechnol** 100(6): 2737-2748. doi: 10.1007/s00253-015-7174-0
29. Sugiyama M, Akase SP, Nakanishi R, Kaneko Y, Harashima S (2016). Overexpression of *ESBP6* improves lactic acid resistance and production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biosci Bioeng** 122(4): 415-420. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2016.03.010
30. Giannattasio S, Guaragnella N, Corte-Real M, Passarella S, Marra E (2005). Acid stress adaptation protects *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* from acetic acid-induced programmed cell death. **Gene** 354: 93-98. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2005.03.030
31. Mira NP, Teixeira MC, Sa-Correia I (2010). Adaptive response and tolerance to weak acids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a genome-wide view. **Omics** 14(5): 525-540. doi: 10.1089/omi.2010.0072
32. Trček J, Mira N, Jarboe L (2015). Adaptation and tolerance of bacteria against acetic acid. **Appl Microbiol Biotechnol** 99(15): 6215-6229. doi: 10.1007/s00253-015-6762-3
33. Hirshfield I, Terzulli S, O'Byrne C (2003). Weak organic acids: a panoply of effects on bacteria. **Sci Prog** 86(4): 245. doi: 10.3184/003685003783238626
34. Bauer BE, Rossington D, Mollapour M, Mamnun Y, Kuchler K, Piper PW (2003). Weak organic acid stress inhibits aromatic amino acid uptake by yeast, causing a strong influence of amino acid auxotrophies on the phenotypes of membrane transporter mutants. **Eur J Biochem** 270(15): 3189-3195. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1033.2003.03701.x
35. Berterame NM, Porro D, Ami D, Branduardi P (2016). Protein aggregation and membrane lipid modifications under lactic acid stress in wild type and *OPI1* deleted *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. **Microb Cell Fact** 15: 39. doi: 10.1186/s12934-016-0438-2
36. Kim MS, Cho KH, Park KH, Jang J, Hahn JS (2019). Activation of Haa1 and War1 transcription factors by differential binding of weak acid anions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Nucleic Acids Res** 47(3): 1211-1224. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1188
37. Piper P, Calderon CO, Hatzixanthis K, Mollapour M (2001). Weak acid adaptation: the stress response that confers yeasts with resistance to organic acid food preservatives. **Microbiology** 147(Pt 10): 2635-2642. doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-10-2635
38. Piper PW (1999). Yeast superoxide dismutase mutants reveal a pro-oxidant action of weak organic acid food preservatives. **Free Radic Biol Med** 27(11-12): 1219-1227. doi: 10.1016/s0891-5849(99)00147-1
39. Pearce AK, Booth IR, Brown AJP (2001). Genetic manipulation of 6-phosphofructo-1-kinase and fructose 2,6-bisphosphate levels affects the extent to which benzoic acid inhibits the growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Microbiology** 147(Pt 2): 403-410. doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-2-403
40. Krebs HA, Wiggins D, Stubbs M, Sols A, Bedoya F (1983). Studies on the mechanism of the antifungal action of benzoate. **Biochem J** 214(3): 657-663. doi: 10.1042/bj2140657
41. Imai T, Ohno T (1995). The relationship between viability and intracellular pH in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ**

- Microbiol** 61(10): 3604-3608. doi: 10.1128/aem.61.10.3604-3608.1995
42. Ullah A, Chandrasekaran G, Brul S, Smits GJ (2013). Yeast adaptation to weak acids prevents futile energy expenditure. **Front Microbiol** 4(142). doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00142.
43. Kawazoe N, Kimata Y, Izawa S (2017). Acetic acid causes endoplasmic reticulum stress and induces the unfolded protein response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Front Microbiol** 8: 1192. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01192
44. Fernandes AR, Mira NP, Vargas RC, Canelhas I, Sa-Correia I (2005). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* adaptation to weak acids involves the transcription factor Haa1p and Haa1p-regulated genes. **Biochem Biophys Res Commun** 337(1): 95-103. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.09.010
45. Mira NP, Becker JD, Sá-Correia I (2010). Genomic expression program involving the Haa1p-regulon in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* response to acetic acid. **Omic** 14(5): 587-601. doi: 10.1089/omi.2010.0048
46. Sugiyama M, Akase SP, Nakanishi R, Horie H, Kaneko Y, Harashima S (2014). Nuclear localization of Haa1, which is linked to its phosphorylation status, mediates lactic acid tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 80(11): 3488-3495. doi: 10.1128/AEM.04241-13
47. Abbott DA, Suir E, van Maris AJ, Pronk JT (2008). Physiological and transcriptional responses to high concentrations of lactic acid in anaerobic chemostat cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 74(18): 5759-5768. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01030-08
48. Kawahata M, Masaki K, Fujii T, Iefuji H (2006). Yeast genes involved in response to lactic acid and acetic acid: acidic conditions caused by the organic acids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cultures induce expression of intracellular metal metabolism genes regulated by Aft1p. **FEMS Yeast Res** 6(6): 924-936. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00089.x
49. Martínez-Muñoz GA, Kane P (2008). Vacuolar and plasma membrane proton pumps collaborate to achieve cytosolic pH homeostasis in yeast. **J Biol Chem** 283(29): 20309-20319. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M710470200
50. Carmelo V, Santos H, Sá-Correia I (1997). Effect of extracellular acidification on the activity of plasma membrane ATPase and on the cytosolic and vacuolar pH of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes** 1325(1): 63-70. doi: 10.1016/S0005-2736(96)00245-3
51. Monteiro GA, Sá-Correia I (1998). *In vivo* activation of yeast plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase by ethanol: effect on the kinetic parameters and involvement of the carboxyl-terminus regulatory domain. **Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes** 1370(2): 310-316. doi: 10.1016/S0005-2736(97)00281-2
52. Viegas CA, Sebastiao PB, Nunes AG, Sa-Correia I (1995). Activation of plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase and expression of *PMA1* and *PMA2* genes in cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* grown at supra-optimal temperatures. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 61: 1904-1909. doi: 10.1128/aem.61.5.1904-1909.1995
53. Orij R, Brul S, Smits GJ (2011). Intracellular pH is a tightly controlled signal in yeast. **Biochim Biophys Acta** 1810(10): 933-944. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2011.03.011
54. Azmat U, Rick O, Stanley B, S. GJ (2012). Quantitative analysis of the modes of growth inhibition by weak organic acids in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 78(23): 8377. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02126-12
55. Sugiyama M, Sasano Y, Harashima S (2015). Mechanism of yeast adaptation to weak organic acid stress. In: Takagi H, Kitagaki H, editors. *Stress biology of yeasts and fungi*. Springer, Tokyo; pp 107-121.
56. Halm M, Hornbaek T, Arneborg N, Sefa-Dedeh S, Jespersen L (2004). Lactic acid tolerance determined by measurement of intracellular pH of single cells of *Candida krusei* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolated from fermented maize dough. **Int J Food Microbiol** 94(1): 97-103. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2003.12.019
57. van Maris AJ, Konings WN, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT (2004). Microbial export of lactic and 3-hydroxypropanoic acid: implications for industrial fermentation processes. **Metab Eng** 6(4): 245-255. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2004.05.001
58. Porro D, Dato L, Branduardi P (2008). Method for improving acid and low pH tolerance in yeast. TATE & LYLE INGREDIENTS AMERICAS, INC. ET AL. WO2008US07058
59. Pacheco A, Talaia G, Sa-Pessoa J, Bessa D, Goncalves MJ, Moreira R, Paiva S, Casal M, Queiros O (2012). Lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is modulated by expression of the monocarboxylate transporters Jen1 and Ady2. **FEMS Yeast Res** 12(3): 375-381. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2012.00790.x
60. Nelissen B, De Wachter R, Goffeau A (1997). Classification of all putative permeases and other membrane plurispansers of the major facilitator superfamily encoded by the complete genome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **FEMS Microbiol Rev** 21(2): 113-134. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.1997.tb00347.x
61. Paiva S, Devaux F, Barbosa S, Jacq C, Casal M (2004). Ady2p is essential for the acetate permease activity in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Yeast** 21(3): 201-210. doi: 10.1002/yea.1056
62. Turner TL, Lane S, Jayakody LN, Zhang G-C, Kim H, Cho W, Jin Y-S (2019). Deletion of *JEN1* and *ADY2* reduces lactic acid yield from an engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in xylose medium, expressing a heterologous lactate dehydrogenase. **FEMS Yeast Res** 19(6): foz050. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/foz050
63. de Kok S, Nijkamp JF, Oud B, Roque FC, de Ridder D, Daran J-M, Pronk JT, van Maris AJA (2012). Laboratory evolution of new lactate transporter genes in a *jen1Δ* mutant of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and their identification as *ADY2* alleles by whole-genome resequencing and transcriptome analysis. **FEMS Yeast Res** 12(3): 359-374. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00787.x
64. Cássio F, Leão C, van Uden N (1987). Transport of lactate and other short-chain monocarboxylates in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 53(3): 509-513. doi: 10.1128/aem.53.3.509-513.1987
65. Casal M, Paiva S, Andrade RP, Gancedo C, Leão C (1999). The lactate-proton symport of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is encoded by *JEN1*. **J Bacteriol** 181(8): 2620-2623. doi: 10.1128/jb.181.8.2620-2623.1999
66. McDermott JR, Rosen BP, Liu Z (2010). Jen1p: a high affinity selenite transporter in yeast. **Mol Biol Cell** 21(22): 3934-3941. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E10-06-0513
67. Lis P, Zarzycki M, Ko YH, Casal M, Pedersen PL, Goffeau A, Ułaszewski S (2012). Transport and cytotoxicity of the anticancer drug 3-bromopyruvate in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Bioenerg Biomembr** 44(1): 155-161. doi: 10.1007/s10863-012-9421-8
68. Soares-Silva I, Schuller D, Andrade RP, Baltazar F, Cássio F, Casal M (2003). Functional expression of the lactate permease Jen1p of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in *Pichia pastoris*. **Biochem J** 376(Pt 3): 781-787. doi: 10.1042/BJ20031180
69. Kim SR, Xu H, Lesmana A, Kuzmanovic U, Au M, Florencia C, Oh EJ, Zhang G, Kim KH, Jin Y-S (2015). Deletion of *PHO13*, encoding haloacid dehalogenase type IIA phosphatase, results in upregulation of the

- pentose phosphate pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 81(5): 1601. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03474-14
70. Lin Y, Chomvong K, Acosta-Sampson L, Estrela R, Galazka JM, Kim SR, Jin Y-S, Cate JHD (2014). Leveraging transcription factors to speed cellobiose fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Biotechnol Biofuels** 7(1): 126. doi: 10.1186/s13068-014-0126-6
71. Bojunga N, Entian KD (1999). Cat8p, the activator of gluconeogenic genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, regulates carbon source-dependent expression of NADP-dependent cytosolic isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idp2p) and lactate permease (Jen1p). **Mol Genet Metab** 62(4): 869-875. doi: 10.1007/s004380051152
72. Carlson M (1999). Glucose repression in yeast. **Curr Opin Microbiol** 2(2): 202-207. doi: 10.1016/s1369-5274(99)80035-6
73. Haurie V, Perrot M, Mini T, Jeno P, Sagliocco F, Boucherie H (2001). The transcriptional activator Cat8p provides a major contribution to the reprogramming of carbon metabolism during the diauxic shift in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biol Chem** 276(1): 76-85. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M008752200
74. Ratnakumar S, Kacherovsky N, Arms E, Young ET (2009). Snf1 controls the activity of *adr1* through dephosphorylation of Ser230. **Genetics** 182(3): 735-745. doi: 10.1534/genetics.109.103432
75. Casal M, Queiros O, Talaia G, Ribas D, Paiva S (2016). Carboxylic acids plasma membrane transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. In: Ramos J, Sychrová H, Kschischo M, editors. *Yeast Membrane Transport*. Springer International Publishing, Cham; pp 229-251.
76. Andrade RP, Kotter P, Entian KD, Casal M (2005). Multiple transcripts regulate glucose-triggered mRNA decay of the lactate transporter *JEN1* from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Biochem Biophys Res Commun** 332(1): 254-262. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.04.119
77. Mota S, Vieira N, Barbosa S, Delaveau T, Torchet C, Le Saux A, Garcia M, Pereira A, Lemoine S, Couplier F, Darzacq X, Benard L, Casal M, Devaux F, Paiva S (2014). Role of the *DHH1* gene in the regulation of monocarboxylic acids transporters expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **PLoS One** 9(11): e111589-e111589. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111589
78. Paiva S, Kruckeberg AL, Casal M (2002). Utilization of green fluorescent protein as a marker for studying the expression and turnover of the monocarboxylate permease Jen1p of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Biochem J** 363(Pt 3): 737-744. doi: 10.1042/0264-6021:3630737
79. Becuwe M, Vieira N, Lara D, Gomes-Rezende J, Soares-Cunha C, Casal M, Haguenaer-Tsapis R, Vincent O, Paiva S, Leon S (2012). A molecular switch on an arrestin-like protein relays glucose signaling to transporter endocytosis. **J Cell Biol** 196(2): 247-259. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201109113
80. Branduardi P, Sauer M, De Gioia L, Zampella G, Valli M, Mattanovich D, Porro D (2006). Lactate production yield from engineered yeasts is dependent from the host background, the lactate dehydrogenase source and the lactate export. **Microb Cell Fact** 5: 4. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-5-4
81. Wakamatsu M, Tomitaka M, Tani T, Taguchi H, Kida K, Akamatsu T (2013). Improvement of ethanol production from D-lactic acid by constitutive expression of lactate transporter Jen1p in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Biosci Biotech Bioch** 77(5): 1114-1116. doi: 10.1271/bbb.120985
82. Mans R, Hassing E-J, Wijsman M, Giezekamp A, Pronk JT, Daran J-M, van Maris AJA (2017). A CRISPR/Cas9-based exploration into the elusive mechanism for lactate export in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **FEMS Yeast Res** 17(8). doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox085
83. Casal M, Cardoso H, Leao C (1996). Mechanisms regulating the transport of acetic acid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Microbiology** 142 (Pt 6): 1385-1390. doi: 10.1099/13500872-142-6-1385
84. Palková Z, Devaux F, Icíková M, Mináriková L, Le Crom S, Jacq C (2002). Ammonia pulses and metabolic oscillations guide yeast colony development. **Mol Biol Cell** 13(11): 3901-3914. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e01-12-0149
85. van Maris AJA, Winkler AA, Porro D, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT (2004). Homofermentative lactate production cannot sustain anaerobic growth of engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: possible consequence of energy-dependent lactate export. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 70(5): 2898-2905. doi: 10.1128/aem.70.5.2898-2905.2004
86. Abbott DA, van den Brink J, Minneboo IM, Pronk JT, van Maris AJ (2009). Anaerobic homolactate fermentation with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* results in depletion of ATP and impaired metabolic activity. **FEMS Yeast Res** 9(3): 349-357. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2009.00506.x
87. Nygard Y, Mojzita D, Toivari M, Penttila M, Wiebe MG, Ruohonen L (2014). The diverse role of Pdr12 in resistance to weak organic acids. **Yeast** 31(6): 219-232. doi: 10.1002/yea.3011
88. Piper P, Mahé Y, Thompson S, Pandjaitan R, Holyoak C, Egnér R, Mühlbauer M, Coote P, Kuchler K (1998). The Pdr12 ABC transporter is required for the development of weak organic acid resistance in yeast. **EMBO J** 17(15): 4257-4265. doi: 10.1093/emboj/17.15.4257
89. Hazelwood LA, Tai SL, Boer VM, de Winde JH, Pronk JT, Daran JM (2006). A new physiological role for Pdr12p in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: export of aromatic and branched-chain organic acids produced in amino acid catabolism. **FEMS Yeast Res** 6(6): 937-945. doi: 10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00094.x
90. Hirasawa T, Takekuni M, Yoshikawa K, Ookubo A, Furusawa C, Shimizu H (2013). Genome-wide identification of the targets for genetic manipulation to improve L-lactate production by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by using a single-gene deletion strain collection. **J Biotechnol** 168(2): 185-193. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2013.04.020
91. Burgstaller W (2006). Thermodynamic boundary conditions suggest that a passive transport step suffices for citrate excretion in *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*. **Microbiology** 152(Pt 3): 887-893. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.28454-0
92. Van Leeuwen CCM, Weusthuis RA, Postma E, Van den Broek PJA, Van Dijken JP (1992). Maltose/proton co-transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Comparative study with cells and plasma membrane vesicles. **Biochem J** 284(2): 441-445. doi: 10.1042/bj2840441
93. Weusthuis RA, Adams H, Scheffers WA, van Dijken JP (1993). Energetics and kinetics of maltose transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a continuous culture study. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 59(9): 3102-3109. doi: 10.1128/aem.59.9.3102-3109.1993
94. Mollapour M, Piper PW (2007). Hog1 mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphorylation targets the yeast Fps1 aquaglyceroporin for endocytosis, thereby rendering cells resistant to acetic acid. **Mol Cell Biol** 27(18): 6446-6456. doi: 10.1128/MCB.02205-06
95. Suzuki T, Sugiyama M, Wakazono K, Kaneko Y, Harashima S (2012). Lactic-acid stress causes vacuolar fragmentation and impairs intracellular amino-acid homeostasis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biosci Bioeng** 113(4): 421-430. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2011.11.010
96. Seaston A, Inkson C, Eddy AA (1973). The absorption of protons with specific amino acids and carbohydrates by yeast. **Biochem J** 134(4): 1031-1043. doi: 10.1042/bj1341031
97. Bugnicourt A, Froissard M, Sereti K, Ulrich HD, Haguenaer-Tsapis R, Galan J-M (2004). Antagonistic roles of ESCRT and Vps class C/HOPS

- complexes in the recycling of yeast membrane proteins. **Mol Biol Cell** 15(9): 4203-4214. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e04-05-0420
98. Klionsky DJ, Herman PK, Emr SD (1990). The fungal vacuole: composition, function, and biogenesis. **Microbiol Rev** 54(3): 266-292. doi: 10.1128/membr.54.3.266-292.1990
99. Jacquemin-Faure I, Thomas D, Laporte J, Cibert C, Surdin-Kerjan Y (1994). The vacuolar compartment is required for sulfur amino acid homeostasis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Mol Gen Genet** 244(5): 519-529. doi: 10.1007/BF00583903
100. Kitamoto K, Yoshizawa K, Ohsumi Y, Anraku Y (1988). Mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with defective vacuolar function. **J Bacteriol** 170(6): 2687-2691. doi: 10.1128/jb.170.6.2687-2691.1988
101. Hauser M, Narita V, Donhardt AM, Naider F, Becker JM (2001). Multiplicity and regulation of genes encoding peptide transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Mol Membr Biol** 18(1): 105-112. doi: 10.1080/09687680117013
102. Perry JR, Basrai MA, Steiner HY, Naider F, Becker JM (1994). Isolation and characterization of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* peptide transport gene. **Mol Cell Biol** 14(1): 104-115. doi: 10.1128/mcb.14.1.104
103. Eisler H, Fröhlich K-U, Heidenreich E (2004). Starvation for an essential amino acid induces apoptosis and oxidative stress in yeast. **Exp Cell Res** 300(2): 345-353. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2004.07.025
104. Almeida B, Ohlmeier S, Almeida AJ, Madeo F, Leao C, Rodrigues F, Ludovico P (2009). Yeast protein expression profile during acetic acid-induced apoptosis indicates causal involvement of the TOR pathway. **Proteomics** 9(3): 720-732. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200700816
105. Nugroho RH, Yoshikawa K, Shimizu H (2015). Metabolomic analysis of acid stress response in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biosci Bioeng** 120(4): 396-404. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2015.02.011
106. Michiyo N, Hiroshi T (2004). Role of the yeast acetyltransferase Mpr1 in oxidative stress: Regulation of oxygen reactive species caused by a toxic proline catabolism intermediate. **P Natl Acad Sci USA** 101(34): 12616. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0403349101
107. Nasuno R, Hirano Y, Itoh T, Hakoshima T, Hibi T, Takagi H (2013). Structural and functional analysis of the yeast *em>N-acetyltransferase Mpr1 involved in oxidative stress tolerance via proline metabolism. **P Natl Acad Sci USA** 110(29): 11821-11826. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1300558110*
108. Signorelli S, Coitino E, Borsani O, Monza J (2014). Molecular mechanisms for the reaction between (OH)-O-center dot radicals and proline: Insights on the role as reactive oxygen species scavenger in plant stress. **J Phys Chem B** 118(1): 37-47. doi: 10.1021/jp407773u
109. Abbott DA, Suir E, Duong GH, de Hulster E, Pronk JT, van Maris AJ (2009). Catalase overexpression reduces lactic acid-induced oxidative stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 75(8): 2320-2325. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00009-09
110. Ali MA, Yasui F, Matsugo S, Konishi T (2000). The lactate-dependent enhancement of hydroxyl radical generation by the Fenton reaction. **Free Radic Res** 32(5): 429-438. doi: 10.1080/1071576000300431
111. Casal M, Paiva S, Queirós O, Soares-Silva I (2008). Transport of carboxylic acids in yeasts. **FEMS Microbiol Rev** 32(6): 974-994. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00128.x
112. Viegas CA, Almeida PF, Cavaco M, Sa-Correia I (1998). The H⁺-ATPase in the plasma membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is activated during growth latency in octanoic acid-supplemented medium accompanying the decrease in intracellular pH and cell viability. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 64(2): 779. doi: 10.1128/AEM.64.2.779-783.1998
113. Piper PW, Ortiz-Calderon C, Holyoak C, Coote P, Cole M (1997). Hsp30, the integral plasma membrane heat shock protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is a stress-inducible regulator of plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase. **Cell Stress Chaperon** 2(1): 12-24. doi: 10.1379/1466-1268(1997)002<0012:htpmh>2.3.co;2
114. Song JY, Park JS, Kang CD, Cho HY, Yang D, Lee S, Cho KM (2016). Introduction of a bacterial acetyl-CoA synthesis pathway improves lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Metab Eng** 35: 38-45. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2015.09.006
115. Coleman ST, Fang TK, Rovinsky SA, Turano FJ, Moye-Rowley WS (2001). Expression of a glutamate decarboxylase homologue is required for normal oxidative stress tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **J Biol Chem** 276(1): 244-250. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M007103200
116. Branduardi P, Fossati T, Sauer M, Pagani R, Mattanovich D, Porro D (2007). Biosynthesis of vitamin C by yeast leads to increased stress resistance. **PLoS One** 2(10): e1092. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001092
117. Simoes T, Teixeira MC, Fernandes AR, Sa-Correia I (2003). Adaptation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to the herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, mediated by Msn2p- and Msn4p-regulated genes: important role of *SPI1*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 69(7): 4019-4028. doi: 10.1128/aem.69.7.4019-4028.2003
118. Simões T, Mira NP, Fernandes AR, Sá-Correia I (2006). The *SPI1* gene, encoding a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored cell wall protein, plays a prominent role in the development of yeast resistance to lipophilic weak-acid food preservatives. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 72(11): 7168-7175. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01476-06
119. Viegas CA, Cabral MG, Teixeira MC, Neumann G, Heipieper HJ, Sa-Correia I (2005). Yeast adaptation to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid involves increased membrane fatty acid saturation degree and decreased *OLE1* transcription. **Biochem Biophys Res Commun** 330(1): 271-278. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.02.158
120. Fajardo VA, McMeekin L, LeBlanc PJ (2011). Influence of phospholipid species on membrane fluidity: A meta-analysis for a novel phospholipid fluidity index. **J Membrane Biol** 244(2): 97-103. doi: 10.1007/s00232-011-9401-7
121. Latgé JP (2007). The cell wall: a carbohydrate armour for the fungal cell. **Mol Microbiol** 66: 279-290. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05872.x
122. Ziółkowska NE, Christiano R, Walther TC (2012). Organized living: formation mechanisms and functions of plasma membrane domains in yeast. **Trends Cell Biol** 22(3): 151-158. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2011.12.002
123. de Kroon AIPM (2007). Metabolism of phosphatidylcholine and its implications for lipid acyl chain composition in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **BBA-Mol Cell Biol L** 1771(3): 343-352. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2006.07.010
124. Yoshiyama Y, Tanaka K, Yoshiyama K, Hibi M, Ogawa J, Shima J (2015). Trehalose accumulation enhances tolerance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to acetic acid. **J Biosci Bioeng** 119(2): 172-175. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2014.06.021
125. Cheng L, Moghraby J, Piper PW (1999). Weak organic acid treatment causes a trehalose accumulation in low-pH cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, not displayed by the more preservative-resistant *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. **FEMS Microbiol Lett** 170(1): 89-95. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1999.tb13359.x
126. Palmqvist E, Hahn-Hägerdal B (2000). Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: inhibitors and mechanisms of inhibition. **Bioresour Technol** 74(1): 25-33. doi: 10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00161-3

127. Deparis Q, Claes A, Foulquié-Moreno MR, Thevelein JM (2017). Engineering tolerance to industrially relevant stress factors in yeast cell factories. **FEMS Yeast Res** 17(4): fox036. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fox036
128. Narendranath NV, Thomas KC, Ingledew WM (2001). Effects of acetic acid and lactic acid on the growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in a minimal medium. **J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol** 26(3): 171-177. doi: 10.1038/sj.jim.7000090
129. Casal M, Cardoso H, Leão C (1998). Effects of ethanol and other alkanols on transport of acetic acid in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 64(2): 665-668. doi: 10.1128/aem.64.2.665-668.1998
130. Carmelo V, Santos R, Viegas CA, Sá-Correia I (1998). Modification of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* thermotolerance following rapid exposure to acid stress. **Int J Food Microbiol** 42(3): 225-230. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00089-0
131. Kuanyshev N, Ami D, Signori L, Porro D, Morrissey JP, Branduardi P (2016). Assessing physio-macromolecular effects of lactic acid on *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* cells during microaerobic fermentation. **FEMS Yeast Res** 16(5): fow058. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fow058
132. Weusthuis RA, Mars AE, Springer J, Wolbert EJ, van der Wal H, de Vrije TG, Levisson M, Leprince A, Houweling-Tan GB, Pha Moers A, Hendriks SN, Mendes O, Griekspoor Y, Werten MW, Schaap PJ, van der Oost J, Eggink G (2017). *Monascus ruber* as cell factory for lactic acid production at low pH. **Metab Eng** 42: 66-73. doi: 10.1016/j.ymben.2017.05.005
133. Lourenco A, Pedro NA, Salazar SB, Mira NP (2018). Effect of acetic acid and lactic acid at low pH in growth and azole resistance of *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata*. **Front Microbiol** 9: 3265. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03265
134. Stratford M, Plumridge A, Archer DB (2007). Decarboxylation of sorbic acid by spoilage yeasts is associated with the *PAD1* gene. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 73(20): 6534-6542. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01246-07
135. Sousa MJ, Rodrigues F, Corte-Real M, Leao C (1998). Mechanisms underlying the transport and intracellular metabolism of acetic acid in the presence of glucose in the yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. **Microbiology** 144 (Pt 3): 665-670. doi: 10.1099/00221287-144-3-665
136. Mollapour M, Piper PW (2001). The *ZbYME2* gene from the food spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* confers not only *YME2* functions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, but also the capacity for catabolism of sorbate and benzoate, two major weak organic acid preservatives. **Mol Microbiol** 42(4): 919-930. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02686.x
137. Rodrigues F, Sousa MJ, Ludovico P, Santos H, Córte-Real M, Leão C (2012). The fate of acetic acid during glucose co-metabolism by the spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. **PLoS One** 7(12): e52402. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052402
138. Rodrigues F, Zeeman AM, Cardoso H, Sousa MJ, Steensma HY, Corte-Real M, Leao C (2004). Isolation of an acetyl-CoA synthetase gene (*ZbACS2*) from *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. **Yeast** 21(4): 325-331. doi: 10.1002/yea.1081
139. Stratford M, Steels H, Nebe-von-Caron G, Novodvorska M, Hayer K, Archer DB (2013). Extreme resistance to weak-acid preservatives in the spoilage yeast *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*. **Int J Food Microbiol** 166(1): 126-134. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.06.025
140. Warth AD (1988). Effect of benzoic acid on growth yield of yeasts differing in their resistance to preservatives. **Appl Environ Microbiol** 54(8): 2091-2095. doi: 10.1128/aem.54.8.2091-2095.1988
141. Lindberg L, Santos AXS, Riezman H, Olsson L, Bettiga M (2013). Lipidomic profiling of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* reveals critical changes in lipid composition in response to acetic acid stress. **PLoS One** 8(9): e73936. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073936
142. Baek SH, Kwon EY, Bae SJ, Cho BR, Kim SY, Hahn JS (2017). Improvement of D-lactic acid production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under acidic conditions by evolutionary and rational metabolic engineering. **Biotechnol J** 12(10). doi: 10.1002/biot.201700015
143. Pais TM, Foulquie-Moreno MR, Thevelein JM (2014). QTL mapping by pooled-segregant whole-genome sequencing in yeast. **Methods Mol Biol** 1152: 251-266. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-0563-8_15
144. Meijnen J-P, Randazzo P, Foulquié-Moreno MR, van den Brink J, Vandecruys P, Stojiljkovic M, Dumortier F, Zalar P, Boekhout T, Gunde-Cimerman N, Kokošar J, Štajdohar M, Curk T, Petrovič U, Thevelein JM (2016). Polygenic analysis and targeted improvement of the complex trait of high acetic acid tolerance in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. **Biotechnol Biofuels** 9: 5. doi: 10.1186/s13068-015-0421-x
145. Reed WM, Keller FA, Kite FE, Bogdan ME, Ganoung JS (1987). Development of increased acetic acid tolerance in anaerobic homoacetogens through induced mutagenesis and continuous selection. **Enzyme Microb Tech** 9(2): 117-120. doi: 10.1016/0141-0229(87)90154-2
146. Zhang W, Geng A (2012). Improved ethanol production by a xylose-fermenting recombinant yeast strain constructed through a modified genome shuffling method. **Biotechnol Biofuels** 5(1): 46. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-5-46
147. Liu C, Lievens J (2005). Lactic acid producing yeast. A.E. STALEY MANUFACTURING CO. US20050112737A1
148. Sawai K, Sawai H (2008). Expression cassette for lactate dehydrogenase, transformed yeast and method of producing lactic acid. Toray Industries, Inc. WO2009072593A1
149. Na K, Suda K (2012). Mutant strain of lactic acid producing yeast and process for producing lactic acid. Toray Industries, Inc. WO2012147903A1
150. Kim J-Y, Kang J-k, Kang C-D, Kim S-S, Park J-C, Yu B-J, Lee J-Y, Lim H-S (2013). Microorganism over-expressing lactic acid transporter gene and having inhibitory pathway of lactic acid degradation, and method of producing lactic acid using the microorganism. Samsung Electronics Ltd. US9353388B2
151. Lim H, Kang C, Song J, Lee S, Cho K (2015). Acid resistant yeast cell and use thereof. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd. US9617570B2
152. Lee JY, Shin H, Kang C, Lee S, Cho K (2014). Acid-resistant yeast cell with reduced *fps1* activity and method of producing lactate by using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Ltd. US20150368306A1
153. Lim H, Kim S, Song J, Lee S, Lee JY, Cho K (2014). Genetically engineered and acid-resistant yeast cell with enhanced activity of radiation sensitivity complementing kinase and method of producing lactate by using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd. US20160024484A1
154. Kim S, Lee S, Yang D, Lim H, Cho K (2014). Genetically engineered and stress resistant yeast cell with enhanced *msn2* activity and method of producing lactate using the same. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd. US20160024537A1
155. Chung S, Kim J, Cho K (2015). Yeast cell having acid tolerance, method of preparing yeast cell and use thereof. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd. US20160333380A1
156. Chu H, Cho H, Park J, Yang D, Rhee H, Cho K (2015). Acid-tolerant yeast cell, method of producing organic acid using the same, and method of producing the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd. US10053714B2

157. Dole SV, Hermann T, Regan SJ, Sheff MA, Udani RL, Yocum RR (2019). Microorganisms and processes for lactic acid production. Ptt Global Chemical Public Co. Ltd. WO2019159011A3
158. Ask M, Koppram R, Mattanovich D, Sauer M (2017). Method for producing lactic acid. Syconium Lactic Acid GmbH. WO2017182403A1
159. Porro D, Bianchi M, M. RB, Frontali L, Vai M, A. WA, Alberghina L (2002). Processes for producing lactic acid using yeast transformed with a gene encoding lactate dehydrogenase. Tate & Lyle Ingredients. US7049108B2
160. Rajgarhia V, A. DC, Olson S, Suominen P, Hause B (2003). Methods and materials for the production of D-lactic acid in yeast. NatureWorks LLC. EP1513923B1
161. Ishida N, Kuromiya S, Mouri M, Nagamori E, Nakano M, Ohnishi T, Saitoh S, Saotome O, Takahashi H, Tokuhiro K, Usuki A, Yamaguchi I, Yasutani N (2005). Process for production of lactic acid. Toyota Motor Co. Ltd. AU2005283487B2
162. Rajgarhia V, Hatzimanikatis V, Olson S, Carlson T, Starr JN, Kolstad JJ, Eyal A (2007). Methods for the synthesis of lactic acid using crabtree-negative yeast transformed with the lactate dehydrogenase gene. Cargill Inc. US7700332B1
163. Lu L-T, Hwang D-R (2013). Yeast strain for lactic acid production by using pentose and hexose. Far Eastern New Century Corp. US9382557B2
164. Skory CD (2000). Fungal lactate dehydrogenase gene and constructs for the expression thereof. US Department of Agriculture USDA. US6268189B1
165. Dequin S, Barre P (1993). Yeast strains expressing the lactic lacticodehydrogenase gene and vectors useful in producing said strains. Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique INRA. US5712152A
166. Kim S-S, Lee S-Y, Kang C-D, Lee J-Y, Cho K-M (2014). Yeast cell with activated lactate dehydrogenase and method of producing lactate using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US9416380B2
167. Sawai K, Suda K, Sawai H, Yamada K, Yamagishi J (2010). Polypeptide having d-lactate dehydrogenase activity, polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide, and process for production of d-lactic acid. Toray Industries Inc. US20120070871A1
168. Valli M, Sauer M, Porro D, Branduardi P, Mattanovich D (2006). Methods for selecting a yeast population for the production of an organic acid and producing an organic acid. Tate and Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC. US7473540B2
169. Lee J, Kang C, Lee S, Cho K (2015). Yeast cell having decreased RGT1 activity, method of producing the same, and method of producing product using the same. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US9885067B2
170. Song J, Hahn J, Kang C, Kim D, Lee S, Lee S, Cho K (2015). Yeast having improved productivity and method of producing product. Samsung Electronics Co Ltd, Seoul National University R&DB Foundation. US20160002678A1
171. Porro D, Branduardi P, Sauer M (2009). Improved yeast strains for organic acid production. Univ Milano Bicocca. US20110104769A1
172. Lee JY, Kang CD, Kang JK, Lee SH, Cho KM (2014). Yeast cell with inactivated nadh dehydrogenase and method of producing lactate using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US20150024444A1
173. Jung Y, Cho K, Kim J, Chung S (2016). Genetically engineered yeast cell having increased NADPH production, method of increasing NADPH level in yeast cell, method of preparing yeast cell, and method of producing lactate using yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US10072276B2
174. Kim SH, Lee TH, Yang YL, Yang EB, Na K, Ha CW (2016). Microorganism producing lactic acid and method for producing lactic acid using same. CJ CheilJedang Corp. US10227618B2
175. Park Y, Kang C, Song J, Lee JY, Lee S, Cho K (2014). Yeast cell with inactivated or depressed pyruvate carboxylase and method of producing lactate using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US20150159183A1
176. Miller M, Suominen P, Aristidou A, Hause BM, Van Hoek P, Dundon CA (2006). Lactic acid-producing yeast cells having nonfunctional L- or D-lactate:ferricytochrome C oxidoreductase cells. Cargill Inc. US8137953B2
177. Onishi T, Tada N, Matsushita H, Yasutani N, Ishida N, Shimamura T (2009). Yeast mutant and substance production method using the same. Toyota Motor Co. Ltd. US20110039316A1
178. Lee W, Lee S, Song J, Cho K (2015). Genetically engineered yeast cell with enhanced edc activity and capability of producing lactate, method of producing the yeast cell, and method of producing lactate by using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US20160068874A1
179. Lee JY, Kang C, Song J, Lee S, Park J, Cho K (2015). Yeast cell-producing lactate with reduced activity of rim15 and igo2, method of producing the yeast cell, and method of producing lactate by using the yeast cell. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. US9677098B2