Review

From microbes to medicine: harnessing the gut microbiota to combat prostate cancer

Anjali Yadav, Meenakshi Kaushik, Prabhakar Tiwari* and Rima Dada*

Department of Anatomy, Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), All India, New Delhi110029, India.

*Corresponding Authors:

microbial

cell

Prabhakar Tiwari, Lab for Molecular Reproduction and Genetics, Department of Anatomy, AIIMS, New Delhi-11029, India; E-mail: prabhakt@gmail.com

Rima Dada, Lab for Molecular Reproduction and Genetics, Department of Anatomy, AIIMS, New Delhi-110029, India; E-mail: rimadadaaiims20@gmail.com

ABSTRACT The gut microbiome (GM) has been identified as a crucial factor in the development and progression of various diseases, including cancer. In the case of prostate cancer, commensal bacteria and other microbes are found to be associated with its development. Recent studies have demonstrated that the human GM, including Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Bacteroides massiliensis, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Eubacterium rectale, and Mycoplasma genitalium, are involved in prostate cancer development through both direct and indirect interactions. However, the pathogenic mechanisms of these interactions are yet to be fully understood. Moreover, the microbiota influences systemic hormone levels and contributes to prostate cancer pathogenesis. Currently, it has been shown that supplementation of prebiotics or probiotics can modify the composition of GM and prevent the onset of prostate cancer. The microbiota can also affect drug metabolism and toxicity, which may improve the response to cancer treatment. The composition of the microbiome is crucial for therapeutic efficacy and a potential target for modulating treatment response. However, their clinical application is still limited. Additionally, GM-based cancer therapies face limitations due to the complexity and diversity of microbial composition, and the lack of standardized protocols for manipulating gut microbiota, such as optimal probiotic selection, treatment duration, and administration timing, hindering widespread use. Therefore, this review provides a comprehensive exploration of the GM's involvement in prostate cancer pathogenesis. We delve into the underlying mechanisms and discuss their potential implications for both therapeutic and diagnostic approaches in managing prostate cancer. Through this analysis, we offer valuable insights into the pivotal role of the microbiome in prostate cancer and its promising application in future clinical settings.

INTRODUCTION

In 2020, prostate cancer (PCa) accounted for an estimated 1,414,000 new cases and 3,754,304 deaths globally, making it the second most prevalent cancer in men and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths among them. Clinical detection is often delayed or undiagnosed due to its biological characteristics [1, 2]. The survival rate for PCa has significantly improved in the last five years, primarily due to advancements in early detection and treatment. Currently, the human gastrointestinal (GI) microbiome is gaining attention for its potential role in cancer development and response to treatment, including PCa [3, 4]. Though the gut microbiota (GM) has been linked to various diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, Alzheimer's disease, and colon cancer, its influence on immune system

doi: 10.15698/mic2024.05.824 Received originally: 16. 01. 2024; in revised form: 20. 03. 2024, Accepted: 28. 03. 2024 Published: 23.05.2024

Keywords: gut microbiota, therapeutics, prostate cancer, pathogenesis, microbiome, management

Abbreviations:

FMT - faecal microbiota transplantation, GI - gastroinestinal, GM - gut microbiome, GP - Granulomatous Prostatitis, IBD - inflammatory bowl disease, LGG - *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG, PCa - prostate cancer, NGS - next generation sequencing, SCFA- short-chain fatty acid, TNF - tumor necrosis factor.

modulation yields different responses to immune therapy [5]. The GM, spanning various organs, is pivotal for human health. Recent advances in tools like LC-MS and genome sequencing for metabolomics and metagenomics studies have unveiled dynamic variations in microbial signatures among individuals. These variations significantly influence metabolism, host immunity, and inflammation [6]. A recent study has shown that microbial pathogens can contribute to the development of approximately 15% to 20% of all types of cancers [7]. The microbiota plays a crucial role in maintaining host barrier surfaces involved in local inflammation and systemic metabolic functions. An increase in GI microbiome has been linked to the induction of prostatic neoplasia and may influence estrogen metabolism [8]. Certain microbiota,

including Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Bacteroides massiliensis, Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Mycoplasma genitalium, and Eubacterium rectalie have been implicated in the pathogenesis of PCa [9]. Cutting-edge technologies such as next-generation sequencing (NGS) have shown the influence of the GM on PCa development and its potential implications for disease treatment [8]. The development of PCa has a multifactorial etiology, with environmental and genetic factors both playing crucial roles in its progression. These factors include infection, chronic inflammation, aging, androgens, genetics, lifestyle changes and chronic diseases [10]. Eating habits, obesity, and physical activity are associated with the progression of PCa. These factors are known to contribute to the dysbiosis of the body's microflora, potentially increasing the risk of developing cancers [5]. Studies have identified proteobacteria as an intestinal biomarker associated with the progression of PCa, highlighting its potential role in disease monitoring and management [11]. The GM, comprising commensal bacteria and other microorganisms, constitutes the largest population of microbes in the human body [12]. The relationship between humans and their GM is mutual, aiding in the development of regulatory T-cells that combat inflammation and oncogenic cells, thereby maintaining immune system balance. Sudden environmental changes can disrupt the microbiome, altering community microbial composition or bacteria abundance, potentially promoting inflammation and cancer by compromising the epithelial barrier [8]. The variability of the microbiome among different patient populations has been shown to be significant in various types of cancers, including colorectal and breast cancer [13, 14]. Studies have revealed that the GM is associated with PCa through both direct and indirect mechanisms [15]. In a study, it has been demonstrated that modifications in the composition of the GM can increase the risk of PCa. By altering multiple cellular processes and biomarkers, including the generation of genotoxic substances and inflammatory cytokines, this can lead to disease development and modulate associated pathways [16]. Despite contributing to cancer development and progression, the GM is increasingly recognized for its potential in microbiota-based diagnostics, prognostics, and therapeutics. This emerging field capitalizes on the intricate interactions between the human microbiome and cancer. Noninvasive diagnostic tools, such as faecal or salivary microbiome analysis and circulating microbial DNA, are being explored for various cancers, including PCa and testicular cancer [17]. Recent advancements in GM-based therapeutics, including bacterial engineering, microbial targeting, and microbial metabolites, have emerged. For instance, in pancreatic cancer, gamma proteobacteria have been discovered to deactivate chemotherapy drugs through bacterial metabolism, highlighting the significant influence of microbial metabolites on cancer treatment efficacy [18]. There are various therapeutic limitations associated with GM-related therapies, notably the complexity of the microbiome. The GM constitutes a diverse ecosystem, posing challenges in comprehending how microbes contribute to cancer development or treatment response [19]. Other challenges, such as inter-individual and strain variation in the GM, contribute to the substantial heterogeneity observed in microbiome-related studies [20, 21]. The current research on the gut microbiome's involvement

in PCa is hindered by the absence of standardized sampling methods and data. This impediment complicates the ability to draw firm conclusions for microbially-based cancer diagnostics, prognostics, and therapeutics. To overcome this challenge and advances in understanding of PCa, it is crucial to implement standardized sampling procedures and evaluate multiple microbiomes specimens (tissue, urine, blood, and faces) [22]. Although some studies have identified specific bacteria, such as Bacteroides massiliensis, Bacteroides, and Streptococcus tissierellaceae, associated with an increased risk of PCa, the exact mechanisms by which these microbes contribute to PCa remain to be fully understood [23]. Various strategies aim to transform the GM for treating PCa, including fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), prebiotics, probiotics, or However, these approaches face challenges synbiotics. related to efficacy, safety, and patient acceptance. Therefore, identifying "favorable" or "unfavorable" microbiota is crucial for developing future microbiota therapies. Nonetheless, further research is needed to achieve this goal [24]. Predicting treatment outcomes is challenging due to individual variations in GM composition, as well as genetic and dietary changes that need to be evaluated to elucidate their mechanisms [25, 26]. The current understanding of potential interactions between the GM and conventional treatments is limited, as are largescale clinical trials or cohort studies needed. This review emphasizes the significance of the GM in PCa progression and pathogenesis, including causal factors, associated mechanisms, and pathology. We also discuss GM-based therapeutic approaches and their role in diagnosing and treating PCa. We summarize the role of GM in PCa within the gut-prostate axis in Figure 1, which shows two distinct associations: eubiosis and dysbiosis.

ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS FOR GM AND PCa

The composition of the GM varies due to both genetic and environmental factors, which can impact human health. Many studies have shown that the GM is associated with numerous non-intestinal disease [27]. Although PCa is common and has well-established risk factors such as smoking, inflammation, family history, obesity, and poor nutrition, these factors may provide insights into the potential pathways involved in the development of the disease [1, 28, 29]. Several studies investigating additional dietary and lifestyle risk factors have produced largely inconsistent findings. The complexity of the relationship between nutrient intake and metabolism has led to the hypothesis that discrepancies may arise from the use of imprecise surrogates for bioavailable micronutrient levels in food intake assessments [30]. The composition of GI bacteria, as revealed by GM and metabolomic profiling, is influenced by environmental factors and affects nutrient availability. This GI microbiome can influence the metabolism of various substances linked to an elevated risk of PCa [1]. Also, it has been associated with calcium intake from sources such as red meat, dairy products, and high-fat foods. The microbiome may play a role in the digestion of dairy products, as well as the production of phytochemicals and inflammatory molecules that could potentially impact cancer development [8]. Previous research indicates that the microbiome found in different parts of the body, including the oral cavity, GI tract, and human urinary tract, may significantly influence the physiology of the prostate



FIGURE 1 Depicts an overview of the role of gut microbiota (GM) in prostate cancer in the gut-prostate axis, which regulates the abundance of GM in the prostate via two distinct processes. (A) Eubiosis: In eubiosis, the abundance of beneficial microbes in the prostate is modulated by GM-associated therapeutics, which aids in the prevention or inhibition of disease progression in prostate cancer. (B) Dysbiosis: Dysbiosis is caused by various associated risk factors that dysregulate the GM-associated mechanisms, increase the abundance of pathogenic microbes, and enhance the pathogenesis of prostate cancer.

gland [15]. In a recent study, the connection between GM and genitourinary diseases, particularly urinary tract infections and benign prostatic hyperplasia, has been demonstrated, contributing significantly to the current understanding of their association and the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms [31]. The human GM, associated with numerous health conditions, presents a challenge in diagnosing Granulomatous Prostatitis (GP), as it mimics symptoms of PCa. With the increasing incidence of GP due to heightened surgical procedures and BCG utilization, meticulous attention is essential, necessitating diagnostic enhancements within the realm of urinary interventions. Furthermore, the correlation between GM and genitourinary ailments, such as benign prostatic hyperplasia and urinary tract infections, remains ambiguous, underscoring the need for additional research to elucidate the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms [32]. Certain risk factors associated with PCa, such as chronic inflammation and hormonal imbalances, interact with the GM, potentially influencing disease development. Dysbiosis in the GM can exacerbate inflammation, compromise the intestinal barrier, and produce metabolites that promote cancer. These mechanisms have the potential to significantly

impact the initiation and progression of PCa [27]. Additionally, the GM's influence on PCa risk and progression, through processes like chronic inflammation, microbial dysbiosis, and dietary compound metabolism, can lead to DNA damage, tumorigenesis, and immune response modulation. This intricate relationship highlights the potential for therapeutic interventions targeting the microbiota to affect PCa outcomes [33]. A study involving 133 men who underwent prostate biopsy revealed higher abundance of Bacteroides and Streptococcus species in rectal swabs. Additionally, metagenomic analysis identified significant alterations in the folate and arginine pathways, suggesting a potential increase in the risk of PCa [34]. In another study focusing on GM, researchers found that Bacteroides massiliensis was more abundant in men with PCa, including twelve patients at high risk and eight patients with benign prostate hypertrophy, while the levels of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii were lower [8]. However, Bacteroides massiliensis is recognized for its ability to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) as an anaerobic bacterium, it's crucial to understand the intricate and interconnected nature of the GM. The decrease in Faecalibacterium prausnitzii abundance may impact overall SCFA production, given the diverse SCFA profiles contributed by various bacterial species. Bacteroides massiliensis might not fully compensate for this reduction due to variations in SCFA production patterns among bacterial taxa. Moreover, factors such as metabolic pathways, substrate preferences, and the specific types of SCFA produced (e.g., acetate, propionate, butyrate) can significantly differ among microbial species. Therefore, the lack of compensatory SCFA production by Bacteroides massiliensis could be attributed to its specific metabolic activities and its role within the complex network of the GM [1]. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii has been found to metabolize acetic acid to butyric acid in the colon, which is a highly prevalent SCFA [35]. Also, it primarily achieves its anti-tumor properties by inducing apoptosis and promoting cell differentiation while reducing proliferation. Additionally, in cancer cells, it inhibits histone deacetylase. [36, 37]. A study on fecal microbiota showed a notable variance in the abundance of Bacteroides and Streptococcus species among individuals with and without PCa. The most noteworthy metabolic pathways observed were those associated with folic acid and arginine, crucial for nucleotide synthesis, cell growth, and DNA methylation. Insufficient folic acid levels can lead to mutations and unstable DNA, underscoring the potential impact of certain bacterial species on genomic stability in the development of PCa [34, 38]. Bifidobacterium adolescentis and Lactobacillus plantarum are GM bacteria that produce folic acid, maintaining folate levels in the gut. This could impact overall health and potentially lead to cancer progression. Several studies have found that non-cancer patients harbor higher levels of folic acid-producing microflora compared to cancer patients. This suggests that folic acid from natural sources may aid in preventing PCa [12, 39]. These results suggest that the GM and their metabolites play a significant role in the occurrence and progression of PCa. Modulation of GM composition has the potential to prevent the growth of lethal populations of microbes and to treat PCa. Studies have shown that different microbiota can either promote or inhibit tumor development, indicating their potential role in cancer progression and development [16, 40, 41]. A wellestablished correlation exists between GI dysbiosis and a range of health conditions, including obesity, diabetes, and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Importantly, these conditions are linked to an increased susceptibility to cancer [42, 43]. Specific bacterial species such as Escherichia coli, Clostridium, Bifidobacterium, and Akkermansia muciniphila have been implicated in metabolizing hormones, potentially contributing to cancer development through modulation of hormonal balance [16]. A study on microbial genomics revealed significant changes in the GM composition of males with PCa compared to healthy controls [1]. Modern cutting-edge genomic techniques, such as NGS and metabolomics profiling, have enabled a more extensive exploration of the metagenome, microbiota, and microbiome studies to elucidate the role of disease pathogenicity [44].

THE ASSOCIATION OF GM IN PCa PATHOGENESIS

Studies have investigated the relationship between GM and PCa through various mechanisms, including immunological regulation, metabolic alterations, and epithelial damage. Antibiotic resistance may lead to an increased survival rate of many pathogenic bacteria, including those that promote

inflammation and neoplasia [8]. The influence of GM on cancer development has been examined through both direct and indirect associations [15].

Direct association

Microbes directly involved in PCa

In vivo studies have demonstrated that several microorganisms may increase the risk of PCa. Similarly, the cytolethal distending toxin produced by *Campylobacter jejuni* has been reported to cause cell cycle arrest, cell death, and chromatin fragmentation [8]. In the human intestine, *E. coli* often maintains a symbiotic relationship with the host. However, studies have revealed that *in vivo E. coli* infection can initiate a DNA damage response, highlighting potential deficiencies in DNA repair mechanisms [45]. Recent research indicates that *E. coli* may be associated with inflammation in the prostate, potentially contributing to the development or progression of PCa [46, 47].

Effect of drugs directly on microflora

In a study, it was shown that the drugs norfloxacin, fluoroquinolones, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and fleroxacin exhibited the highest capacity to penetrate prostate tissue among several medications [48, 49]. Antibiotic usage can have detrimental impacts on the GM by altering metabolic processes, reducing species diversity, and changing bacterial structure. Additionally, the types of microorganisms that may respond to various quinolones can vary, leading to further variations in the GM [50]. Long-term use of antibiotics can alter the structure of microbial communities, leading to interference in the activities of normal bacteria. Changes in the microbial population of the intestines or urethra have also been observed to result in alterations in the prostate microflora [12].

Indirect association

GM in phytochemical digestion

Phytochemicals are non-nutritive plant components that are physiologically active, and research has shown that they can alter the composition of gut microflora [51]. Based on their metabolic origins, phytochemicals may be divided into several groups: polyphenols, alkaloids, terpenoids (both carotenoid and non-carotenoid), organosulfur compounds, and nitrogencontaining compounds [52]. Phytochemicals have a positive effect on human and animal health by altering the intestinal microbiota and promoting the growth of various bacterial populations. However, changes in the composition of the GM and its metabolism of certain compounds may increase the risk of PCa. Additionally, high intake of calcium from dairy products, fat, and red meat has been linked to disease progression [53, 54]. The role of the microbiome in the digestion of phytochemicals and dairy products, as well as the production of inflammatory molecules that can affect cancer development, may be linked to an increased risk of PCa and associated with the composition of the GM [51].

Estrobiomes

"Functional estrobiomes" refers to the collection of genes present in enteric bacteria that are involved in estrogen metabolism [55]. β -glucuronidases and β -glucuronides

play a particularly important role in the conjugation and deconjugation of estrogen. Studies have shown that estrogen levels in PCa patients are higher than those in healthy controls [8]. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) produce carcinogenic metabolites, such as radical diol epoxides and cations, when activated. Estrogen promotes cancer development and can react with these metabolites, leading to mutations that facilitate cancer growth. According to Plottel's notion of the estrobiome, disruptions in the estrogen pathway may result in increased serum estrogen levels [55]. The potential link between the risk of developing PCa and the metabolic alteration in GM has been suggested, indicating a potential positive association [8, 56]. The estrobolome model suggests that certain bacteria possess the genes necessary to produce β -glucuronidase, and vice versa. Studies have shown that Eubacterium sp. lack β -glucuronidase genes, while they are abundant in Bacteroides and Faecalibacterium spp. [57]. β -Glucuronidases have been associated with a higher risk of oncogenesis, as they contribute to elevated levels of xenobiotics and mutagens by deconjugating glucuronated substrates of the liver [58, 59]. The absence of β -glucuronidase activity in the benign group underscores the significance of the estrobolome paradigm [55]. However, further research is necessary to make conclusive remarks.

Chronic inflammation

Chronic inflammation is suggested as a potential mechanism for inducing dysbiosis, thereby raising the risk of cancer. Notably, men with a history of prostatitis are more prone to developing PCa [8]. In vivo studies have confirmed that GI tract bacterial infection can increase microinvasive carcinoma and prostate intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN). The neutralization of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) prevented neoplasia onset, suggesting that inflammation based on gut microbiota contributes significantly to tumor development and progression [60]. A study employing NGS to examine the rectal microbiome profiles of men before transrectal prostate biopsy discovered notable elevations in proinflammatory Streptococcus species and Bacteroides in individuals diagnosed with PCa [61]. Neoplasticrelated inflammation may lead to cellular and genomic damage, angiogenesis, and tissue repair on a larger scale, potentially triggering a cascade of cellular repair processes [62]. It is hypothesized that during inflammation, immune cells release reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species, which may directly damage cells and DNA [63]. Cellular death and oxidative damage are recognized as the underlying factors contributing to proliferative inflammatory atrophy, which is regarded as a precursor to prostatic neoplasia [47, 64]. The transition from a healthy microbial balance to dysbiosis plays a pivotal role in microbiota-related cancer development. The bacterial microbiome can contribute to tumorigenesis by activating Toll-like receptors (TLRs), inducing DNA damage and genomic instability via genotoxins in host cells, and modulating host gene expression through the production of metabolites with epigenetic effects [16].

The microbiota-driven inflammatory response can lead to the synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-17, IL-23, interferon gamma, and TNF-alpha. This systemic inflammation can elevate the risk of inflammation at distant sites [65].

Lifestyle modification and dietary intake

Dietary habits play a significant role in PCa development. Consuming a western diet, which is characterized by highfat dairy products, red meat, and potatoes, is associated with a higher risk of cancer. Conversely, a diet rich in high-fiber products, fruits, vegetables, and fish is linked to a lower risk of PCa [5]. Additionally, being overweight increases the risk of developing the disease. Similarly, obesity is associated with various types of cancer, including PCa [29]. Studies have shown that a high intake of animal protein, saturated fat, and amino acids, as well as a low intake of fiber, are positively correlated with a GM dominated by Bacteroides and Bifidobacterium. Conversely, a high intake of carbohydrates and monosaccharides is associated with a GM dominated by Prevotella [12]. The GM generates a diverse array of metabolites that enter the host's circulation, exerting various effects on the host's overall health and well-being [66]. Previous reports have indicated that the metabolism of carnitine, trimethylamine, and choline precursors by the GM raises the risk of PCa [67, 68]. In summary, changes in dietary habits can exert a profound influence on the composition of the GM, impacting its diversity and balance.

THERAPEUTICS

Utilizing GM-based therapies, such as probiotics, symbiotic, FMT, and prebiotics, can modulate the gut microbiome for PCa therapy. These treatments have shown promise in transitioning PCa patients from unfavourable to favourable traits, potentially aiding in both prevention and treatment of the disease [69]. Preclinical studies suggest that specific probiotics, such as Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) and Bifidobacterium breve, can inhibit cancer cell growth, induce apoptosis, and sensitize cancer cells to chemotherapy [70]. FMT), which involves transferring faecal matter from healthy donors to patients, has shown promising results in the treatment of several types of cancer, including colorectal cancer, melanoma, and GI cancers [2]. As of now, clinical trials focusing on therapeutic interventions involving the GM in PCa patients are at varying stages, including ongoing trials, those in the recruitment phase, and or completed trials. These diverse stages reflect the ongoing efforts to comprehend and address the challenges specific to PCa treatment strategies targeting the GM [22]. Clinical trials are currently underway to evaluate the safety and efficacy of FMT and probiotic therapy in PCa therapy. One such trial, the PROSPECT study (Probiotics to Enhance Efficacy of Chemoradiotherapy in Prostate Cancer Treatment), aims to assess the effects of probiotics on the outcomes of chemoradiotherapy in PCa patients [71].

In a study investigating the faecal microbiota of newly diagnosed, treatment-naïve overweight and obese cancer patients (including those with breast and prostate cancer) compared to matched controls, differences were observed in beta-diversity metrics and the abundance of specific genera [72]. Similarly, studies have shown that the GM influences PCa by affecting intestinal permeability. Weight loss improves permeability, which tends to slow down the progression of PCa [73, 74].

A study suggests that reducing oncologic risk in PCa may be achieved through beta-adrenergic blockade, which influences dietary composition, metabolite levels, and

downstream signaling pathways [58]. Another trial investigated the presence of urolithins and GM ellagic acid metabolites in the human prostate gland after consumption of walnut and pomegranate juice. The results indicated that urolithin glucuronides and dimethyl ellagic acid may be the molecules responsible for the beneficial effects of pomegranate against PCa [75]. In a study, researchers investigated the impact of selenium supplementation on the composition of GM in PCa patients undergoing androgen deprivation therapy. The aim of this research is to understand how selenium affects the GM and to explore its potential therapeutic benefits in managing PCa and improving treatment outcome [76]. While indicating potential microbiota variations, larger sample sizes are required to validate these findings, underscoring the necessity for further research on the influence of GI microbiome on carcinogenesis in cancer patients [72].

Antibiotics

In a mouse model of PCa, research has demonstrated that administering antibiotics inhibited the development of PCa induced by a high-fat diet [77]. Antibiotics have been shown to alter the composition of the GM and reduce IGF-1 expression, both in PCa and in the bloodstream [5]. IGF-1 is mostly produced by the liver and muscles and is primarily involved in cell growth and proliferation. It has been shown to play a role in PCa development, as it is released in an autocrine fashion by PCa cells and promotes their growth and survival by activating the MAPK and PI3K signaling pathways [78, 79]. The activation of the MAPK and PI3K signaling pathways was reduced in a mouse model of PCa following antibiotic therapy. Rikenellaceae and Clostridiales, which generate SCFAs, were found to be decreased in the GM of mice fed a high-fat diet after antibiotic therapy [5]. The overuse or misuse of antibiotics can lead to the selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, which may outcompete susceptible bacteria. However, it's important to note that resistant bacteria are not necessarily more pathogenic. An overgrowth of certain bacteria linked to neoplasia and inflammation can occur, but the relationship with reduced bacterial diversity remains unclear [80]. Studies have shown that infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and Clostridium difficile can lead to increased antibiotic usage. These bacterial species are able to proliferate under conditions of microbial disruption, which can occur in various parts of the body, including the GI tract [8, 81].

Studies investigating the association between antibiotic exposure and PCa risk suggest that changes in intestinal permeability and GM induced by antibiotics may increase the risk of neoplastic changes in the prostate gland. However, the evidence for a direct link between antibiotic exposure and PCa is still unexplored and requires more research to elucidate their role in disease prevention [82-85]. Several studies have suggested that certain antibiotics, including penicillin's, tetracyclines, quinolones, and sulphonamides, may be associated with a decrease in PCa risk. However, further research is needed to elucidate their role in preventing the disease and to better understand the mechanisms underlying this potential association [85-88].

Probiotics

Probiotic strains have the potential to modulate the composition and metabolic activity of the GM, thereby promoting a more balanced ecosystem within the GI tract [89, 90]. Currently, probiotics are under investigation as potential adjuvants in cancer treatment. Their role has been studied in various types of cancer, such as colorectal cancer, GI cancer, urinary bladder cancer, and others, aiming to elucidate their potential benefits and mechanisms of action [16, 91-93]. Studies have suggested that certain bacterial species, such as naturally occurring Escherichia coli and Clostridium perfringens, can produce carcinogenic chemicals through the action of enzymes such as nitroreductase, azoreductase, and β -glucuronidase [94–96]. Probiotics are a promising approach to balancing the activity of bacteria in the gut. Studies have shown that consuming fermented milk products can increase the population of beneficial Lactobacillus acidophilus in the gut of rats, resulting in lower levels of toxic enzymes and putrefactive bacteria [97, 98]. LGG is often used as a supplement to conventional colorectal cancer therapy in order to promote symbiosis in the GI microbiome. Studies using animal models have shown that LGG possesses anti-inflammatory properties and can enhance tumor regression [99, 100]. Several studies have demonstrated that administering probiotics after cancer treatment can reduce GI stress and replenish the microbiota, thereby potentially improving digestive health and overall well-being in cancer survivors [101]. Research investigating the potential use of probiotics, such as Lactobacillus casei and its metabolite ferricrome, for PCa treatment is currently ongoing. While initial studies have indicated that ferricrome may have the ability to induce tumor cell death and activate the JNK pathway, further research is essential to fully elucidate these mechanisms and to determine the safety and effectiveness of probiotics in cancer therapy [101]. Studies suggest that Lactobacilli may stimulate the immune system to eradicate cancerous or precancerous cells. However, the exact mechanism and by-products of this bacterial-mediated stimulatory effect remain unknown and require further investigation. While the potential of probiotics in cancer treatment is promising, additional research is necessary to ascertain their safety and effectiveness [102].

Faecal microbiota transplantation

FMT has been used to treat dysbiosis, IBD, and some pathogen infections, but the use of FMT is not limited to individuals with the GM trait, and its long-term safety and efficacy are still under investigation [103]. FMT has been shown to preserve microbial diversity and restore the normal equilibrium of the GM, and is an effective treatment for recurrent or refractory Clostridioides difficile infection [101, 104]. FMT has shown potential in decreasing colon tumorigenesis in pre-clinical studies with mice, but its effectiveness as an anti-tumor therapeutic application in humans is still being investigated [105, 106]. FMT has been shown to affect the immune system, inflammation, microbial metabolites, cell signaling pathways, DNA damage, and extra-intestinal regions through blood circulation, which may have regulatory and anti-cancer effects on the human intestinal microbiota. However, further clinical trials are needed to establish its effectiveness [107]. Although FMT has shown efficacy in treating various conditions, it can be challenging to manage due to the transplantation of both therapeutic bacterial species and the entire GM. Therefore, it is important to carefully monitor donors well-being and the unique composition of their GM to ensure safety and efficacy.

GM AS A DIAGNOSTIC MARKER IN PCa

Early detection and diagnosis of PCa are crucial for effective treatment and improved outcomes. However, current diagnostic tools such as digital rectal examination (DRE), biopsy, and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) testing have limitations in terms of accuracy and invasiveness. As a result, there is a growing interest in identifying new non-invasive biomarkers for PCa diagnosis [108, 109]. The use of the GM potential as a diagnostic tool for PCa is based on the hypothesis that changes in the composition of the GM may lead to alterations in fecal metabolites and biomarkers, which can be detected noninvasively [110, 111]. Several studies have explored the use of GM-based biomarkers for the diagnosis of PCa. For instance, a recent study identified a set of faecal metabolites (Rikenellaceae. Alistipes, and Lachnospira, which are all bacteria that produce SCFA) that demonstrated high accuracy in distinguishing between PCa patients and healthy controls [77]. Studies suggest that the GM has potential as a non-invasive diagnostic tool for demonstrating high accuracy in discriminating between PCa patients and healthy controls [112].

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The number of studies investigating the relationship between diseases and the GM is increasing steadily, reflecting growing interest and recognition of the crucial role played by the GM in human health and disease. However, the exact role of the GM on PCa remains unclear. Recent research indicates that there may be both common and distinctive features among the GM across different disorders [113]. Additionally, notable differences exist in the abundance and composition of specific GM between individuals with PCa and those without, including individuals with other types of cancer or those in good health [27]. Several factors intricately shape the dynamics of intestinal bacteria, fostering diverse interactions with the host. At the center of maintaining this intricate balance within the complex interplay is the careful oversight of the host immune system and the precise regulation of intestinal microbial metabolites. However, perturbations in the composition of the intestinal microflora can disrupt this balance, potentially precipitating an immunological imbalance in the mucosa and thereby fostering conditions conducive to tumor development [23]. The intrinsic heterogeneity of GM among individuals poses a substantial challenge, requiring precise identification of consistent microbial signatures linked to PCa [114]. The establishment of causation over correlation demands rigorous experimental designs and robust, longterm follow-up studies to discern the genuine impact of the microbiome on PCa development and progression [115]. Furthermore, the intricate interplay between GM and various factors such as genetics, lifestyle, and environmental elements introduces complexity to the research landscape. The translation of preclinical findings into effective therapeutic interventions for human subjects necessitates meticulous optimization, validation, and a comprehensive evaluation of potential risks and benefits [116]. Ethical considerations loom large in the manipulation of GM for therapeutic purposes.

Upholding participant well-being, ensuring informed consent, and addressing potential unforeseen consequences are paramount ethical obligations. The social and cultural implications of GM modification also warrant scrutiny [117]. Mounting evidence suggests that GM and their metabolites play a crucial role in PCa, influencing critical processes such as metastasis, invasion, and tumorigenesis through multiple biological mechanisms. The regulation of GM is thought to have direct effects on the early stages of prostate epithelial cell transformation from benign to malignant, while also indirectly affecting immune surveillance [118]. To advance our understanding of the aetiology and mechanisms involved in PCa disease progression, future studies should build upon current knowledge of GM's role in the disease. Biochemical recurrence risk is a common issue following treatment for PCa. To address this challenge, there is growing interest in combining targeted therapy with microbial immunotherapy as a means of overcoming limitations associated with traditional therapies [119]. Further research is needed to gain a comprehensive understanding of the precise role of the microbiome in PCa pathogenesis and prevention, in order to advance microbial tumor therapy as a potential approach for treatment, prevention, and early diagnosis of the disease. [8, 93, 120]. The use of metagenomic and metabolomic analyses has provided valuable insights into the intricate composition of the disease, highlighting a wide range of microbial species and their associated metabolites [36, 121]. Although the role of GM in the growth and castration resistance in PCa are recognized, however, the specific regulatory mechanisms are still unknown. Further exploration of these mechanisms could open up new avenues for identifying and managing disease mechanism. Additionally, to develop a personalized screening and treatment approach will help to evaluate the interaction between GM and various influencing factors such as lifestyle and genetics, which may increase the risk of developing the disease [5]. Currently, probiotics are being investigated as a potential supplement to improve the composition of GM in PCa patients. Furthermore, prebiotics, which are non-digestible ingredients, have been shown to promote the growth of specific beneficial bacteria that improve human health [96, 102]. Implementation of probiotics and/or prebiotics may reduce the risk of developing PCa and provide a promising avenue for future therapeutic options in its management.

In summary, this review highlights the important role that the GM may play in the development and progression of PCa, through its influence on chronic inflammation, immune modulation, and other pathogenic mechanisms. Emerging evidence suggests that the GM could serve as a promising target for novel therapeutic and diagnostic approaches in PCa. However, more research is needed to fully understand the complex interplay between the GM, inflammation, and PCa pathogenesis. Future studies should focus on elucidating the precise mechanisms involved and exploring the potential of GM modulation as a strategy for PCa management.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: P.T. and R.D.; writing-original draft preparation: A.Y., P.T., M.K., and R.D.; writing- review and editing: P.T., M.K., A.Y. and R.D. All authors have read and agreed to the

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge the help and access to research publications pro-vided by the All-India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, India. We also acknowledge Mr. Tejas Wattambar, Senior Medical Writer at TCS, Pune, India, for his critical reading and review of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yadav *et al.* This is an open-access article released under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license, which allows the unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are acknowledged.

Please cite this article as: Anjali Yadav, Meenakshi Kaushik, Prabhakar Tiwari, Rima Dada (2024). From microbes to medicine: harnessing the gut microbiota to combat prostate cancer. Microbial Cell 11: 187-197. doi: 10.15698/mic2024.05.824

References

1. Golombos DM, Ayangbesan A, O'Malley P, Lewicki P, Barlow L, Barbieri C, Chan C, Dulong C, Abu-Ali G, Huttenhower C, Scherr DS (**2018**). The Role of Gut Microbiome in the Pathogenesis of Prostate Cancer: A Prospective, Pilot Study. **Urology** 111: 122–128. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2017.08.039

2. Wang L, Lu B, He M, Wang Y, Wang Z, Du L (2022). Prostate Cancer Incidence and Mortality: Global Status and Temporal Trends in 89 Countries From 2000 to 2019. Front Public Health 10: 811,044. doi:10.3389/fpubh. 2022.811044

3. Sadrekarimi H, Gardanova ZR, Bakhshesh M, Ebrahimzadeh F, Yaseri AF, Thangavelu L, Hasanpoor Z, Zadeh FA, Ms K (2022). Emerging role of human microbiome in cancer development and response to therapy: special focus on intestinal microflora. J Translat Med 20 (1): 301–301. doi:10.1186/s12967-022-03492-7

4. Zheng D, Liwinski T, E E (2020). Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. Cell Res 30 (6): 492-506. doi:10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7

5. Fujita K, Matsushita M, Banno E, Velasco D, Hatano MA, Nonomura K, Uemura N, H (**2022**). Gut microbiome and prostate cancer. **Int J Urol** 29 (8): 793–798. doi:10.1111/iju.14894

 Miyake M, Tatsumi Y, Ohnishi K, Fujii T, Nakai Y, Tanaka N, Fujimoto K (2022). Prostate diseases and microbiome in the prostate, gut, and urine. Prostate Int 10 (2): 96-107. doi:10.1016/j.prnil.2022.03.004

7. Yang HJ, Kim JH (**2023**). Role of microbiome and its metabolite, short chain fatty acid in prostate cancer. **Investig Clin Urol** 64 (1): 3–12. doi:10.4111/icu. 20220370

8. Sha S, Ni L, Stefil M, Dixon M, Mouraviev V (2020). The human gastrointestinal microbiota and prostate cancer development and treatment. Investig Clin Urol 61 (1). doi:10.4111/icu.2020.61.S1.S43

9. Dey P, Chaudhuri SR (2022). Cancer-Associated Microbiota: From Mechanisms of Disease Causation to Microbiota-Centric Anti-Cancer Approaches. Biology 11 (5): 757–757. doi:10.3390/biology11050757

10. Hibino S, Kawazoe T, Kasahara H, Itoh S, Ishimoto T, Sakata-Yanagimoto M, Taniguchi K (**2021**). Inflammation-Induced Tumorigenesis and Metastasis. **Int J Mol Sci** 22 (11): 5421-5421. doi:10.3390/ijms22115421

11. Zhong W, Wu K, Long Z, Zhou X, Zhong C, Wang S, Lai H, Guo Y, Lv D, Lu J, Mao X (2022). Gut dysbiosis promotes prostate cancer progression and docetaxel resistance via activating NF- κ B-IL6-STAT3 axis. Microbiome 10 (1): 94–94. doi:10.1186/s40168-022-01289-w

12. Che B, Zhang W, Xu S, Yin J, He J, Huang T, Li W, Yu Y, Tang K (2021). Prostate Microbiota and Prostate Cancer: A New Trend in Treatment. Front Oncol 11: 1-14. doi:10.1186/s40168-022-01289-w

13. Goedert JJ, Jones G, Hua X, Xu X, Yu G, Flores R, Falk RT, Gail MH, Shi J, Ravel J, HS F (2015). Investigation of the Association Between the Fecal Microbiota and Breast Cancer in Postmenopausal Women: a Population-Based Case-Control Pilot Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 107 (8): 147-147. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv147

14. Yu H, Meng H, Zhou F, Ni X, Shen S, Das UN (2015). Urinary microbiota in patients with prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia. Arch Med Sci 11 (2): 385–394. doi:10.5114/aoms.2015.50970

15. Porter CM, Shrestha E, Peiffer LB, KS S (2018). The microbiome in prostate inflammation and prostate cancer. **Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis** 21 (3): 345-354. doi:10.1038/s41391-018-0041-1

16. Bhatt AP, Redinbo MR, SJ B (2017). The Role of the Microbiome in Cancer Development and Therapy. CA Cancer J Clin 67 (4): 326–344. doi:10.3322/caac.21398

17. Kandalai S, Li H, Zhang N, Peng H, Zheng Q (2023). The human microbiome and cancer: a diagnostic and therapeutic perspective. Cancer Biol Ther 24 (1): 2240,084-2240,084. doi:10.1080/15384047.2023.2240084

 Mirnee M, Citorik RJ, Lu TK (2016). Microbiome Therapeutics - Advances and Challenges. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 105: 44–54. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016.04. 032

19. Thursby E, N J (2017). Introduction to the human gut microbiota. Biochem J 474 (11): 1823-1836. doi:10.1042/BCJ20160510

20. Li X, Zhang S, Guo G, Han J, J Y (2022). Gut microbiome in modulating immune checkpoint inhibitors. eBioMedicine 82: 104,163. doi:10.1016/j. ebiom.2022.104163

21. Anderson BD, Bisanz JE (2023). Challenges and opportunities of strain diversity in gut microbiome research. Front Microbiol 14: 1117,122-1117,122. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2023.1117122

22. Kustrimovic N, Bombelli R, Baci D, Mortara L (2023). Microbiome and Prostate Cancer: A Novel Target for Prevention and Treatment. Int J Mol Sci 24 (2): 1511. doi:10.3390/ijms24021511

 Zha C, Peng Z, Huang K, Tang K, Wang Q, Zhu L, B C, and Xu S LW, T H, Y Y, W Z (2023). Potential role of gut microbiota in prostate cancer: immunity, metabolites, pathways of action? . Front Oncol 13: 1196,217. doi:10.3389/ fonc.2023.1196217

24. Huang H, Liu Y, Wen Z, Chen C, Wang C, Li H, X Y (**2024**). Gut microbiota in patients with prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. **BMC Cancer** 24 (1): 261–261. doi:10.1186/s12885-024-12018-x

 Ting NN, Lau HH, J Y (2022). Cancer pharmacomicrobiomics: targeting microbiota to optimise cancer therapy outcomes. Gut 71 (7): 1412–1425. doi: 10.1136/gutinl-2021-326264

26. Cheng WY, Wu CY, J Y (2020). The role of gut microbiota in cancer treatment: friend or foe? Gut 69 (10): 1867–1876. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321153

27. Matsushita M, Fujita K, Hatano K, MA DV, A T, H U, N N (2023). Emerging Relationship between the Gut Microbiome and Prostate Cancer. World J Mens Health 41 (4): 759-768. doi:10.5534/wjmh.220202

28. Fujita K, Matsushita M, MA DV, K H, T M, N N, H U (2023). The Gut-Prostate Axis: A New Perspective of Prostate Cancer Biology through the Gut Microbiome. Cancers 15 (5): 1375–1375. doi:10.3390/cancers15051375 29. Ma J, Li H, Giovannucci E, Mucci L, Qiu W, Nguyen PL, Gaziano JM, Pollak M, Stampfer MJ (**2008**). Prediagnostic body-mass index, plasma C-peptide concentration, and prostate cancer-specific mortality in men with prostate cancer: a long-term survival analysis. Lancet Oncol 9 (11): 1039–1047. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70235-3

30. Dennis LK, Snetselaar LG, Smith BJ, Stewart RE, Robbins MEC (2004). Problems with the Assessment of Dietary Fat in Prostate Cancer Studies. Am J Epidemiol 160 (5): 436–444. doi:10.1093/aje/kwh243

31. Crocetto F, Barone B, L DL, M C (2020). Granulomatous prostatitis: a challenging differential diagnosis to take into consideration. Future Oncol 16 (13): 805–806. doi:10.2217/fon-2020-0185

32. Priadko K, Romano L, Olivieri S, Romeo M, Barone B, Sciorio C, Spirito L, Morelli M, Crocetto F, Arcaniolo D, Mirone V, Romano M, Napolitano L (2022). Intestinal microbiota, intestinal permeability and the urogenital tract: is there a pathophysiological link? J Physiol Pharmacol 73 (5). doi:10.26402/jpp.2022. 5.01

33. Katongole P, Sande OJ, Joloba M, Reynolds SJ, Niyonzima N (2020). The human microbiome and its link in prostate cancer risk and pathogenesis. Infect Agent Cancer 15: 53–53. doi:10.1186/s13027-020-00319-2

34. Liss MA, White JP, Goros M, Gelfond J, Leach P, Johnson-Pais T, Lai Z, E R, J B, D A, P SD (2018). Metabolic Biosynthesis Pathways Identified from Fecal Microbiome Associated with Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 74 (5): 575–582. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2018.06.033

35. Zou Y, Lin X, Xue W, Tuo L, Chen MS, Chen XH, Sun C, Li F, Liu S, Dai Y, Kristiansen K, L X (**2021**). Characterization and description of Faecalibacterium butyricigenerans sp. nov. and F. longum sp. nov., isolated from human faeces. **Sci Rep** 11 (1): 11,340-11,340. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-90786-3

36. Nakkarach A, Foo HL, Song AL, Mutalib N, Nitisinprasert S, Withayagiat U (2021). Anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory effects elicited by short chain fatty acids produced by Escherichia coli isolated from healthy human gut microbiota. Microb Cell Fact 20 (1): 36–36. doi:10.1186/s12934-020-01477-Z

37. Kobayashi M, Mikami D, Uwada J, Yazawa T, Kamiyama K, Kimura H, Taniguchi T, Iwano M (**2018**). A short-chain fatty acid, propionate, enhances the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin by modulating GPR41 signaling pathways in HepG2 cells. **Oncotarget** 9 (59): 31,342–31,354. doi:10.18632/oncotarget. 25809

38. Cantarella CD, Ragusa D, Giammanco M, Tosi S (2017). Folate deficiency as predisposing factor for childhood leukaemia: a review of the literature. Genes Nutr 12: 14-14. doi:10.1186/s12263-017-0560-8

39. Figueiredo JC, Grau MV, Haile RW, Sandler RS, Summers RW, Bresalier RS, Burke CA, Mckeown-Eyssen GE, Baron JA (2009). Folic Acid and Risk of Prostate Cancer: Results From a Randomized Clinical Trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 101 (6): 432-435. doi:10.1093/jnci/djp019

40. Sheflin AM, Whitney AK, Weir TL (2014). Cancer-Promoting Effects of Microbial Dysbiosis. Curr Oncol Rep 16 (10): 406–406. doi:10.1007/s11912-014-0406-0

41. Schwabe RF, Jobin C (2013). The microbiome and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 13 (11): 800-812. doi:10.1038/nrc3610

42. Degruttola AK, Low D, Mizoguchi A, E M (**2016**). Current Understanding of Dysbiosis in Disease in Human and Animal Models. **Inflamm Bowel Dis** 22 (5): 1137–1150. doi:10.1097/MIB.000000000000750

43. Hartstra AV, Nieuwdorp M, Herrema H (2016). Interplay between gut microbiota, its metabolites and human metabolism: Dissecting cause from consequence. Trends in Food Science & Technology 57: 233-243. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.08.009

44. Whiteside SA, Razvi H, SD, G R, PBJ (2015). The microbiome of the urinary tract-a role beyond infection. Nat Rev Urol 12 (2): 81–90. doi:10.1038/nrurol. 2014.361

45. Cuevas-Ramos G, Petit CR, Marcq I, Boury M, Oswald E, J-P N (2010). Escherichia coli induces DNA damage in vivo and triggers genomic instability in mammalian cells. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A** 107 (25): 11,537–11,542. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1001261107

46. Funahashi Y, Wang Z, KJ O, P T, DB D, JR G, R T, T M, M G, N Y (2015). Influence of E. coli-induced Prostatic Inflammation on Expression of Androgen-Responsive Genes and Transforming Growth Factor Beta 1 Cascade Genes in Rats. Prostate 75 (4): 381–389. doi:10.1002/pros.22924

47. Elkahwaji JE, Hauke RJ, Brawner CM (2009). Chronic bacterial inflammation induces prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia in mouse prostate. Br J Cancer 101 (10): 1740-1748. doi:10.1038/si.bic.6605370

48. Naber KG, Sörgel F (2003). Antibiotic therapy - rationale and evidence for optimal drug concentrations in prostatic and seminal fluid and in prostatic tissue. Andrologia 35 (5): 331–335. doi:10.1046/j.1439-0272.2003.00568.x

49. Kloskowski T, Frąckowiak S, Adamowicz J, Szeliski K, Rasmus M, Drewa T, Pokrywczyńska M (2022). Quinolones as a Potential Drug in Genitourinary Cancer Treatment-A Literature Review. Front Oncol 12: 890,337-890,337. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.890337

50. Panda S, Khader IE, Casellas F, Vivancos JL, Cors MG, Santiago A, Cuenca S, Guarner F, Manichanh C (**2014**). Short-Term Effect of Antibiotics on Human Gut Microbiota. **PLOS ONE** 9 (4): 95,476–95,476. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0095476

51. Santhiravel S, Bekhit AD, Mendis E, Jacobs JL, Dunshea FR, Rajapakse N, EN P (2022). The Impact of Plant Phytochemicals on the Gut Microbiota of Humans for a Balanced Life. Int J Mol Sci 23 (15): 8124–8124. doi:10.3390/ ijms23158124

52. Lara MV, Bonghi C, Famiani F, Vizzotto G, Walker RP, MF D (2020). Stone Fruit as Biofactories of Phytochemicals With Potential Roles in Human Nutrition and Health. Front Plant Sci 11: 562,252–562,252. doi:10.3389/fpls. 2020.562252

53. Wilson KM, Shui IM, Mucci LA, E G (2015). Calcium and phosphorus intake and prostate cancer risk: a 24-y follow-up study123. Am J Clin Nutr 101 (1): 173–183. doi:10.3945/ajcn.114.088716

54. Sargsyan A, HB D (2021). Milk Consumption and Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. World J Mens Health 39 (3): 419–428. doi:10.5534/wjmh. 200051

55. Plottel CS, Blaser MJ (2011). Microbiome and malignancy. Cell Host Microbe 10 (4): 324-335. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.003

56. Cavalieri E, Chakravarti D, Guttenplan J, Hart E, Ingle J, Jankowiak R, Muti P, Rogan E, Russo J, Santen R, Sutter T (2006). Catechol estrogen quinones as initiators of breast and other human cancers: implications for biomarkers of susceptibility and cancer prevention. Biochim Biophys Acta 1766 (1): 63–78. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2006.03.001

57. Kwa M, Plottel CS, Blaser MJ, S A (2016). The Intestinal Microbiome and Estrogen Receptor-Positive Female Breast Cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 108 (8): djw029. doi:10.1093/jnci/djw029

58. Ervin SM, Li H, Lim L, Roberts LR, Liang X, Mani S, MR R (2019). Gut microbial β -glucuronidases reactivate estrogens as components of the estrobolome that reactivate estrogens. J Biol Chem 294 (49): 18,586–18,599. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.010950

59. Awolade P, N C, N K, L G, E O, P S (2020). Therapeutic significance of β -glucuronidase activity and its inhibitors: A review. Eur J Med Chem 187: 111,921–111,921. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111921

60. Greten FR, Grivennikov SI (2019). Inflammation and Cancer: Triggers, Mechanisms and Consequences. Immunity 51 (1): 27-41. doi:10.1016/j. immuni.2019.06.025

61. Garbas K, Zapała P, Zapała Ł, Radziszewski P (1920). The Role of Microbial Factors in Prostate Cancer Development-An Up-to-Date Review. J Clin Med 10: 4772-4772. doi:10.3390/jcm10204772

62. Singh N, Baby D, Rajguru JP, Patil PB, Thakkannavar SS, VB P (2019). Inflammation and Cancer. Ann Afr Med 18 (3): 121-126. doi:10.4103/aam. aam_56_18

63. Juan CA, JM PDLL, FJ P, E PL (**2021**). The Chemistry of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Revisited: Outlining Their Role in Biological Macromolecules (DNA, Lipids and Proteins) and Induced Pathologies. Int J Mol Sci 22 (9): 4642–4642. doi:10.3390/ijms22094642

64. Stark T, Livas L, Kyprianou N (2015). Inflammation in prostate cancer progression and therapeutic targeting. Transl Androl Urol 4 (4): 455–463. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4683.2015.04.12

65. Van Der Meulen TA, Harmsen H, Bootsma H, Spijkervet F, Kroese F, Vissink A (2016). The microbiome-systemic diseases connection. Oral Dis 22 (8): 719–734. doi:10.1111/odi.12472

66. Sittipo P, Shim J, Yk L (2019). Microbial Metabolites Determine Host Health and the Status of Some Diseases. Int J Mol Sci 20 (21): 5296–5296. doi:10.3390/ijms20215296

67. Huang J, Mondul AM, Weinstein SJ, Derkach A, Moore SC, Sampson JN, D A (2019). Prospective Serum Metabolomic Profiling of Lethal Prostate Cancer. Int J Cancer 145 (12): 3231–3243. doi:10.1002/ijc.32218

68. Chan MM, Yang X, Wang H, Saaoud F, Sun Y, Fong D (2019). The Microbial Metabolite Trimethylamine N-Oxide Links Vascular Dysfunctions and the Autoimmune Disease Rheumatoid Arthritis. Nutrients 11 (8): 1821-1821. doi:10.3390/nu11081821

Sekhoacha M, Riet K, Motloung P, Gumenku L, Adegoke A, Mashele S (2022). Prostate Cancer Review: Genetics, Diagnosis, Treatment Options, and Alternative Approaches. Molecules 27 (17): 5730–5730. doi:10.3390/molecules27175730

70. Fessler J, Gajewski TF (**2017**). The microbiota: a new variable impacting cancer treatment outcomes. **Clin Cancer Res** 23 (13): 3229–3231. doi:10. 1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0864

71. Zeng Z, Li R (**2021**). Probiotics, prebiotics, and faecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of recurrent Clostridioides difficile infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Pharm Ther 47 (3): 402–406. doi:10.1111/jcpt.13490

72. Smith KS, Frugé AD, van der Pol W, Caston NE, Morrow CD, Demark-Wahnefried W, Tl C (2021). Gut microbial differences in breast and prostate cancer cases from two randomised controlled trials compared to matched cancer-free controls. Benef Microbes 12 (3): 239–248. doi:10.3920/BM2020. 0098

73. Lin PH, Howard L, SJ F (2022). Weight loss via a low-carbohydrate diet improved the intestinal permeability marker, zonulin, in prostate cancer patients. Ann Med 54 (1): 1221-1225. doi:10.1080/07853890.2022.2069853

74. Frugé AD, Ptacek T, Tsuruta Y, Morrow CD, Azrad M, Desmond RA, Hunter GR, Rais-Bahrami S, Demark-Wahnefried W (**2018**). Dietary Changes Impact the Gut Microbe Composition in Overweight and Obese Men with Prostate Cancer Undergoing Radical Prostatectomy. J Acad Nutr Diet 118 (4): 714-723. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2016.10.017

75. González-Sarrías A, Giménez-Bastida JA, García-Conesa MT, Gómez-Sánchez MB, García-Talavera NV, Gil-Izquierdo A, Sánchez-Alvarez C, LO FC, Morga-Egea JP, Pastor-Quirante FA, Martínez-Díaz F, Tomás-Barberán FA, Espín JC (2010). Occurrence of urolithins, gut microbiota ellagic acid metabolites and proliferation markers expression response in the human prostate gland upon consumption of walnuts and pomegranate juice. Mol Nutr Food Res 54 (3): 311-322. doi:10.1002/mnfr.200900152

76. Newton RU, Christophersen CT, Fairman CM, Hart NH, Taaffe DR, Broadhurst D, Devine A, Chee R, Tang Cl, Spry N, DA G (**2019**). Does exercise impact gut microbiota composition in men receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer? A single-blinded, two-armed, randomised controlled trial. **BMJ Open 9** (4): 24,872–24,872. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024872

77. Matsushita M, Fujita K, Motooka D, Hatano K, Fukae S, Kawamura N, Tomiyama E, Hayashi Y, Banno E, Takao T, Takada S, Yachida S, Uemura H, Nakamura S, Nonomura N (2021). The gut microbiota associated with high-Gleason prostate cancer. Cancer Sci 112 (8): 3125–3135

78. Liao RS, Ma S, Miao L, Li R, Yin Y, GV R (2013). Androgen receptormediated non-genomic regulation of prostate cancer cell proliferation. Transl Androl Urol 2 (3): 18,796–18,196. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2223-4683.2013.09.07

79. Saikali Z, Setya H, Singh G, Persad S (2008). Role of IGF-1/IGF-1R in regulation of invasion in DU145 prostate cancer cells. Cancer Cell Int 8 (1): 10-10. doi:10.1186/1475-2867-8-10

 Hunter PA, Dawson S, French GL, Goossens H, Hawkey PM, Kuijper EJ, Nathwani D, Taylor DJ, Teale CJ, Warren RE, Wilcox MH, Woodford N, Wulf MW, LJV P (2010). Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens in animals and man: prescribing, practices and policies. J Antimicrob Chemother 65: 3–17. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkp433

Leffler DA, JT L (2015). Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med 372 (16): 1539–1548. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1403772

Fortea M, Albert-Bayo M, M AG, J-P GM, X SR, A HP, Expósito E, González-Castro AM, Guagnozzi D, Lobo B, Alonso-Cotoner C, J S (2021). Present and Future Therapeutic Approaches to Barrier Dysfunction. Front Nutr 8: 718,093–718,093. doi:10.3389/fnut.2021.718093

83. Javier-Desloges J, Mckay RR, Swafford AD, Sepich-Poore GD, Knight R, Parsons J (**2022**). The microbiome and prostate cancer. **Prostate cancer and prostatic dis** 25 (2): 159–164. doi:10.1038/s41391-021-00413-5

84. Sfanos KS, De Marzo A (2012). Prostate cancer and inflammation: the evidence. Histopathology 60 (1): 199–215. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2559.2011. 04033.x

85. Tamim HM, Hajeer AH, Boivin JF, Collet J (2010). Association between antibiotic use and risk of prostate cancer. Int J Cancer 127 (4): 952–960. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25139

86. Cai T, Mazzoli S, Mondaini N, Meacci F, Nesi G, Elia D, Malossini C, Boddi G, Bartoletti V, R (**2012**). The role of asymptomatic bacteriuria in young women with recurrent urinary tract infections: to treat or not to treat? **Clin Infect Dis** 55 (6): 771–777. doi:10.1093/cid/cis534

87. Park SJ, Hong J, Park YJ, Jeong S, Choi S, Chang J, Oh YH, Han M, Ko A, Kim S, Cho Y, Kim JS, Son JS, Park SM (2023). Association between antibiotic use and subsequent risk of prostate cancer: A retrospective cohort study in South Korea. Int J Urol 31 (4): 325–331. doi:10.1111/iju.15364

Petrelli F, Ghidini M, Ghidini A, Perego G, Cabiddu M, Khakoo S, Oggionni E, Abeni C, Hahne JC, Tomasello G, Zaniboni A (2019). Use of Antibiotics and Risk of Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. Cancers 11 (8): 1174-1174. doi:10.3390/cancers11081174

89. Sanders ME, Merenstein DJ, Reid G, Gibson GR (2019). Probiotics and prebiotics in intestinal health and disease: from biology to the clinic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 16 (10): 605–616. doi:10.1038/s41575-019-0173-3

 Roberfroid M, Gibson GR, Hoyles L, Mccartney AL, Rastall R, Rowland I, Wolvers D, Watzl B, Szajewska H, Stahl B, Guarner F, Respondek F, Whelan K, Coxam V, Davicco MJ, Léotoing L, Wittrant Y, Delzenne NM, Cani PD (2010). Prebiotic effects: metabolic and health benefits. Neyrinck AM, and Meheust A 104: 1–63. doi:10.1038/s41575-019-0173-3

91. Ding S, Hu C, Fang J, Liu G (2020). The Protective Role of Probiotics against Colorectal Cancer. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2020: 8884,583-8884,583. doi:10.1155/2020/8884583

 Kawalec A, Zwolińska D (2022). Emerging Role of Microbiome in the Prevention of Urinary Tract Infections in Children. Internat J Mol Sci 23 (2): 870. doi:10.3390/ijms23020870

93. Fong W, Li Q, J Y (**2020**). Gut microbiota modulation: a novel strategy for prevention and treatment of colorectal cancer. **Oncogene** 39 (26): 4925-4943. doi:10.1038/s41388-020-1341-1

94. Wang Y, Fu K (2023). Genotoxins: The Mechanistic Links between Escherichia coli and Colorectal Cancer. Cancers 15 (4): 1152-1152. doi: 10.3390/cancers15041152

95. Vázquez-Baeza Y, Callewaert C, Debelius J, Hyde E, Marotz C, Morton JT, Swafford A, Vrbanac A, Dorrestein PC, Knight R (**2018**). Impacts of the Human Gut Microbiome on Therapeutics. **Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol** 58: 253–270. doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-042017-031849

96. Górska A, Przystupski D, Niemczura MJ, Kulbacka J (2019). Probiotic Bacteria: A Promising Tool in Cancer Prevention and Therapy. Curr Microbiol 76 (8): 939–949. doi:10.1007/s00284-019-01679-8

97. Begley M, Hill C, Gahan CG (2006). Bile salt hydrolase activity in probiotics. Appl Environ Microbiol 75 (6): 16517,616-16517,616. doi:10. 1128/AEM.72.3.1729-1738.2006

98. Werawatganon D, Vivatvakin S, Somanawat K, Tumwasorn S, Klaikeaw N, Siriviriyakul P, Chayanupatkul M (2023). Effects of probiotics on pancreatic inflammation and intestinal integrity in mice with acute pancreatitis. BMC Complement Med Ther 23 (1): 166. doi:10.1186/s12906-023-03998-7

99. Banna GL, Torino F, Marletta F, Santagati M, Salemi R, Cannarozzo E, Falzone L, Ferraù F, Libra M (2017). Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG: An Overview to Explore the Rationale of Its Use in Cancer. Front Pharmacol 8: 603–603. doi:10.3389/fphar.2017.00603

100. Owens JA, Saeedi BJ, Naudin CR, Hunter-Chang S, Barbian ME, Eboka RU, Askew L, Darby TM, Robinson BS, Jones RM (**2021**). Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG Orchestrates an Antitumor Immune Response. **Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol** 12 (4): 1311-1327. doi:10.1016/j.jcmgh.2021.06.001

101. Vivarelli S, Salemi R, Candido S, Falzone L, Santagati M, Stefani S, Torino F, Banna GL, Tonini G, Libra M (2019). Gut Microbiota and Cancer: From Pathogenesis to Therapy. Cancers 11 (1): 38–38. doi:10.3390/cancers11010038

102. Konishi H, Fujiya M, Tanaka H, Ueno N, Moriichi K, Sasajima J, Ikuta K, Akutsu H, Tanabe H, Kohgo Y (**2016**). Probiotic-derived ferrichrome inhibits colon cancer progression via JNK-mediated apoptosis. **Nat Commun** 7 (1): 12,365–12,365. doi:10.1038/ncomms12365

103. Weingarden AR, BP V (2017). Intestinal microbiota, fecal microbiota transplantation, and inflammatory bowel disease. Gut Microbes 8 (3): 238–252. doi:10.1080/19490976.2017.1290757

104. Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Gasbarrini A (2014). Fecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of Clostridium difficile infection: a systematic review. J Clin Gastroenterol 48 (8): 693-702. doi: 10.1097/MCG.00000000000046

105. Thomas AM, Manghi P, Asnicar F, Pasolli E, Armanini F, Zolfo M, Segata M (2019). Metagenomic analysis of colorectal cancer datasets identifies crosscohort microbial diagnostic signatures and a link with choline degradation. Nat Med 25 (4): 667–678. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0405-7

106. Cohen NA, Maharshak N (2017). Novel Indications for Fecal Microbial Transplantation: Update and Review of the Literature. Dig Dis Sci 62 (5): 1131-1145. doi:10.1007/s10620-017-4535-9

107. Davar D (2021). Fecal microbiota transplant overcomes resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy in melanoma patients. Science 371 (6529): 595–602. doi: 10.1126/science.abf3363

108. Tosoian JJ, Carter HB, Lepor A, Loeb S (2016). Active surveillance for prostate cancer: current evidence and contemporary state of practice. Nat Rev Urol 13 (4): 205–215. doi:10.1038/nrurol.2016.45

109. Descotes JL (2019). Diagnosis of prostate cancer. Asian J Urol 6 (2): 129-136. doi:10.1016/j.ajur.2018.11.007

110. Olovo CV, Huang X, Zheng X, Xu M (2021). Faecal microbial biomarkers in early diagnosis of colorectal cancer. J Cell Mol Med 25 (23): 10,783-10,797. doi:10.1111/jcmm.17010

111. Clos-Garcia M, Garcia K, Alonso C, Iruarrizaga-Lejarreta M, M D, Crespo A, Iglesias A, Cubiella J, Bujanda L, JM FP (2020). Integrative Analysis of Fecal Metagenomics and Metabolomics in Colorectal Cancer. Cancers 12 (5): 1142–1142. doi:10.3390/cancers12051142

112. Veziant J, Villéger R, Barnich N, Bonnet M (**2021**). Gut Microbiota as Potential Biomarker and/or Therapeutic Target to Improve the Management of Cancer: Focus on Colibactin-Producing Escherichia coli in Colorectal Cancer. **Cancers** 13 (9): 2215–2215. doi:10.3390/cancers13092215

113. Durack J, Lynch SV (2019). The gut microbiome: Relationships with disease and opportunities for therapy. J Exp Med 216 (1): 20–40. doi:10.1084/ jem.20180448

114. Feng K, Ren F, Xing Z, Zhao Y, Yang C, Liu J, Shang Q, Wang X, X W (2023). Microbiome and its implications in oncogenesis: a Mendelian randomization perspective. Am J Cancer Res 13 (12): 5785–5804

115. Xie Q, Hu B (2023). Effects of gut microbiota on prostatic cancer: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. Front Microbiol 14: 1250,369-1250,369. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2023.1250369

116. Mahalmani V, Sinha S, Prakash A, Medhi B (**2022**). Translational research: Bridging the gap between preclinical and clinical research. **Indian J Pharmacol** 54 (6): 393–396. doi:10.4103/ijp.ijp_860_22

117. Ma Y, Chen H, Lan C, Ren J (2018). Help, hope and hype: ethical considerations of human microbiome research and applications. Protein & Cell 9 (5): 404-404. doi:10.1007/s13238-018-0537-4

118. Liu J, Luo F, Wen L, Zhao Z, Sun H (2023). Current Understanding of Microbiomes in Cancer Metastasis. Cancers 15 (6): 1893–1893. doi:10.3390/cancers15061893

119. Gao AC (2020). Microbial immunotherapy: A promising anti-cancer strategy. Cancer Letters 459: 46–52

120. Chen Y, Zhou J, L W (2021). Role and Mechanism of Gut Microbiota in Human Disease. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 11: 625,913-625,913. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.625913

121. Ratajczak W, Mizerski A, Rył A, Słojewski M, Sipak O, Piasecka M, Laszczyńska M (2021). Alterations in fecal short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and branched short-chain fatty acids (BCFAs) in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and metabolic syndrome (MetS). Aging 13 (8): 10,934-10,954. doi:10.18632/aging.202968