Characterising glycosaminoglycans in human breastmilk and their potential role in infant health

Melissa Greenwood^{1,2,*}, Patricia Murciano-Martinez³, Janet Berrington⁴, Sabine L Flitsch⁵, Sean Austin² and Christopher Stewart^{1,*}

¹Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, NE2 4HH, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom. ²Analytical Sciences Department, Société des Produits Nestlé, Nestlé Research, Vers-Chez-Les-Blanc, Lausanne, Switzerland. ³Department of Nutrient Technology, Société des Produits Nestlé, Nestlé Research, Vers-Chez-Les-Blanc, Lausanne, Switzerland. ⁴Newcastle Neonatal Service, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 4LP, United Kingdom. ⁵School of Chemistry, Faculty of Medical Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, 131 Princess Street Manchester, M1 7DN, United Kingdom

*Corresponding Authors:

microbial

cell

Melissa Greenwood, Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, 3rd Floor Leech Building, Newcastle, NE2 4HH; ; E-mail: m.greenwood3@newcastle.ac.uk

Christopher Stewart, Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, 3rd Floor Leech Building, Newcastle, NE2 4HH;; E-mail: christopher.stewart@newcastle.ac.uk.

ABSTRACT Human breastmilk is composed of many well researched bioactive components crucial for infant nutrition and priming of the neonatal microbiome and immune system. Understanding these components gives us crucial insight to the health and wellbeing of infants. Research surrounding glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) previously focused on those produced endogenously; however, recent efforts have shifted to understanding GAGs in human breastmilk. The structural complexity of GAGs makes detection and analysis complicated therefore, research is time consuming and limited to highly specialised teams experienced in carbohydrate analysis. In breastmilk, GAGs are present in varying quantities in four forms; chondroitin sulphate, heparin/heparan sulphate, dermatan sulphate and hyaluronic acid, and are hypothesised to behave similar to other bioactive components with suspected roles in pathogen defense and proliferation of beneficial gut bacteria. Chondroitin sulphate and heparin, being the most abundant, are expected to have the most impact on infant health. Their decreasing concentration over lactation further indicates their role and potential importance during early life.

doi: 10.15698/mic2024.07.827 Received originally: 16. 01. 2024; in revised form: 10. 05. 2024, Accepted: 15. 05. 2024 Published: 04. 07. 2024

Keywords: breastmilk, glycosaminoglycan, chondroitin sulphate, heparin, heparan sulphate, microbiome

Abbreviations:

BM - breastmilk; CS - chondroitin sulphate; DHM - Donor Human Milk; DS - dermatan sulphate; EBF - exclusive breastfeeding; ECM - extracellular matrix; GA - gestational age; GAGs glycosaminoglycans; GalNAC -N-acetyl-d-galactosamine; GI gastrointestinal; GlcA - D-glucuronic acid; GlcNAC - N-acetyl-d-glucosamine; HA - hyaluronic acid; Hep - heparin; HMOs - human milk oligosaccharides; HPLC - high performance liquid chromatography; HS - heparan sulphate; IBD - inflammatory bowel disease; IdoA - l-iduronic acid; IgA immunglobulin A; MFG - milk fat globule; MOM - Mothers Own Milk; MS mass spectrometry; NEC - necrotising enterocolitis; PGCT - preterm gut community types; TB - toluidine blue; UDP - uridine diphosphate.

INTRODUCTION

Human breastmilk (BM) is a complex biofluid crucial for infant nutrition and the most important factor in early infant health. BM composition is categorised across lactation into three stages: colostrum, transitional milk, and mature milk [1]. Colostrum, generally high in bioactive components, has reported roles in priming of the infant's immune system and gut microbiome, impacting both immediate and long-term health [1], [2]. As BM progresses to transitional milk, bioactive components begin to decline in concentration, simultaneously there is an increase in functional nutritional factors (Figure 1) [1]. The final stage, mature BM, is primarily characterised by high concentrations of nutritional compounds and low levels of bioactive components as the main purpose of BM at this stage is nutrition [1]. Figure 1 demonstrates this phenomena, showing the percentage change of bioactive components from colostrum to mature milk using reported medians and averages of preexisting datasets.

BM is a key source of microbial inoculation, exposing the infant up to 700 different bacterial species over the course of lactation [7, 8]. The BM microbiome develops throughout lactation with colostrum notably high in diversity colonised by Weisella, Leuconostoc, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, and Lactococcus, compared to mature BM presenting increased presence of Veillonella, Leptotrichia, and Prevotella [7]. Similarly, BM is composed of varying quantities of functional and bioactive components, macro and micronutrients, with 710 metabolites identified [9, 10]. Despite some direct seeding of microbes, BM mostly impacts the infant microbiome by provision of prebiotic components such as human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs). Breastfed infants demonstrate a microbiome dominated by anaerobic Bifidobacterium species (Bifidobacterium breve and Bifidobacterium bifidum), promoted by HMOs. Bacteroides are also believed to consume long chain HMOs [9, 11, 12]. The bioactive components in BM have been shown to exert protective effects and adapt to the infants needs over time, compensating for their deficient immune system, with recent research demonstrating the protective effects of human milk glycans against enteric infections [13, 14].

In the absence of Mothers Own Milk (MOM), BM taken from the mother and given to her infant, and Donor Human Milk (DHM), BM donated by mothers to be given to any infant, the main substitute is formula milk which is associated with health risks [15]. For instance, exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) of infants demonstrated a reduced occurrence of enteric infections by approximately 50% compared to infants fed bovine milk-based infant formula [16]. Furthermore. EBF preterm infants show significant reductions in morbidity and mortality compared to partially formula fed infants [16]. As such, EBF during the first six months of life is recommended by the World Health Organization [17]. Described as the most effective method to ensure child health, research has shown EBF during this period is positively associated with increased linear growth [17, 18]. In addition, there is evidence showing EBF for three months, or longer, results in increased intelligence scores and consistent evidence showing breastfeeding of any kind provides protection against the development of upper and lower respiratory infections, obesity, childhood inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and diabetes [19, 20]. Breastfeeding also has a range of positive impacts for the mother, not limited to reducing the risk of postpartum haemorrhage and anaemia, postpartum depression, various types of cancer and cardiovascular disease [21].

The bioactive components in BM are a current topic of intense research owing to their biomarker and therapeutic potential. A particular class of interest are HMOs, indigestible complex unconjugated sugars serving to promote the growth of beneficial bacteria in the infant gut (i.e., act as a 'prebiotic') [22]. Research suggests that the concentration of specific HMOs may be associated with protection from disease. A lack of the HMO, disialyllacto-N-tetraose, has been associated

with the development of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in preterm infants, with protective effects of disialyllacto-N-tetraose against NEC further demonstrated using a rat model [23, 24]. Similarly, 2- linked fucosylated HMOs have associations with the protection against diarrhoea in breastfed infants for their ability to inhibit binding to host cell ligands by *Campylobacter jejuni*, known to cause diarrhoea [25]. Therefore, infants with higher levels of 2-linked fucosylated HMOs are at reduced risk of developing pathogenic specific diarrhoea [25, 26].

Glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), are complex carbohydrates present in BM throughout lactation (Figure 1) [1, 27, 28]. Previous to the relatively novel research of GAGs in BM, the majority of GAG research focused on GAGs synthesised throughout the body [29]. The molecular structure determines the functions of GAGs, notable roles include their critical roles in cellular processes such as cell signalling, cell hydration, regulation of cell growth and proliferation, and structural scaffolding, in addition to more pathophysiological roles, such as in pathogen infectivity, blood coagulation, wound repair, angiogenesis, axonal growth, and metastasis [27–30]. In recent years, there has been a noticeable increase in research on GAGs and their roles in BM. Comparable to most bioactive components, GAG content in BM declines as infants age and their needs adjust (Figure 1) [1, 31].

Upon ingestion and arrival at the small intestine, pancreatic enzymes can digest the protein core to which GAGs are bound, resulting in 'free' GAGs [32]. These then pass relatively undigested through the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, due to a lack of endogenous host enzymes capable of degrading GAGs, and it is only upon reaching the colon and the cecum where the free GAGs can be broken down by bacterial enzymes to be used for further metabolic purposes [32, 33]. GAGs are thought to be useful in pathogen defense for new-born infants, with a proposed receptor-like mechanisms for preventing the adhesion of pathogens to epithelial cells [31]. Furthermore. GAG utilisation is essential for the colonisation and proliferation of gut bacteria and therefore overall health [34]. Considering this, we can hypothesise GAGs may serve an important role in infant immune protection and maturation of the infant microbiome.

THE BUILDING BLOCKS OF GLYCOSAMINOGLYCANS

Within BM there are four classes of GAGs, determined by their monosaccharide composition, more specifically their repeating disaccharide units, glycosidic linkage, and the sulphation position and amount (Figure 2) [29]. These are the galactosaminoglycans; chondroitin sulphate (CS) and dermatan sulphate (DS) and the glucosaminoglycans; heparan sulphate (HS), heparin (Hep) and hyaluronic acid (HA), also termed hyaluronan, which is not sulphate [27].

As proteoglycans are transported through the secretory pathway, they can acquire multiple covalently bonded GAG chains which extend from the serine residue of ser-gly sites in the protein core through a linker tetrasaccharide (GlcA-Gal-Gal-Xyl-) [36, 37]. GAG synthesis occurs *de novo* across the body, in a non-template driven process, and is divided between the nonsulphated biosynthesis of HA and sulphated biosynthesis of CS/DS and HS/Hep (**Figure 3**) [27, 30]. The GAGs are composed of a uronic acid, d-glucuronic acid (GlcA) or l-iduronic acid (IdoA), and an amino sugar; N-acetyl-d-galactosamine (GalNAc)









Human breastmilk GAGs and microbiome interactions

or N-acetyl-d-glucosamine (GlcNAc), with arrangement and glycosidic linkages of the monosaccharides resulting in the four classifications of GAGs, with sulphation modifications of the backbone resulting in variability [27, 38].

All GAG biosynthesis begins with the biosynthesis of five uridine diphosphate (UPD) derived activated sugars within the cytoplasm: UPD-glucuronic acid, UDP-N-acetylglucosamine, UDP-galactose, UDP-N-acetylgalactosamine, and UDPxylose [29, 30]. From here onwards there is a set distinction between sulphated and non-sulphated synthesis.

HA is composed of the repeating disaccharide unit -> 4) β -D-GlcA (1->3) β -D-GlcNAc (1->) and may be up to 25,000 units long [30, 39]. During HA synthesis UDP-glucuronic acid and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine reach the inner surface of the plasma membrane, via diffusion, where they are then polymerised by membrane-bound hyaluronan synthases [30, 40]. Following synthesis, HA is secreted untethered and unmodified into the pericellular space [30] (**Figure 3**).

During sulphated GAG biosynthesis, UPD sugars are carried to the Golgi by transmembrane nucleotide-sugar transporters, where they act as sugar donors for glycosyltransferases [29, 30]. In the endoplasmic reticulum and cis-Golgi compartment, xylosyl-transferase initiates biosynthesis of the common GAGprotein linkage tetrasaccharide: $GlcA\beta 1-3Gal\beta 1-3Gal\beta 1-4Xy1-$ O-Ser on the peptidoglycan core protein [41]. Following synthesis of the linker tetrasaccharide chain building can occur for CS and HS GAGs (Figure 3).

CS is a linear polysaccharide consisting of alternating repeating units of varyingly sulphated GalNAc and GlcA (Figure 2) [34]. Initially, GalNAc is transferred to the GlcA of the linkage tetrasaccharide by GalNActT-1, followed by the alternate transfer of GlcA and GalNAc residues by GlcAT-II and GalNAcT-II, respectively, creating the CS polysaccharide backbone which can further modified by sulfotransferases [38, 41]. DS formation occurs following CS modifications when GlcA C5-epimerase catalyses the epimerisation of D-GlcA to L-IdoA, resulting in conversion from CS to DS (Figure 2) [41]. There are four units of sulphated disaccharides: Unit A. C. D and E. Unit A is sulphated on position O4 of the GalNAc residue in CS by four sulfotransferases; chondroitin 4-0 sulfotransferase-1, -2 and -3 (C4ST-1, -2 and -3), with dermatan 4-O sulfotransferase-1 responsible for catalysing the transfer of sulphate to GalNAc residue next to IdoA in DS [41]. C and D units are sulphated by chondroitin 6-O sulfotransferase-1 on position 6 of the GalNAc residue, with unit D requiring 2-O-sulphation of the adjacent GlcA or IdoA by uronyl 2-0-sulfotransferase [41]. Finally, unit E formation occurs when GalNAc-4-sulphate 6-O-sulfotransferase transfers a sulphate residue to position 6 of GalNAc(4S) [41].

Hep and HS, formed from repeating units of Nacetylglucosamine and hexuronic acid residues, are different in that 2-O-sulphated GlcA (β 1-4) is linked to 6-O-sulphated-GlcNAc in HS, whereas 2-O-sulphated IdoA (α 1-4) is linked to GlcNAc sulphated at N2 and O6 in Hep (**Figure 2**) [29, 34]. Highly sulphated Hep, and its lesser sulphated counterpart HS, have the highest structural heterogeneity of all the GAGs [34, 42].

Hep and HS formation begins with GlcNAc transfer to the linkage tetrasaccharide by GlcNAc transferase-I [41, 43]. Chain elongation is controlled by bifunctional exostosin (EXT) genes which encode glycosyltransferases; EXT1, EXT2, EXTL1,

EXTL2 and EXTL3 [44]. The combined activity of EXT1 and EXT2, forming a stable Golgi-located hetero-oligomeric complex transferring GlcNAc and GlcA to the growing Hep or HS chain [41, 42, 44]. Whilst EXT1 is capable of polymerising the HS backbone alone, the role for EXT2 is not fully understood, with both genes described as working together [44]. There are five main pathways of biosynthetic modifications of HS and Hep chains, making them the most informationally rich GAGs [41, 42]. The first two modifications; N-deacetylation and N-sulphation are essential for the subsequent reactions to take place and are catalysed by GlcNAc-N-deacetylaseINsulfotransferases. GlcA can then be epimerized to IdoA, with an accompanied anomeric switch (β to α) by HS C5-epimerase in the medial Golgi, the uronic acid residues can be sulphated at the O2 position by 2-O-GlcA/IdoA-sulfotransferases [41]. The N-acetylglucosamine residues can be sulphated at O6 via the action of 6-O GlcN-sulfotransferases and then further sulphated at O3 via the action of 3-O-GlcN-sulfotransferases. [41]. Alongside modifications of sulphation and epimerisation, desulphation also occurs at the cell surface and in the Golgi where endosulfatase catalyses the de-sulphation of 6-O-sulphate from 6-O sulphated HS. Chain cleavage at multiple glycosidic linkages may also take place via the action of heparinase resulting in a varied number of bioactive HS chains and chain lenaths [41].

METHODS TO IDENTIFY AND CHARACTERISE GLYCOSAMINOGLYCANS

Characterising GAGs in BM remains an important research topic. Research within the GAG field is typically performed by few highly specialised research groups, with similar methodologies between studies [14, 31, 45]. One reason for this is that structural complexity of GAGs makes their analysis challenging, specifically their varying sulphation patterns. Therefore, there are calls for a reliable method to be optimised for the structural characterisation and quantification of GAGs [46, 47]. There are many GAG assay methods, including enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, dye staining, mass spectrometry (MS), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and capillary electrophoresis but these require the creation of calibration curves using standards, which can be difficult to source [47, 48]. Other methods include chemo- and biosensors for their rapid detection, considered satisfactory for quantification purposes, but the inability to distinguish GAG species is a limiting factor [47].

The first step to determine BM GAGs is their extraction and purification, for which some common protocols have been developed [14, 31, 45]. To quantify the total GAG content in milk, the carbazole assay for uronic acids can be used. The technique dates back to 1962 and is used to determine the amount of hexuronic acids after hydrolysis of the GAGs into monosaccharides [45, 47, 49]. The carbazole assay is sensitive and reproducible, allowing for the processing of multiple samples at once, with low consumption of reagents. Furthermore, it is designed for the determination of complex uronic acid-bearing polyanions such as HA, CS, DS and Hep and its derivatives [49, 50]. Use of the 96-well assay allows for many samples to be processed at once, in addition to allowing for repeats to assess the coefficient of variation [50]. GAGs can then be separated and quantified by agarose-gel electrophoresis



FiGURE 3 Simplified schematic representation of GAG synthesis in human breastmilk. UPD sugars are synthesised in the cytoplasm where they remain until biosynthesis begins. Left: non-sulphated hyaluronic acid; UPD sugars diffuse into the plasma membrane where they are polymerised by hyaluronic acid synthases, forming the HA polysaccharide. HA is then excreted unmodified into the pericellular space [30]. Right: sulphated GAG synthesis of CS, DS, Hep and HS; UPD sugars are transferred to the cis Golgi by transmembrane nucleotide transporters where they act as sugar donors for glycosyltransferases [29, 30]. Synthesis of the common linkage tetrasaccharide on the proteoglycan core begins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and finishes in the Golgi apparatus, allowing for CS and Hep/HS chain building. CS, Hep and HS undergo sulphation and modifications in the Golgi before secretion at the trans-golgi network [30]. Created with Biorender.com.

combined with sequential toluidine blue (TB)/Stains-All staining, a sensitive method developed for the visualisation of GAGs [47, 51]. Electrophoresis separates GAGs based on charge density and molecular size, following the use of TB for visualisation [47]. Stains-All, or carbocyanine dye, stains macromolecules with specific colours and when used as a combination with TB allows for colour coded detection of GAGs [47]. TB is advantageous in that it does not alter the chemical structure of GAGs and can be used to detect low levels of GAGs in tissue, but TB can react with unrelated negatively charged molecules and cannot be used for quantitative analysis of GAGs [48]. Dimethyl methylene blue is another available dye and can be used both in solution or in the solid phase, however, has low sensitivity and inability to differentiate sub-species of GAGs [47, 48].

LC is a versatile common separation method used for structural characterisation of GAG disaccharides, with many applications that can be paired with multiple techniques, such as anion exchange, reversed phase anion pairing and size exclusion chromatography, one of the more common analytical techniques used for molecular weight analysis of HA [52]. Notwithstanding, LC is time-consuming due to the lengthy sample preparation required prior to analysis. For successful LC analysis, GAG polymers must first be extracted

from the sample then depolymerised using polysaccharide lyase enzymes: Chondroitinase ABC, Heparinase I, Heparinase II and Heparinase III, which cleave the glycosidic linkages resulting in the release of the disaccharide components [47, 53]. This is a key step as purified GAGs are often too large and heterogenous, therefore they must be depolymerised prior to LC and/or MS analysis [52]. The unsaturated disaccharides are then labelled/derivatized. This is most commonly achieved using fluorescent tags which label the reducing ends of disaccharides such as 2-aminoacridone, aminobenzamide, anthranilic acid and 2-aminopyridine [54]. Following this labelling step, sample analysis can begin, where the efficiency fully depends on the method, with some significantly more time consuming than others. Thus, whilst LC does produce sensitive and reproducible results, in some cases, it may be better suited to small numbers of samples where possible [48].

MS is another powerful detection method for GAG analysis, which can be paired with LC and is superior in speed, accuracy, and sensitivity. Coupling MS separations are 'essential' for determination of expression patterns for GAG compound classes, even so there is discourse with some arguing it needs to be adapted to better suit GAGs,

one disadvantage being its inability to provide sequence information for GAGs [47, 48, 52]. GAGs are acidic and produce abundant negative ions. The acidic residues are most stable when ionised in the deprotonated form and as such can be analysed using negative mode MS [52]. HPLC-electrospray ionisation-MS in particular is recognised for its ability to provide 'soft ionization' for the detection and characterisation of sulphated GAGs [55]. Not all MS techniques are viable, fast atom bombardment MS, for instance causes fragmentation of sulphate groups and is less sensitive than commonly used electrospray ionisation [52]. Wang et al. took a slightly different approach using LC-tandem MS with sensitive multiple reaction monitoring MS to determine trace concentrations of GAGs in human BM, again labelling the unsaturated disaccharides with 2-aminoacridone [56]. LCMS, typically used for the analysis of GAG structure, is a time-consuming and labourintensive process taking approximately one to two weeks from sample preparation to completed analysis. Benefits include its suitability for work with very small samples ($pg/\mu L$), and its high sensitivity [46]. Recently developed Shotgun Ion Mobility Mass Spectrometry Sequencing (SIMMS²) is an emerging method for the sequencing of HS oligosaccharides [57]. In combining IMMS, able to characterise isomeric arrangements of glycan building blocks, with a library of defined samples, SIMMS² sequencing provides details regarding structurefunction relationships of HS saccharides [57].

FACTORS AFFECTING BREASTMILK COMPOSITION

BM composition changes over the course of lactation to meet the varying demands of the developing infant. Multiple factors affect composition, not limited to the stage of lactogenesis, including the health of the mother and infant and their feedback relationship [13, 58]. There are three main stages of lactation; colostrum, transitional, and mature BM, all of which have different 'purposes' and compositions [1, 59]. Stage I lactation, secretory initiation is vastly different to later stages in that the mammary gland is capable of producing only small volumes of colostrum for around the first 72 hours [1, 59, 60]. Colostrum primes the infant's immune system through a variety of immunological components, notably, Immunoglobulin A (IgA), secretory IgA, lactoferrin, HMO's, GAGs, leukocytes and other immunological components [1]. Stage II, transitional milk, or secretory activation onset, triggered by progesterone withdrawal, is distinguished by the increase in lactose concentration and BM volume, alongside a decline in the sodium to potassium ratio [1, 60, 61]. Transitional milk typically occurs around five days postpartum and can last up to two weeks. It is similar to colostrum in terms of composition, often showing a decline in bioactive components as postpartum age increases, and an increase in milk volume [1]. As long as prolactin secretion and BM removal from the breast is maintained, milk secretion continues [61]. Stage III, mature BM, occurring between four to six weeks postpartum, is defined by low levels of bioactive components, and heightened macronutrient and micronutrient content [1, 9]. Typical composition of mature BM is approximately 87% water, 1% protein, 4% lipid and 7% carbohydrates (Figure 4) [62]. Naturally, maternal factors are a key influencing factor in BM composition. For instance, BM can be influenced by the mother's diet and maternal stores [1]. Furthermore, maternal obesity, poorly managed diabetes, and stressful deliveries, may contribute to the delayed onset of lactogenesis, when considering stage II as the 'onset' of milk production [60, 61]. In addition, socioeconomics of the mother and sex of the infant may impact the composition of BM, with data suggesting underprivileged mothers will provide BM with a higher fat concentration for daughters over sons, with the reverse occurring with 'economically sufficient' mothers [63]. In a similar study, research suggests sex may influence BM, with male infants receiving between 24-39% higher energy and lipid content compared to female infants, hypothesised to be a result of the differing growth demands between males and females [64].

Another key factor impacting BM composition is gestational age (GA) of the infant. Prematurity accounts for approximately 11% of births worldwide and can affect all women, occurring both spontaneously, but also in response to health issues such as infections or chronic illness [65]. Premature infants can be categorised by their GA as extremely preterm (22-28 weeks GA), 'very' preterm (28-32 weeks GA), 'moderate' prematurity (32-34 weeks GA), or as 'late' prematurity (35-37 weeks GA), with 'term' infants born beyond 37 weeks GA [65]. These classifications are important to understand foetal maturity and the receipt and composition of BM. Furthermore, prior to 34 weeks GA, infants lack the ability to coordinate suckling, swallowing, and breathing and are not able to feed directly at the breast so are fed expressed milk enterally, either intermittently or continuously through the use of a nasogastric tube, where milk bypasses the oral cavity to reach the stomach [9, 66]. As such, infants fed expressed milk are unable to benefit from the feedback relationship between mother and infant, an important driver for regulation of BM demand and the infant's nutritional requirements [9]. Enterally fed infants can receive fresh or frozen MOM, DHM, or formula. DHM milk is typically expressed from mothers of term infants and is highly regulated [67]. Following screening. DHM is pasteurised at high temperatures. impacting the viability of some bioactive components in human BM, for instance analysis of IgA from colostrum pre- and postpasteurisation found a decline in IgA [68]. Furthermore, with MOM unable to meet the nutrient requirements of preterm infants without fortification, it is required for DHM to receive further fortification prior to being given to very low birth weight infants [69].

GLYCOSAMINGLYCANS IN BREASTMILK AND FORMULA MILK

As discussed, there are multiple variables capable of influencing the overall composition of human BM. Despite being an abundant component of human BM, few studies have quantified GAG concentration throughout lactation. Even less explored are GAG concentrations in preterm milk, likely owing to the small volume of milk samples available from such cohorts. A study by Coppa et al., quantifying GAGs in healthy mothers delivering preterm and term infants reported a gradual decline in concentration over lactation, similar to other bioactive components. Notably, BM of mothers to preterm infants had higher GAG concentrations when compared to the BM of mothers of term infants [31]. For instance, at four days postpartum, BM of preterm infants contained 9.3 g/L of GAGs compared to the 3.8 g/L in BM of term infants. Similarly, at 30 days postpartum BM of preterm infants contained



FiGURE 4 • The average composition of mature human breast milk of mothers who delivered term infants. Data compiled from published studies [3, 60, 69, 70].

4.3 g/L compared to term infants who received only 0.4 g/L [31]. These results were further validated by a 2018 study, demonstrating an overall decline in GAG content as lactation progressed [56]. Using BM collected from all lactation stages, analysed using LCMS, Wang et al. demonstrated seven types of HS disaccharides present in BM, accounting for 17-64% of the total GAG disaccharides, six types of CS disaccharide, accounting for 36-79% of total GAGs, and a limited presence of HA representing 1-9% of GAG content in BM [56]. Whilst there was no considerable variation of HS disaccharide composition (%) over lactation, CS showed a change in the two CS disaccharide concentrations: CS-0S and CS-6S between days 30-183. Similarly, the degree of HS sulphation remained relatively consistent, with a slight increase from 1.0 to 1.5 observed around day 183. CS degree of sulphation initially increased exponentially until day 43 of lactation, then demonstrated a steady decline up to day 183 of lactation [56]. A degree of intraindividual variation was also demonstrated [56].

Whilst there are many studies demonstrating the significant changeable nature of GAGs over lactation, not all data agree. A pilot study analysing 50 BM samples from mothers across East Europe, North Africa, Central Africa, South America and Asia found no significant difference in the qualitative composition of GAGs throughout lactation [71]. Across the 50 samples there was a reported range of 0.018 – 1.93 g/L with a mean of 0.361 \pm 0.711 g/L, closely mirroring the mature BM concentrations of GAGs reported hy Coppa *et al.* in term infants [14, 71]. Volpi *et al.* also reported no significant difference of GAG concentration over lactation between the various countries and ethnicities, however, with only ten samples per listed location the validity

of this particular result is limited. A larger sample size for this study may have yielded results more in line with other literature. However, often the case within this field, studies with larger sample sizes are not easily facilitated, therefore more studies are needed collectively to be able to form sound conclusions.

The impact of disease can be another challenging factor to study but the use of case studies where the individual is used as their own control might help to disentangle the role of disease by overcoming patient to patient variability. Analysis of BM from both breasts of a 35-year-old mother in her second month of lactation with a papillary infiltrating carcinoma tumour in one breast demonstrated the impact of the mother's health on GAG composition [72]. CS disaccharides isolated from BM of the cancerous breast showed an increased charge density compared to those isolated from the healthy breast; 0.7 vs. 0.3 whilst, the nonsulphated / monosulphated ratio of CS isolated from the cancerous breast was significantly lower at ~0.4 compared to ~2.3 in the 'healthy' breast [72]. Whilst there is no comparable dataset regarding the sulphation pattern of BM GAGs in 'unhealthy' mothers, Volpi et al. reported a mean CS charge density of 0.37, comparable to ratio of 'heathy' BM GAGs [71, 72]. Sulphation pattern is known to encode the molecular recognition capabilities and activity of GAGs, suggesting the GAGs synthesised in the cancerous breast will have differing capabilities to those produced in the healthy breast [73]. There are no studies reporting the absence of breast-to-breast variability in GAG composition of healthy mothers, so the results need to be considered with caution. Whilst there is an increasing interest for research within this field, there are also many neglected areas due to the novelty of this subject. For instance, no data was found regarding potential

impacts on GAG concentrations in BM as a result of diet changes or general health.

A potential factor affecting GAGs in BM is pasteurisation, an important process ensuring the safety of DHM [67]. One study investigating the impact of pasteurisation on GAGs noted no significant difference in total GAG content of non-treated and treated samples [45]. They did, however, report an overall decrease of GAG content by 18% of which 17% was observed as being HS after pasteurisation. Though these results are considered non-significant, they imply there may be some negative effects on GAG content related to the pasteurisation process, or perhaps outliers due to small sample size [45]. Regardless, a repeat of this study with a larger sample size would be beneficial. MOM is always the best source of nutrition for an infant, followed by DHM. In the event of an absence of MOM and DHM, formula milk provides a necessary solution. Formula milk composition varies greatly compared to human milk, where bovine milk typically as a base with many variations available, each supplemented in an attempt to better mimic the composition of BM such as prebiotics, iron, and fatty acids [74]. Outcomes of EBF infants are superior to those of infants fed formula milk, as such efforts to improve formula are ongoing [75]. Naturally, there are many regulations on formula milk with product reformulations requiring sufficient medical and nutritional findings [74].

In the first reported literature on GAGs in BM, Shimizu et al. demonstrated total GAG content in human milk fat globule (MFG) membranes as being five to ten times higher in BM than in formula [76]. Of the GAGs present, in both formula milk and the MFG 70% was HS, with the remaining 30% formed from CS [76]. The MFG originates from the mammary gland epithelia, is triglyceride rich, and enriched with bioactive components [77]. Its primary 'purpose' being a source of nutrition providing up to 50% of the calorie content in BM, therefore, the GAGs present in the MFG are likely not fully representative of GAG composition in BM [77]. Quantitative evaluation of total GAGs (as uronic acids) in BM samples showed a mean of 416.26 mg/L (\pm s.d. 85.6 mg/L) where approximately 55% of the overall GAG content was formed from CS with Hep forming approximately 42%, with the remaining 3% formed from DS and HA [14]. In comparison, the overall GAG content in bovine milk is 60.2 mg/L, approximately seven times less than that of human BM and primarily composed of DS (40%), followed by Fast Moving Hep (29.4% of GAGs), CS (21.4% of GAGs) and Slow Moving-Hep and HA forming the remaining 9.5% of GAG, where fast moving and slow moving refer to the speed at which the GAG components run in agarosegel electrophoresis [14, 78]. These values do not correspond with those later published where CS was shown to consistently represent 60-70% of GAG content with Hep constituting the remaining 30-40% in MOM from healthy mothers who delivered term or preterm infants [31]. Evidence also demonstrates the GAG charge density, and distribution of sulphate groups differs between bovine derived formula and human BM [33]. CS for instance is a low-sulphated polymer with a charge density of 0.44 in BM and 0.49 in faeces of BM-fed infants. However, the faeces of infants fed formula milk showed CS/DS as having a high sulphate to carboxyl ratio of 0.75, due to the high content of DS in formula milk. HS is highly sulphated, with a charge density of 1.50 in BM and 0.40 in faeces of infants fed BM, but a charge density of 0.42 in formula-fed infants [33]. Furthermore,

isolation and analysis of GAGs from 'known' amounts of BM, or formula, and infant stool collected within 24 hours of feeding implied GAGs in BM are better utilised by the infant than those in formula milk. Assuming the GAGs isolated from the milk samples represent total GAG content, in quantifying the GAGs in infant stool, this study inferred utilisation. Stool of breastfed infants contained <0.4% of GAGs, compared to formula fed infant stool which contained ~4%, therefore it was concluded breastfed infants internalise >99% of GAGs whereas formula fed infants internalise 96% of GAGs [33]. Moreover, whilst HA utilisation was determined as being similar between BM and formula milk, CS, DS and HS were found to be ten to 18 times lower in infants fed formula milk [33]. The overall difference in utilisation was found to be significant and whilst indicative, internalisation of GAGs (or lack of in stool samples), does not necessarily represent utilisation. To better infer this, a wider understanding of GAGs is required. Furthermore, this study operated within a 24-hour window from feeding to stool collection and whilst unlikely, it is possible not all of the ingested milk was fully digested in the time frame; therefore, the stool samples may not fully represent total GAG internalisation. Regardless, such work highlights the difference between the GAGs in BM and formula milk and the necessity of further research within the field.

Overall, GAG composition and abundance between BM and bovine-based formula milk is noticeably different. Formula fed infants receive lower quantities of utilisable GAGs, which could impact health. Thus, further research is required to understand the biological roles of GAGs in BM and their potential impact on infant health and development.

GLYCOSAMINGLYCANS: FEEDING THE GUT MICROBIOME

There are multiple factors influencing the infant gut microbiome, including mode of delivery, GA, administration of antibiotics and/or probiotics, and diet [12, 79]. Indeed, the primary factor that shapes infant microbiome during the initial weeks of life is receipt of BM, due in part to the provision of 'prebiotic' glycans such as HMOs which are capable of regulating commensal bacteria [8, 12, 80]. As such, the mother's health can be a contributory factor to the infants developing microbiome. For instance, 16S rRNA gene sequencing of infant stool found 'normal weight' mothers provided infants with an enriched Firmicutes community, compared to increased Bacteroidetes in infants born to 'obese mothers' [81]. Tangentially, this alteration of microbiota composition consequently alters the ability of GAG degradation, with infants born to obese mothers reportedly more capable of GAG degradation compared to infants born to normal weight mothers [81].

Studies exploring the complexity of the gut microbiome utilise clustered preterm gut community types (PGCTs), of which there are six [82]. PGCTs 1-5 are recognised as being less diverse and dominated by *Klebsiella*, *Enterococcus*, *Staphylococcus* and *Escherichia*, whereas PGCT 6 is defined by its diversity and abundance of 'probiotic' *Bifdobacterium* and higher relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* [82–86]. PGCTs 1-5 are correlated with the onset of late onset sepsis whereas, PGCT 6 (i.e., *Bifdobacterium* dominant) is commonly found in 'healthy' preterm infants, who do not go on to develop disease [82]. *Lactobacillus*, of the order Firmicutes, are a gram-positive genus with over 200 species identified, each thought to play roles

in the production of fermented products [84]. *Lactobacillus* spp. are also shown to decrease pro-inflammatory cytokines, tumour necrosis factor-alpha, and interleukin-6, decreasing the inflammatory response, and restoring the intestinal microbiome homeostasis [8]. *Bifidobacterium*, of the phylum Actinobacteria, are considered one of the first stable colonisers of the gut and the most enriched genera in breastfed infants, primarily due to their ability to utilise HMOs [87–89]. *Bifidobacterium* spp. are known to modulate the inflammation and are correlated with the metabolite raffinose, (in addition to sucrose and acetic acid) which increases short chain fatty acid concentration [8, 82, 86]. In order for probiotic species to have an effect they must reach their target sites whilst remaining metabolically active, with the success of colonisation relying upon their ability to utilise carbohydrates [84].

The host immune system and intestinal microbiota have a delicate symbiotic relationship responsible for maintaining intestinal health homeostasis [8]. The microbiota is colonised by a vast range of species, each one with its own glycan 'preference', therefore the glycans consumed can directly impact the species which thrive in the microbiome, with the species able to utilise endogenous glycans exerting effects on colonic health, which can be particularly important when discussing dysbiosis [90]. Dysbiosis or the disruption of homeostasis participates in antibiotic-associated colitis. obesity and risk of developing colon cancer, demonstrating the potential long-term effects of 'improper' gut microbiome colonisation, to which glycans have influence [90]. Complex carbohydrates, including GAGs, serve as major nutrients to human microbiota but their degradation can be difficult due to their highly variable sulphation patterns [91]. Hep and HS for instance are high-priority carbohydrates for Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, major degraders for the colonic microbiota, which possess a multitude of polysaccharide lyases capable of degrading the GAGs [91]. The utilisation of GAGs by genera such as lactobacilli and bifidobacteria was disputed until recent evidence demonstrated certain probiotics and bacteria as capable of degrading GAGs [84, 92]. The isolation of GAG degrading bacteria from human faeces identified probiotics including Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus rhamnosus and Entercoccus faecalis as capable of degrading Hep, due to a genetic cluster encoding GAG metabolising enzymes [92]. Some species of Bacteroides have also been identified as capable of degrading CS and HA [92]. Whilst no evidence could be found proving Bifidobacterium as having GAG degrading properties, interestingly, data show the Bifidobacterial genome content as reflecting glycan availability [89].

GLYCOSAMINOGLYCANS: DISEASE AND INFECTION MANAGEMENT

The glycome, total glycans synthesised by a cell, tissue, or organism, is hypothesised to contribute to disease prevention and treatment [11, 40]. Notable glycans within this group present in BM include glycoproteins; N-linked and O-linked glycans, HMOs and GAGs [11, 40]. It is widely accepted that these glycans can have many beneficial functions including the inhibition of pathogen binding, attenuation of inflammation, and the growth of beneficial bacteria of the microbiota, which in turn allows for additional immune related benefits [93]. However, only a few studies on GAGs present in milk are

available and an important research direction will be to determine the roles of BM-derived GAGs in preterm and term BM [14, 31, 33, 45, 56, 71, 72, 76]. With our understanding continuing to evolve, naturally many of the proposed functions of BM-derived GAGs come from GAGs produced across the body and other glycans. Recent research demonstrates BMderived GAGs as serving anti-viral roles likely due to their sulphation patterns and carboxyl groups, allowing them to interact readily with biological components [94, 95]. Sulphation of GAGs is an essential posttranslational modification that determines their biological properties and functions [96]. Endogenously produced sulphated GAGs, specifically CS, are a major component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) of cells where their highly organised sulphation codes allow them to interact with specific growth factors, cytokines and chemokines to trigger pathways for cell growth, differentiation, anti-inflammatory responses and anticoagulation [96]. One example of this, presented by Newburg et al. is the unique ability of CS, isolated from BM, to inhibit the binding of the HIV envelope glycoprotein GP120 to the cellular CD4 receptor, the essential first step in HIV infectivity [97]. However, the success rate of this is variable with a reported 8-44% inhibitory effect in HIV-1 infection implying differently sulphated GAGs may have more impactful roles [97, 98]. One such example of this is the role of CS isomer CS-E in angiogenesis [99]. CS-E is sulphated at the C-4 and C-6 positions of N-acetylgalactosamine which allows it to selectively interact with the numerous growth factors, responsible for angiogenesis regulation [99]. Another example of the sulphation code determining roles of GAGs, demonstrated via mouse and cell line studies, is the ability of the cell adhesion molecule contactin-1 to selectively bind CS-E but not CS-A. CS-C or HS for the promotion of neurite outgrowth towards primary neurons [100]. Similarly, CS-A and CS-E, specifically behave as cell surface receptors for pathogens such as *Plasmodium falciparum* [96]. Other examples of GAGs impairing cell binding expand to the human cytomegalovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, and human rotavirus [94, 101]. In a similar mechanism, Hep is able to inhibit the attachment of Neisseria meningitides to host cells. demonstrated by the 'satisfactory outcomes' of meningococcal septicaemia patients receiving Hep [102, 103]. Additionally, Hep has established anticoagulant properties, which paired with their anti-inflammatory effects are thought to aid its effectiveness in treatment of vascular diseases [104]. Hep found in mast cells was also demonstrated as an important allergic mediator, triggering neuropeptides and cytokines [105]. Furthermore, intravenously administered Hep has been shown to have beneficial effects on the treatment of ulcerative colitis, with similar positive results reported when HS-derived compounds were used as anti-inflammatory agents [106, 107]. Notably, mammalian sulphated HS has been shown to regulate leukocyte rolling along the surface of inflamed sites, through its ability to bind leukocyte L-selectin [108]. However, endogenously produced GAGs can also be detrimental, serving as prime targets for pathogens for promotion of attachment and invasion of host cells [11, 103]. GAG subversion is a pathogenic strategy utilised by viruses, bacteria, parasites, and fungi to promote their attachment and invasion of host cells, move between cells, and provide protection against the host immune response [103]. Similarly, HA is able to interact with

cell surface receptors including CD44 expressed on the surface of most stem cells, including cancer stem cells and RHAMM, a major HA-binding protein, where it promotes locomotion, proliferation, signalling and the progression of cancer [40, 109]. Unfortunately, high HA levels in cancer patients are associated with poor prognosis, further demonstrating the possible negative effects of GAGs [40]. CD44 is known to mediate leukocyte rolling, with changes in expression often contributing to tumour growth, as demonstrated by an increase of HA around sites of tumour progression, as well as sites of inflammation as a result of chemokines and growth factors which simultaneously regulate HA synthesising enzymes and degrading enzymes [27, 109].

The intestinal barrier is key to maintaining health; disturbance of this barrier can result in the onset of a number of diseases [110]. Studies suggest GAGs may act as soluble receptors competing with pathogens for adhesion to the intestinal cell wall, in doing this GAGs would serve as defensive factors against infections [95]. Gastroenteritis, a lethal condition accounting for approximately 10% of paediatric deaths, has multiple etiological agents of disease including Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella, Shigella, rotavirus and E. coli but in order to promote infection they must first bind to host cell monolayers [95, 101]. In an in vitro study using Caco-2 and Int-407 cell lines. Coppa et al. demonstrated the anti-adhesive properties of GAG. Cells incubated with 1.5 mg/mL of HM GAGs. levels within the expected range found in term BM, showed a significant reduction in percentage adhesion of Salmonella fvris. to both Caco-2 cells Int-407 cells, and E. coli to 0119 Int-407 cells [3, 95]. This inhibitory action against S. fryis etc. reduces the likelihood that infants may develop gastroenteritis [94, 95]. There is also evidence suggesting human milk GAGs may contribute to the altered binding capabilities of the anti-Zika Virus and Usutu virus properties influenced by colostrum [111]. In most cases, adhesion is the first step to infection, therefore, this GAG interaction may be key to certain aspects of infant health [95].

NEC, another GI disease, is the leading cause of death in preterm infants, with onset heavily associated with enteral feeding, prematurity, hypoxic-ischaemic injury and abnormal bacterial colonisation [112]. The exact mechanism behind onset of NEC is unknown, however, it is believed to be initiated by stimulation of toll-like receptor 4 by gram-negative bacteria in the ileum, consequently leading to inflammation, and the subsequent release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines resulting in impairment of the intestinal barrier [16]. By consequence, this allows for more bacterial antigens to interact with the mucosal immune system, resulting in neutrophil recruitment, a byproduct of which is the production of reactive oxygen species [16]. Research demonstrates their production and subsequent release of endotoxins, not only promotes further inflammation eventually leading to a positive feedback loop, but it also results in a loss of CS and disrupts synthesis of sulphated GAGs, highlighting their potential role in NEC [110]. Whilst there is no single effective treatment, it is well reported that early and EBF results in lower incidences of NEC in premature infants, likely owing to the high content of bioactive components, of which GAGs are relevant for their protective roles in intestinal inflammation, bacterial adhesion and their promotion of a healthy intestinal barrier [110, 113-115]. Gut dysbiosis cannot be attributed to a single bacterium, however, analysis of infant NEC stool samples shows a majority of *E. coli*, for which GAGs are shown to have anti-adhesive properties, and *Salmonella enterolitica* [95, 115]. In particular, CS is thought to have potential applications as a preventative therapy for its unique ability to increase sulphate-reducing bacteria with research demonstrating supplementation with CS increases beneficial bacteria such as *Bacteroides*, and reduces harmful variants in the gut, namely *Staphylococcus* [96, 113, 115].

Another GI disease where onset is associated with the remodelling of the intestinal ECM, is IBD [116]. In a preprint article by Francis et al., intestinal CS isomer sulphation signatures in IBD paediatric and young adult patients was characterised, using a combined LCMS approach [116]. In patients suffering from IBD, monosulphated CS-A and disulphated CS-B (DS) were found to be significantly decreased. However, monosulphated CS-C, and disulphated CS-E, both implicated in inflammation and accelerated turnover, were shown to be significantly increased [116]. Alternatively, in healthy control patients an abundance of the stabilising isomer CS-A, was found to be in abundance. Moreover, in healthy patients there were no patterns of hypersulphation [116]. Together, these results allude to the importance of sulphation patterns in GAGs and their subsequent roles in disease progression. It is unlikely that CS isomers are the only GAGs relevant to GI disease progression, therefore, more research within this area is required to have a better understanding of the implications of GAG composition in human BM.

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

GAGs in human BM have a reported presence at all stages of lactation. Similar to other bioactive components, the quantity of GAGs in BM is negatively associated with postpartum age of the infant, with one study reporting increased concentrations of GAGs as a result of prematurity [31, 56]. These GAGs have many suspected roles, including providing newborn infants with a pathogen defense mechanism as a result of their ability to interact with microbial pathogens via receptor-likemechanisms [117]. Moreover, GAGs may act as important carbon sources for the colonisation and proliferation of beneficial gut bacteria (i.e., probiotic), which may in turn contribute to the wider health of the infant [34]. Much of the research discussed within this review comes from human studies, however, due to an overall lack of research on human BM GAGs, some results come from animal studies and implied roles from endogenously produced GAGs.

Current detection methods for GAGs in BM require time and volume consuming sample preparation and show low throughput for analysis. Further development of rapid methods requiring small sample volumes is required to enable efficient, streamlined research. A better understanding of how GAGs interact with the host organism and the gut microbiome may help us maximise infant health.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MG is funded by a BBSRC collaborative training partnership PhD studentship. CJS is supported by the Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (Grant Number 221745/Z/20/Z), a Newcastle University Academic Track (NUAcT) Fellowship, and the 2021 Lister Institute Prize Fellow Award.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

CJS declares performing consultancy for Astarte Medical and receiving lecture honoraria from Nestle Nutrition Institute. He also supervises a BBSRC collaborative training partnership PhD student for which Nestlé are involved (no salary or other personal payment is provided by Nestlé). He has no share options or other conflicts.

SA & PMM are employees of Socitee des Produits Nestlé S.A.

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Greenwood *et al.* This is an open-access article released under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, which allows the unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are acknowledged.

Please cite this article as: Melissa Greenwood, Patricia Murciano-Martinez, Janet Berrington, Sabine L Flitsch, Sean Austin, Christopher Stewart (2024). Characterising glycosaminoglycans in human breastmilk and their potential role in infant health. Microbial Cell 11: 221-234. doi: 10.15698/mic2024.07.827

References

1. Ballard AM (2013). Human Milk Composition: Nutrients and Bioactive Factors. Pediatr Clin North Am 60 (1): 49–74. doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2012.10.002

2. Lewis ZT, Mills DA (2017). Differential Establishment of Bifidobacteria in the Breastfed Infant Gut. Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser 88: 149-159. doi: 10.1159/000455399

3. Coppa GV, Gabrielli O, Bertino E, Zampini L, Galeazzi T, Padella L, Santoro L, Marchesiello RL, Galeotti F, Maccari F, Volpi N (2013). Human milk glycosaminoglycans: the state of the art future perspectives. Ital J Pediatr 39. doi:10.1186/1824-7288-39-2

4. Lewis-Jones DI, Lewis-Jones MS, Connolly RC, Lloyd DC, West CR (1985). Sequential Changes in the Antimicrobial Protein Concentrations in Human Milk during Lactation and Its Relevance to Banked Human Milk. Pediatr Res 19: 561–565. doi:10.1203/00006450-198506000-00012

5. Thum C, Wall CR, Weiss GA, Wang W, Szeto IMY, Day L (2021). Changes in HMO Concentrations throughout Lactation: Influencing Factors, Health Effects and Opportunities. Nutrients 13 (7): 2272-2272. doi:10.3390/ nu13072272

6. Aydin S, Aydin S, Ozkan Y, Kumru S (2006). Ghrelin is present in human colostrum, transitional and mature milk. Peptides 27 (4): 878-882. doi:10. 1016/j.peptides.2005.08.006

7. Cabrera-Rubio R, Collado MC, Laitinen K, Salminen S, Isolauri E, Mira A (2012). The human milk microbiome changes over lactation and is shaped by maternal weight and mode of delivery. Am J Clin Nutr 96 (3): 544–551. doi:10.3945/ajcn.112.037382

8. Thai JD, Gregory KE (2020). Bioactive Factors in Human Breast Milk Attenuate Intestinal Inflammation during Early Life. Nutrients 12 (2): 581–581. doi:10.3390/nu12020581

9. Granger CL, Embleton ND, Palmer JM, Lamb CA, Berrington JE, Stewart CJ (2020). Maternal breastmilk, infant gut microbiome and the impact on preterm infant health. Acta Paediatr 110 (2): 459–457. doi:10.1111/apa.15534

10. Andreas NJ, Hyde MJ, Gomez-Romero M, Lopez-Gonzalvez MA, Villasenor A, Wijeyesekera A, Barbas C, Modi N, Holmes E, Garcia-Perez I (2015). Multiplatform characterization of dynamicchanges in breast milk during lactation. Electrophoresis 36: 2269-2285. doi: 10.1002/elps.201500011 11. Craft KM, Townsend SD (2018). The Human Milk Glycome as a Defense Against Infectious Diseases: Rationale, Challenges, and Oppertunities. ACS Infect Dis 4: 77-83. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.7b00209

12. Stewart CJ, Ajami NJ, O'brien JL, Hutchinson DS, Smith DP, Wong MC, Ross MC, Lloyd RE, Doddapaneni H, Metcalf GA, Muzny D, Gibbs RA, Vatanen T, Huttenhower C, Xavier RJ, Rewers M, Hagopian W, Toppari J, Ziegler AG, She JX, Akolkar B, Lernmark A, Hyoty H, Vehik K, Krischer JP, Petrosino JF (2018). Temporal development of the gut microbiome in early childhood from the TEDDY study. Nature 562 (7728): 583–588. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0617-x

13. Andreas NJ, Kampmann B, Le-Doare KM (2015). Human breast milk: A review on its composition and bioactivity. Early Hum Dev 91 (11): 629–635. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2015.08.013

14. Coppa GV, Gabrielli O, Buzzega D, Zampini L, Galeazzi T, Maccari F, Bertino E, Volpi N (2011). Composition and structure elucidation of human milk glycosaminoglycans. Glycobiology 21 (3): 295-303. doi:10. 1093/glycob/cwq164

15. Dipasquale V, Serra G, Corsello G, Romano C (2020). Standard and Specialized Infant Forumlas in Europe: Making, Marketing, and Health Outcomes. Nutr Clin Pract 35 (2): 273–281. doi:10.1002/ncp.10261

16. Burge K, Bergner E, Gunasekaran A, Eckert J, Chaaban H (**2020**). The Role of Glycosaminoglycans in Protection from Neonatal Enterocolitis: A Narrative Review. **Nutrients** 12 (2): 546–546. doi:10.3390/nu12020546

17. WHO (2023). Breastfeeding. URL https://www.who.int/health-topics/breastfeeding#tab=tab_1

18. Kamudoni P, Maleta K, Shi Z, Holomboe-Ottesen G (2015). Exclusive breastfeeding duration during the first 6 months of life is positively associated with length-for-age among infants 6-12 months old, in Mangochi district, Malawi. Eur J Clin Nutr 69: 96–101. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2014.148

19. Matheson MC, Allen KJ, Tang MLK (2012). Understanding the evidence for and against the role of breastfeeding in allergy prevention. **Clin Exp Allergy** 42 (6): 827–851. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2222.2011.03925.x

20. Eidelman Al, Schanler RJ, Johnston M, Landers S, Noble L, Szucs K, Viehmann L (2012). Breastfeeding and the Use of Human Milk. Pediatrics 129 (3): 827–841. doi:10.1542/peds.2011-3552

21. Ciampo LAD, Ciampo IRLD (2018). Breastfeeding and the Benefits of Löactation for Women's Health. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 40 (6): 354–359. doi:10.1055/s-0038-1657766

22. Masi AC, Stewart CJ (2021). Untangling human milk oligosacchardies and infant gut microbiome. iScience 25 (1): 103,542-103,542. doi:10.1016/ j.isci.2021.103542

23. Masi AC, Embleton ND, Lamb CA, Young G, Granger CL, Najera J, Smith DP, Hoffman KL, Petrosino JF, Bode L, Berrington JE, Stewart CJ (2021). Human milk oligosaccharide DSLNT and gut microbiome in preterm infants predicts necrotising enterocolitis. Gut 70 (12): 2273-2282. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322771

24. Jantscher-Krenn E, Zherebtsov M, Nissan C, Goth K, Guner YS, Naidu N, Choudhury B, Grishin AV, Ford HR, Bode L (**2012**). The human milk oligosaccharide disialyllacto-N-tetraose prevents necrotising enterocolitis in neonatal rats. **Gut** 61 (10): 1417–1425. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301404

25. Morrow AL, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Altaye M, Jiang X, Guerrero ML, Meinzen-Derr JK, Farkas T, Chaturvedi P, Pickering LK, Newburg DS (**2004**). Human milk oligosaccharides are associated with protection against diarrhea in breast-fed infants. **J Pediatr** 145 (3): 297–303. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2004.04.054

26. Newburg DS, Ruiz-Palacios GM, Altaye M, Chaturvedi P, Meinzen-Derr J, de Lourdes Guerrero M, Morrow AL (2003). Innate protection conferred by fucosylated oligosaccharides of human milk against diarrhea in breastfed infants. Glycobiology 14 (3): 253–263. doi:10.1093/glycob/cwh020

27. Gandhi NS, Mancera RL (2008). The structure of Glycosaminoglycans and their Interactions with Proteins. Chem Biol Drug Des 72 (6): 455–482. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-0285.2008.00741.x

28. Liang HHC, Seeberger PH (2015). Automated Synthesis of Chondroitin Sulfate Oligosaccharides. In: Balagurunathan K, Nakato H, Desai U, Saiyoh Y (eds) Glycosaminoglycans Chemistry and Biology. Humana, New York. pp 3-10. doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-1398-6_26

29. Casale JC (2022). StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL)

30. Ghiselli G (2016). Drug-Mediated Regulation of Glycosaminoglycan Biosynthesis. Med Res Rev 37 (5): 1051-1094. doi:10.1002/med.21429

31. Coppa GV, Gabrielli O, Zampini L, Galeazzi T, Maccari F, Buzzega D, Galeotti F, Bertino E, Volpi N (2011). Glycosaminoglycan Content in Term and Preterm Milk during the First Month of Lactation. Neonatology 101: 74–76. doi:10.1159/000330848

32. Barthe L, Woodley J, Lavit M, Pryzbylski C, Philobert C, Houin G (2004). In vitro intestinal degradation and absorption of chondroitin sulfate, a glycosaminoglycan drug. Arzneimittelforschung 54 (5): 286-292. doi:10. 1055/s-0031-1296972

Maccari F, Mantovani V, Gabrielli O, Carlucci A, Zampini L, Galeazzi T, Galeotti F, Coppa GV, Volpi N (2016). Metabolic fate of milk glycosaminoglycans in breastfed and formula fed newborns. Glycoconj J 33 (2): 181–188. doi:10.1007/s10719-016-9655-5

34. Rawat PS, Hameed ASS, Meng X, Liu W (2022). Utilization of glycosaminoglycans by the human gut microbiota: participating bacteria and their enzymatic machineries. **Gut Microbes** 14 (1): 2068,367–2068,367. doi:10.1080/19490976.2022.2068367

35. Varki A (1999). Proteoglycans and Glycosaminoglycans. In: Varki A, Cummings R, Esko J, et al (eds) Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor Labratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor (NY). pp 145–159

36. Prydz K (2015). Determinants of Glycosaminoglycan (GAG). Structure Biomolecules 5 (3): 2003-2022. doi:10.3390/biom5032003

37. Prydz K, Dalen KT (2000). Synthesis and sorting of proteoglycans. J Cell Sci 113: 193-205. doi:10.1242/jcs.113.2.193

38. Raman R, Sasisekharan V, Sasisekharan R (2005). Structural Insights into Biological Roles of Protein-Glycosaminoglycan Interactions. Cell Chem Biol 12 (3): 267–277. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2004.11.020

39. Li J, Qiao M, Ji Y, Lin L, Zhang X, Linhardt RJ (**2020**). Chemicals, enzymatic and biological synthesis of hyaluronic acids. **Int J Biol Macromol** 152 (1): 199–206. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.02.214

40. Song Y, Zhang F, Linhardt RJ (2021). Glycosaminoglycans. In: Lauc G, Trbojević-Akmačić I (eds) The Role of Glycosylation in Health and Disease Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. Springer, Cham. pp 103– 116

41. Uyama T, Kitagawa H, Sugahara K (2007). Biosynthesis of Glycosaminoglycans and Proteoglycans. In: Kamerling JP, Boons GJ, Lee YC, Suzuki A, Taniguchi N, Voragen AGJ (eds) Comprehensive Glycoscience From Chemistry to Systems Biology. Elsevier Ltd. pp 79-104. doi:10.1016/B978-044451967-2/00036-2

42. Sasisekharan R, Venkataraman G (2000). Heparin and heparan sulfate: biosynthesis, structure and function. Curr Opin in Chem Biol 4: 626–631. doi: 10.1016/S1367-5931(00)00145-9

43. Sugahara K, Kitagawa H (2002). Heparin and Heparan Sulfate Biosynthesis. Life 54: 163-175. doi:10.1080/15216540214928

44. Busse M, Feta A, Presto J, Wilén M, Grønning M, Kjellén L, Kusche-Gullberg M (2007). Contribution of EXT1, EXT2, and EXTL3 to Heparan Sulfate Chain Elongation*. J Biol Chem 282 (45): 32,802–32,810. doi:10.1074/jbc. M703560200

45. Coscia A, Peila C, Bertino E, Coppa GV, Moro GE, Gabrielli O, Zampini L, Galeazzi T, Maccari F, Volpi N (2014). Effect of Holder Pasteurization on Human Milk Glycosaminoglycans. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 60 (1): 127-30. doi:10.1097/MPG.00000000000570

46. Li G, Li L, Tian F, Zhang L, Xue C, Linhardt RJ (2015). Glycosaminoglycanomics of Cultured Cells Using a Rapid and Sensitive LC-MS/MS Approach. ACS Chem Biol 10 (5): 1303-1310. doi: 10.1021/acschembio.5b00011

47. Zhang T, Zhang R, Lv Y, Wang M, Li H, Tan T, Li JP (2020). Glycosaminoglycans in biological samples - Towards identification of novel biomarkers. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemsitry 122: 115,732–115,732. doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2019.115732

 Kubasaki F, Osago H, Mason RW, Yamaguchi S, Kobayashi H, Tsuchiya M, Orii T, Tomatsu S (2017). Glycosaminoglycans detection methods: Applications of mass spectrometry. Mol Genet Metabol 120: 67–77. doi: 10.1016/j.ymgme.2016.09.005

49. Bitter T, Muir HM (**1962**). A Modified Uronic Acid Carbazole Reaction. Anal Biochem 4: 330–334. doi:10.1016/0003-2697(62)90095-7

50. Cesaretti M, Luppi E, Maccari F, Volpi N (2003). A 96-well assay for uronic acid carbazole reaction. Carbohydrate Polymers 54 (1): 59–61. doi:10.1016/ S0144-8617(03)00144-9

51. Volpi N, Maccari F (2002). Detection of submicrogram quantities of glycosaminoglycans on agarose gels by sequential staining with toluidine blue and Stains-All. Electrophoresis 23 (24): 4060–4066. doi:10.1002/elps. 200290021

52. Zaia J (2008). On-line separations combined with MS for analysis of glycosaminoglycans. Mass Spectrom Rev 28 (2): 254–272. doi:10.1002/mas. 20200

53. Linhardt RJ (2001). Analysis of Glycosami9noglycans with Polysaccharide Lyases. Curr Protoc Mol Biol Chapter Chapter 17 (Unit 17.13.B). doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb1713bs48

54. Vreeker GCM, Wuhrer M (2017). Reversed-phase separation methods for glycan analysis. Anal Bioanal Chem 409 (2): 359–378. doi:10.1007/s00216-016-0073-0

55. Volpi N, Galeotti F, Yang B, Linhardt RJ (2014). Analysis of glycosaminoglycan-derived, precolumn, 2-aminoacridone-labeled disaccharides with LC-fluorescence and LC-MS detection. Nat Protoc 9: 541-558. doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.026

56. Wang C, Lang Y, Li Q, Jin X, Li G, Yu G (2018). Glycosaminoglycanomic profiling of human milk in different stages of lactation by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Food Chem 258: 231-236. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.03.076

57. Miller RL, Guimond SE, Schwörer R, Zubkova OV, Tyler PC, Xu Y, Liu J, Chopra P, Boons GJ, Grabarics M, Manz C, Hofmann J, Karlsson NG, Turnbull JE, Struwe WB, Pagel K (2020). Shotgun ion mobility mass spectrometry sequencing of heparan sulfate saccharides. Nat Commun 11 (1): 1481–1481. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15284-y

58. Moossavi S, Sepehri S, Robertson B, Bode L, Goruk S, Field CJ, Lix LM, de Souza RJ, Becker AB, Mandhane PJ, Turvey SE, Subbarao P, Moraes TJ, Lefebvre DL, Sears MR, Khafipour E, Azad MB (**2019**). Composition and Variation of the Human Milk Microbiota Are Influenced by Maternal and Early-Life Factors. **Cell Host Microbe 25** (2). doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.01.011

59. Sala-Vila A, Castellote AI, Rodriguez-Palmero M, Campoy C, Lopez-Sabater MC (2005). Lipid composition in human breast milk from Granada (Spain): Changes during lactation. Nutrition 21 (4): 467–473. doi:10.1016/j. nut.2004.08.020

60. Nommsen-Rivers LA, Chantry CJ, Peerson JM, Cohen RJ, Dewey KG (2010). Delayed onset of lactogenesis among first-time mothers is related to maternal obesity and factors associated with ineffective breastfeeding. Am J Clin Nutr 92 (3): 574-584. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2010.29192

61. Neville MC, Morton J (2001). Physiology and Endocrine Changes Underlying Human Lactogenesis II. J 131 (11): 3005S-8S. doi:10.1093/jn/131.11.3005S

62. Boquien CY (2018). Human Milk: An Ideal Food for Nutrition of Preterm Newborn. Front Pediatr 6: 295–295. doi:10.3389/fped.2018.00295

63. Fujita M, Roth E, Lo YJ, Hurst C, Vollner J, Kendell A (2012). In poor families, mothers' milk is richer for daughters than sons: A test of Trivers-Willard hypothesis in agropastoral settlements in Northern Kenya. Am J Phys Anthropol 149 (1): 52–59. doi:10.1002/ajpa.22092

64. Thakkar SK, Giuffrida F, Cristina CH, De Castro CA, Mukherjee R, Tran LA, Steenhout P, Lee LY, Destaillats F (2013). Dynamics of human milk nutrient composition of women from singapore with a special focus on lipids. Am J Hum Biol 25 (6): 770-779. doi:10.1002/ajhb.22446

65. Vogel JP, Chawanpaiboon S, Moller AB, Watananirun K, Bonet M, Lumbiganon P (2018). The global epidemiology of preterm birth. Best Prac Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 52: 3–12. doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.04.003

66. McGuire W, Henderson G, Fowlie PW (2004). Feeding the preterm infant. BMJ 329: 1227–1230. doi:10.1136/bmj.329.7476.1227

67. NICE (2010). Donor milk banks: service operation. URL www.nice.org.uk/ guidance/cg93

68. Koenig A, de Albuquerque Diniz EM, Barbosa SFC, Vaz FAC (2005). Immunologic Factors in Human Milk: The Effects of Gestational Age and Pasteurization. J Hum Lact 21 (4): 439-443. doi:10.1177/0890334405280652

69. Wojcik KY, Rechtman DJ, Lee ML, Montoya A, Medo ET (2009). Macronutrient Analysis of a Nationwide Sample of Donor Breast Milk. J Am Diet Assoc 109 (1): 137-140. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.10.008

70. Newburg DS (**1997**). Do the Binding Properties of Oligosaccharides in Milk Protect Human Infants from Gastrointestinal Bacteria? J Nutr 127 (5). doi: 10.1093/jn/127.5.980S

71. Volpi N, Maccari F, Galeotti F, Peila C, Coscia A, Zampini L, Monachesi C, Gabrielli O, Coppa G (2020). Human milk glycosaminoglycan composition from women of different countries: a pilot study. J Matern-Fetal Neonatal Med 33; 2131-2133. doi:10.1080/14767058.2018.1539309

72. Mannello F, Maccari F, Santinelli A, Volpi N (2011). Chondroitin sulfate structure is modified in human milk produced by breast affected by invasive carcinoma. Breast 20 (6): 586–587. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2011.09.002

73. Gama CI, Tully SE, Sotogaku N, Clark PM, Rawat M, Vaidehi N, 3rd WAG, Nishi A, Hsieh-Wilson LC (2006). Sulfation patterns of glycosaminoglycans encode molecular recognition and activity. Nat Chem Biol 2: 467-473. doi: 10.1038/nchembio810

74. Martin CR, Ling PR, Blackburn GL (2016). Review of Infant Feeding: Key Features of Breast Milk and Infant Formula. Nutrients 8 (5): 279–279. doi: 10.3390/nu8050279

75. Lönnerdal B (2014). Infant formula and infant nutrition: bioactive proteins of human milk and implications for composition of infant formulas. Am J Clin Nutr 99 (3): 712-717. doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.071993

76. Shimizu M, Uryu N, Yamauchi K (1981). Presence of Heparan Sulfate in the Fat Globule Membrane of Bovine and Human Milk. Agricultural and Biological Chemistry 45 (3): 741-745. doi:10.1080/00021369.1981. 10864578

77. Lee H, Padhi E, Hasegawa Y, Larke J, Parenti M, Wang A, Hernell O, Lönnerdal B, Slupsky C (2018). Compositional Dynamics of the Milk Fat Globule and Its Role in Infant Development. Front Pediatr 6: 313-313. doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00313

78. Volpi N (1993). Fast moving" and "slow moving" heparins, dermatan sulfate, and chondroitin sulfate: qualitative and quantitative analysis by agarose-gel electrophoresis. Carbohydr Res 247 (2): 84,259-84,268. doi: 10.1016/0008-6215(93)84259-9

79. Beck LC, Masi AC, Young GR, Vatanen T, Lamb CA, Smith R, Coxhead J, Butler A, Marsland BJ, Embleton ND, Berrington JE, Stewart CJ (2022). Strain-specific impacts of probiotics are a significant driver of gut microbiome development in very preterm infants. Nat Microbiol 7 (10): 1525–1535. doi: 10.1038/s41564-022-01213-w

80. Stewart CJ (2023). Diet-microbe-host interaction in early life. Science 381 (6653): 38–38. doi:10.1126/science.adi6318

 Cerdó T, Ruiz A, Jauregui R, Azaryah H, Torres-Espinola FJ, Garcia-Valdes L, Segura MT, Suarez A, Campoy C (2018). Maternal obesity is associated with gut microbial metabolic potential in offspring during infancy. J Physiol Biochem 74: 159–169. doi:10.1007/s13105-017-0577-x

82. Stewart CJ, Embleton ND, Marrs ECL, Smith DP, Nelson A, Abdulkadir B, Skeath T, Petrosino JF, Perry JD, Berrington JE, Cummings SP (2016). Temporal bacterial and metabolic development of the preterm gut reveals specific signatures in health and disease. Microbiome 4 (1): 67-67. doi:10. 1186/s40168-016-0216-8

83. Round JL, Mazmanian SK (**2014**). The gut microbiome shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. **Nat Rev Immunol** 9: 313–323. doi:10.1038/nri2515

84. Zúňiga M, Monedero V, Yebra MJ (2018). Utilization of Host-derived glycans by intestinal Lactobacillus and Bifdobacterium Species. Front Microbiol 9: 1917-1917. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01917

85. Fernández L, Langa S, Martin V, Maldonado A, Jimenez E, Martin R, Rodriguez JM (**2013**). The human milk microbiota: Origin and potential roles in health and disease. **Pharmacol Res** 69 (1): 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2012. 09.001

86. Stewart CJ, Embleton ND, Marrs E, Smith DP, Fofanova T, Nelson A, Skeath T, Perry JD, Petrosino JF, Berrington JE, Cummings SP (2017). Longitudinal development of the gut microbiome and metabolome in preterm neonates with late onset sepsis and healthy controls. Microbiome 5 (1): 75-75. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0295-1

87. Stewart CJ (2022). 2022 Fleming Prize Lecture: diet-microbe-host interaction in early life. J Med Microbiol 72 (4): 1-7. doi:10.1099/jmm.0. 001662

88. Vatanen T, Franzosa EA, Schwager R, Tripathi S, Arthur TD, Vehik K, Lernmark Å, Hagopian WA, Rewers MJ, She JX, Toppari J, Ziegler AG, Akolkar B, Krischer JP, Stewart CJ, Ajami NJ, Petrosino JF, Gevers D, Lähdesmäki H, Vlamakis H, Huttenhower C, Xavier RJ (2018). The human gut microbiome in early-onset type 1 diabetes from the TEDDY study. Nature 562 (7728): 589-594. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0620-2

89. Turroni F, Milani C, Duranti S, Mahony J, van Sinderen D, Ventura M (2018). Glycan Utilization and Cross-Feeding Activities by Bifidobacteria. Trends Microbiol 26 (4): 339–350. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2017.10.001

90. Koropatkin NM, Cameron EA, Martens EC (2012). How glycan metabolism shapes the human gut microbiota. Nat Rev Microbiol 10 (5): 323-335. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2746

91. Cartmell A, Lowe EC, Basle A, Firbank SJ, Ndeh DA, Murray H, Terrapon N, Lombard V, Henrissat B, Turnbull JE, Czjzek M, Gilbert HJ, Bolam DN (2017). How members of the human gut microbiota overcome the sulfation problem posed by glycosaminoglycans. Proc Nat Acad Sci 114 (27): 7037-7042. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1704367114

92. Kawai K, Kamochi R, Oiki S, Murata K, Hashimoto W (2018). Probiotics in human gut microbiota can degrade host glycosaminoglycans. Sci Rep 8: 10,674-10,674. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-28886-w

93. Newburg DS (2013). Glycobiology of human milk. Biochemistry 78 (7): 771–785. doi:10.1134/S0006297913070092

94. Francese R, Donalisio M, Ritta M, Capitani F, Montavoni V, Maccari F, Tonetto P, Moro GE, Bertino E, Volpi N, Lembo D (2022). Human milk glycosaminoglycans inhibit cytomegalovirus and respiratory syncytial virus infectivity by impairing cell binding. Pediatr Res doi:10.1038/s41390-022-02091- γ

95. Coppa GV, Facinelli B, Magi G, Marini E, Zampini L, Montavoni V, Galeazzi T, Padella L, Marchesiello RL, Santoro L, Coscia A, Peila C, Volpi N, Gabrielli O (2016). Human milk glycosaminoglycans inhibit in vitro the adhesion of Escherichia coli and Salmonella fyris to human intestinal cells. Pediatr Res 79: 603–607. doi:10.1038/pr.2015.262

96. Barone D, Joshi L, Kilcoyne M (2024). Chapter 4 - Carbohydrate sulfotransferases in glycosaminoglycan biosynthesis. In: Kilcoyne M, Joshi L (eds) Translational Glycobiology in Human Health and Disease. Academic Press. pp 83-111

97. Newburg DS, Linhardt RJ, Ampofo SA, Yolken RH (**1995**). Human Milk Glycosaminoglycans Inhibit HIV Glycoprotein gp120 Binding to its Host Cell CD4 Receptor. J Nutr 125 (3): 419–424. doi:10.1093/jn/125.3.419

98. Viveros-Rogel M, Soto-Ramirez L, Chaturvedi P, Newburg DS, Ruiz-Palacios GM (2004). Inhibition of HIV-1 infection in vitro by human milk sulfated glycolipids and glycosaminoglycans. Adv Exp Med Biol 554: 481-487. doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-4242-8_69

99. Kastana P, Choleva E, Poimenidi E, Karamanos N, Sugahara K, Papadimitriou E (2019). Insight into the role of chondroitin sulfate E in angiogenesis. Febs J 286 (15): 2921-2936. doi:10.1111/febs.14830

100. Mikami T, Yasunaga D, Kitagawa H (2009). Contactin-1 is a functional receptor for neuroregulatory chondroitin sulfate-E. J Biol Chem 284 (7): 4494–4499. doi:10.1074/jbc.M809227200

101. Rivera-Dominguez G (2022). StatPearls [Internet].

102. Hunter J (1973). Heparin therapy in meningococcal septicaemia. Arch Dis Child 48 (3): 233–235. doi:10.1136/adc.48.3.233

103. Aquino RS, Park PW (2016). Glycosaminoglycans and infection. Front Biosci 21: 1260-1277. doi:10.2741/4455

104. Poterucha TJ, Libby P, Goldhaber SZ (2017). More than an anticoagulant: Do heparins have direct anti-inflammatory effects? Thromb Haemost 117 (3): 437-444. doi:10.1160/TH16-08-0620

105. Theoharides TC, Alysandratos KD, Angelidou A, Delivanis DA, Sismanopoulos N, Zhang B, Asadi S, Vasiadi M, Weng Z, Miniati A, Kalogeromitros D (2012). Mast cells and inflammation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1822 (1): 21-33. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2010.12.014

106. Törkvist L, Thorlacius H, Sjöqvist U, Bohman L, Lapidus A, Flood L, Agren B, Raud J, Löfberg R (**1999**). Low molecular weight heparin as adjuvant therapy in active ulcerative colitis. **Ailment Pharmacol Ther** 13: 1323–1328. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2036.1999.00599.x

107. Ferro V (2013). Heparan sulfate inhibitors and their therapeutic implications in inflammatory illnesses. Expert Opin Ther Targets 17: 965-975. doi:10.1517/14728222.2013.811491

108. Pomin VH (2015). Sulfated glycans in inflammation. Eur J Med Chem 92: 353–369. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.01.002

109. Heldin P, Karousou E, Bernert B, Porsch H, Nishitsuka K, Skandalis SS (2009). Importance of Hyaluronan-CD44 Interactions in Inflammation and Tumorigenesis. Connect Tissue Res 49 (3-4): 215–218. doi:10.1080/03008200802143323

110. Burge KY, Hannah L, Eckert JV, Gunasekaran A, Chaaban H (**2019**). The Protective Influence of Chondroitin Sulfate, a Component of Human Milk, on Intestinal Bacterial Invasion and Translocation. J Hum Lact 35 (3): 538–549. doi:10.1177/0890334419845338

111. Francese R, Civra A, Donalisio M, Volpi N, Capitani F, Sottemano S, Tonetto P, Coscia A, Maiocco G, Moro GE, Bertino E, Lembo D (**2020**). Anti-Zika virus and anti-Usutu virus activity of human milk and its components. **PLoS** Negl Trop Dis 14 (10): e0008,713. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008713

112. Lin PW, Stoll BJ (2006). Necrotising enterocolitis. Lancet 368 (9543): 1271-83. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69525-1

113. Monzon N, Kasahara EM, Gunasekaran A, Burge KY, Chaaban H (2023). Impact of neonatal nutrition on necrotizing enterocolitis. Semin Pediatr Surg 32 (3): 151,305–151,305. doi:10.1016/j.sempedsurg.2023.151305

114. Altobelli E, Angeletti PM, Verrotti A, Petrocelli R (2020). The Impact of Human Milk on Necrotizing Enterocolitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients 12 (5): 1322-1322. doi:10.3390/nu12051322

115. Knowles TA, Hosfield BD, Pecoraro AR, Li H, Shelley WC, Markel TA (2021). It's all in the milk: chondroitin sulfate as potential preventative therapy for necrotizing enterocolitis. Pediatr Res 89 (6): 1373–1379. doi:10.1038/ s41390-020-01125-7

116. Franics KL, Zheng HB, Suskind DL, Phan BA, Nuding M, Hudson A, Morton GJ, Schwartz MW, Alonge KM, Scarlett JM (2024). [Pre-print] Characterizing the intestinal chondroitin sulfate glycosaminoglycan sulfation signature in inflammatory bowel disease. URL doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-3789026/ v1

117. Aquino RS, Lee ES, Park PW (2010). Diverse Functions of Glycosaminoglycans in Infectious Diseases. In: Zhang L, et al (eds) Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science. Academic Press. pp 373-394